

High precision, low disturbance calibration of the High Voltage system of the CMS Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter



 $\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{E} + \frac{b^2}{E^2} + c^2}$

Badder Marzocchi on behalf of the CMS Collaboration *Sapienza & INFN Roma 1

CMS barrel electromagnetic calorimeter (Barrel ECAL):

- \rightarrow 61200 scintillating lead tungstate crystals.
- \rightarrow Scintillating light is read by avalanche photodiodes (APDs)
- \rightarrow APDs are silicon photon sensors with an internal gain
- \rightarrow Typically operated at a gain of 50, achieved with a high voltage (HV) bias of 380 V
- \rightarrow The gain stability implies a supply voltage stability better than 60 mV per month
- \rightarrow The high voltage is provided to the detector through 120 meters cables. Sense lines are used to compensate for the voltage drop across the cables

The CMS ECAL Energy Resolution:

- The APD contribute to all of the three terms:
- a \rightarrow Fano factor & quantum efficiency $\sim 3\%$ $b \rightarrow Capacitance \& Dark current ~ 200 MeV$
- $c \rightarrow HV \&$ temperature stability ~ 0.5 %
- The HV system:
- → A dedicated power supply is used to bias the APDs → It was developed by **CAEN** in collaboration with **INFN-Roma** → It is installed in 6 racks in the CMS Service Cavern
- → It is composed of 18 CAEN SY4527 Mainframes
- → Each mainframe hosts 8 CAEN A1520PE 9-channels modules
- → Each channel is used to bias 100 APDs (50 crystals)









Required stability:

APD gain is sensitive to small fluctuations of the HV bias \rightarrow **APD gain variation** is \sim **3.1%/V** at gain 50 \rightarrow **direct effect on the energy** Resolution. The impact on the constant term is required to be less than $0.2\% \rightarrow HV$ stability at the level of 60 mV per month Variations on longer time scale can be corrected by the detector calibration using physics events

APD gain variation 60 50 % 40 • /W*dM/dV 30 20 10 0 0 20 500 1000 1500 Gain

	Parameters	
0	Output voltage range	0-500 V
	Programmable setting step	20 mV
	DC regulation at load	$<\pm20\mathrm{mV}$
	DC stability at load (over 90 days)	$<\pm20\mathrm{mV}$
	Low freq. noise at load (f $< 100 \text{kHz}$)	$<\pm 20mV$
	High freq. noise at load ($f > 100 \text{ kHz}$)	$<\pm20\mathrm{mV}$
	Operating temperature at supply	$15 \div 40^{\circ} C$
	Current limit	15 mA
	On and off maximum ramp rate	50 V/sec.
	External calibration	$<\pm20\mathrm{mV}$

LIV shawnal alastriaal shawastari

At gain (M) = 50: (1/M)*dM/dV=3.1%/V

Calibration of the HV system:

In order to avoid inducing noise on the calorimeter signal measurement, the HV system was not equipped with a continuos monitoring system. **Periodic** monitoring and calibration campaigns are hence perfomed.

Old method:

Until 2015 the calibration was done **manually uncabling** the system in the CMS service cavern and calibrating one one the HV boards with a precision multimeter The by calibration was done **once per year** during the LHC winter shutdown due to the **long time required.**

New method:

new calibration system was deployed at the end of **2015.** It consists of **mechanical switches** connecting the HV cables to the calibration system, guaranteeing that no additional noise is introduced. Calibration cables draw the bias to a precision multimeter through a set of multiplexers. The calibration **program cycles through all the channels** allowing both to measure the voltage and to recalibrate the channels one by one

One complete calibration with the old system required about 1 month, while the new method requires about 1 week.



Performance:

To commission the new calibration system in 2016 the ECAL Barrel HV system was calibrated both with the old and **difference** of the laser monitoring signal when the HV was calibrated with the new and with the old calibration system.



The mean is slightly shifted from zero, due to the use of two different multimeters in the two HV calibration systems, whose calibration is compatible at 30 mV level, which reflects in a 0.1% syst. error on the APD gain. There are more than 99.4% channels within ±0.5%. The few channels with large shift are understood to be due to calibrating without load on half of the channels (resistor not suitable for high load)