

# PRECISION TIMING WITH THE CMS MTD BARREL TIMING LAYER FOR HL-LHC

---

**Daniele del Re**

Sapienza Università & INFN Sezione Roma

*on behalf of the CMS collaboration*



**SAPIENZA**  
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA




Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



# THE HL-LHC CHALLENGE

- **After 2027 luminosity** of LHC **will be increased** to enhance the potential for discoveries
  - **x5 - x7.5** present instantaneous luminosity
  - **From 40 to 200** concurrent interactions
- **Detectors to be upgraded** to cope with higher radiation and pileup
- **Significant issue from increased track occupancy**
  - **Additional handles to mitigate impact of pileup needed**






# 4D RECO: BENEFITS FROM TIMING


Reduction of pileup contamination by **exploiting timing of particles**

**3D**: vertexing consistent with primary vertex within a slice in  $z$ .




**4D with addition of timing info**: it selects particles consistent with primary vertex within a slice in time.

**30 ps resolution in time**  $\iff$  **additional  $O(6)$  rejection factor**



# 4D RECO: BENEFITS FROM TIMING


Reduction of pileup contamination by **exploiting timing of particles**







# CMS MIP TIMING DETECTOR

- **CMS proposes to build a Minimum Ionizing Particle (MIP) Timing Detector (MTD):**
  - Measurement of timing of charged tracks
    - ▶ 30-40 ps time resolution for MIPs (beginning of HL-LHC)
- **Different technologies, depending on radiation**
  - **Barrel** (fluence  $\sim 10^{14}$  neq/cm<sup>2</sup>) LYSO:Ce crystal bars coupled to SiPM
  - **Endcap** (fluence  $\sim 10^{15}$  neq/cm<sup>2</sup>) Low Gain Avalanche Diodes with ASIC readout



# BARREL: REQUIREMENTS AND CHOICES (I)



- **Fast and high light yield sensors:** use of  $3 \times 3 \times 57 \text{ mm}^3$  LYSO bars (Lutetium Yttrium Orthosilicate crystal bars doped with Cerium)
- **Minimize radial size and impact on full CMS detector design:** use volume and tracker support tube, also for cooling
- **Simple geometry:** trays with crystals aligned in  $\phi$  direction







# BARREL: REQUIREMENTS AND CHOICES (II)

- Scintillation light measured with a pair of **Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPMs)**, one at each end of the crystal bar
  - Minimization of active area and power budget
  - Maximization of resolution ( $\sqrt{2}$  improvement)
  - Determination of track position with O(mm) resolution
- Operations at **-45°C** to reduce impact of dark count noise
- **SiPMs read by ASIC (TOFHiR)** for analog processing and digitiz.
  - Noise cancellation using **baseline restoration algorithm**




# RECENT IMPROVEMENT IN DESIGN: TEC

- **Two handles to mitigate impact of SiPMs dark count rate due to large radiation budgets**
  1. Reduce temperature
  2. Annealing of SiPMs
- **Added Thermoelectric Coolers (TEC) coupled to SiPMs**





# WHERE WE ARE NOW



## MTD schedule

today

BTL

Design and prototyping

Production, integration

Instal

ETL

Design and prototyping


Production, integration

Instal



**A different timescale for BTL and ETL:** BTL to be installed prior to the Tracker Installation, ETL assembling can exploit the full Long Shutdown 3 period and installation after High Granularity Cal.

# TESTBEAM AT FERMILAB: LAYOUT

- Testbeam to **test resolution and uniformity** of LYSO crystals
- **120 GeV protons beam**.
- **Silicon tracker** telescope to measure proton position and **Micro Channel Plate- PMT** (MCP-PMT) used as **reference time**
- Two different SIPMs tested (HBK and FBK). Box at 25°C
- Layout allowing **rotation of crystals** vs direction of beam



JINST: [10.1088/1748-0221/16/07/P07023](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/07/P07023)





# TESTBEAM: TIME RESOLUTION



- Estimated as  $\sigma_{t_{average}}$  and  $\sigma_{t_{diff}}/2$  where

- $\Delta t_{bar} = t_{average} - t_{MCP} = (t_{left} + t_{right})/2 - t_{MCP}$  and  $\sigma_{t_{average}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\Delta t_{bar}}^2 - \sigma_{t_{MCP}}^2}$

- $t_{diff} = t_{left} - t_{right}$


- **Resolution for MIP below 30 ps**

- Improves with increased light output and, for sufficiently high thresholds, **scales with the inverse of the square root of amplitude**



# TESTBEAM: UNIFORMITY OF RESPONSE



- **Uniform response and resolution** along the bar
- **Effect of gaps negligible** if gap  $< 200 \mu\text{m}$ 
  - expect gap  $\sim 80 \mu\text{m}$  for final bar arrays





# TESTBEAM: SPATIAL RESOLUTION


- **Position of the track can be determined**
  - Along the bar by measuring  $t_{diff} = t_{left} - t_{right}$
  - For tracks hitting more than a crystal (important for low- $p_t$  curved tracks in CMS) with an average weighted with E deposits
- **$\sigma \sim 3\text{-}4\text{ mm}$  (longitudinal) and  $<1\text{ mm}$  (transverse for  $45^\circ$  tracks)**
  - Representing another position measurement added to tracker ones




# PHYSICS PERFORMANCE IN RECO

- **Pile-up:** average track reduction of  $\sim 2.4$
- **Lepton isolation:** efficiency gains 3% (BTL) 6% (ETL) for high  $p_T$  muons at PU200 line density. Larger at low  $p_T$
- **B-tagging:** efficiency improvements 3% (BTL) 6% (ETL)
- **Time-of-flight PID:**  $\pi/K$  separation up to  $\sim 2.5$  GeV,  $K/p$  up to  $\sim 5$  GeV


## Pileup rejection



## Isolation



## PID





# IMPACT IN PHYSICS ANALYSIS: HIGGS

- **Gains for complex final states such as HH**
  - **several improvements** in reconstruction contribute (including tau reconstruction and b tagging)
  - **significance increases ~12% , equivalent to ~25% increase in luminosity**


Assuming a 35ps time resolution

Di-Higgs decay	Signal increase (%)		Expected significance	
	BTL	BTL+ETL	No MTD	MTD
bbbb	13	17	0.88	0.95
bb $\tau\tau$	21	29	1.3	1.6
bb $\gamma\gamma$	13	17	1.7	1.9
bbWW			0.53	0.58
bbZZ			0.38	0.42
Combined			2.4	2.7

# IMPACT IN PHYSICS ANALYSIS: LONG-LIVED




## Neutralino in $Z/\gamma$ G (gravitino)

- **with Z:**  $\beta$  from displaced decay vertex time  $\Rightarrow \chi_0$  mass reconstruction
- **with  $\gamma$ :** TOF improvement via 4D vertex recon.



## Heavy stable charged particles

$\beta$  resolution improved by 1 order of magnitude





# CONCLUSIONS

---

- **CMS MIP timing detector exploits timing of charged tracks to mitigate impact of pile-up** at HL-LHC conditions
  - **30-40 ps resolution** at start of HL-LHC degrading to 60 ps at the end
  - **Improvements in reconstructed objects using 4D reco** and LHC conditions recovered
  - **Benefits** in several areas of physics (e.g. HH and Long-lived)
- **Barrel sector based on LYSO:Ce crystals coupled to SiPMs**
  - Recent **addition of thermoelectric coolers** in design
  - Design and prototyping being completed, **production starting soon**
- **Recent results at testbeams are encouraging**
  - Confirmed **better than 30 ps baseline resolution and uniformity**
  - **Determination of track position** with O(mm) resolution
  - **Details in JINST paper:** [10.1088/1748-0221/16/07/P07023](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/07/P07023)

**BACKUP**



# READOUT UNIT (768 SiPMs)

- 1 : TOFHIR board with 6 ASICs
- 2 : LYSO array with 16 LYSO bars, bars oriented in  $\phi$
- 3 : Concentrator card
- 4 : DCDC converter
- 5 : CC-to-FE connector
- 6 : IpGBT
- 7 : SiPM-to-FE connector
- 8 : Cooling bar with CO<sub>2</sub> pipes
- 9 : Cooling fins





Table 2.1: Summary of the BTL modularity and channel count. The number of items in each module, readout unit and tray are shown.

	Module	RU	Tray	Total
<b>Channels (SiPMs)</b>	32	768	4608	331776
<b>Crystals</b>	16	384	2304	165888
<b>ASICs</b>	1	24	144	10368
<b>Modules</b>	-	24	144	10368
<b>Readout units (RU)</b>	-	-	6	432
<b>Trays</b>	-	-	-	72

# CONTRIBUTIONS TO RESOLUTION

$$\sigma_t^{\text{BTL}} = \sigma_t^{\text{clock}} \oplus \sigma_t^{\text{digi}} \oplus \sigma_t^{\text{ele}} \oplus \sigma_t^{\text{phot}} \oplus \sigma_t^{\text{DCR}}$$

$$\sigma_t^{\text{phot}} \propto \sqrt{\frac{\tau_r \tau_d}{N_{\text{phe}}}} \propto \sqrt{\frac{\tau_r \tau_d}{E_{\text{dep}} \cdot \text{LY} \cdot \text{LCE} \cdot \text{PDE}}}$$



# IMPACT ON PHYSICS ANALYSIS: SUMMARY

Signal	Physics measurement	MTD Impact
HH	<b>+25%</b> gain in signal yield → Consolidate searches	Isolation, b-tagging, MET
H→γγ H→4leptons	<b>+25%</b> statistical precision on xsecs → Couplings	Isolation, Vertex identification
VBF+H→ττ	<b>+30%</b> statistical precision on xsecs → Couplings	Isolation VBF tagging, MET
EWK SUSY	<b>40%</b> reducible background reduction → +150 GeV mass reach	MET
Compressed SUSY	Extended reach from acceptance gains for low p <sub>T</sub> isolated leptons	Isolation
Long Lived Particles (LLP)	New handles for selection → Unique discovery potential	β <sub>LLP</sub> from timing of displaced vertices
Heavy Ion	Large combinatorial background reduction for charmed hadrons ID	PID