



Highlights on CMS tracker and calorimeter reconstruction improvements for Run II


Riccardo Paramatti ⁽¹⁾
on behalf of the CMS Collaboration
(1) INFN Roma

LHCP 2015

St. Petersburg – September 2015

[RunII challenge]

- LHC energy: 7-8 TeV in RunI → 13 TeV in RunII
- Peak luminosity: $0.7 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \rightarrow 1.4 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
- Bunch spacing: 50 ns → 25 ns
- Average PileUp ($\langle \text{PU} \rangle$): 25 → 40




~ 10 cm



CMS Detector

Pixels
 Tracker
 ECAL
 HCAL
 Solenoid
 Steel Yoke
 Muons



SILICON TRACKER
 Pixels (100 x 150 μm^2)
 ~1m² ~66M channels
 Microstrips (80-180 μm)
 ~200m² ~9.6M channels

CRYSTAL ELECTROMAGNETIC CALORIMETER (ECAL)
 ~76k scintillating PbWO₄ crystals

PRESHOWER
 Silicon strips
 ~16m² ~137k channels

STEEL RETURN YOKE
 ~13000 tonnes

SUPERCONDUCTING SOLENOID
 Niobium-titanium coil
 carrying ~18000 A

HADRON CALORIMETER (HCAL)
 Brass + plastic scintillator
 ~7k channels

FORWARD CALORIMETER
 Steel + quartz fibres
 ~2k channels

MUON CHAMBERS
 Barrel: 250 Drift Tube & 480 Resistive Plate Chambers
 Endcaps: 468 Cathode Strip & 432 Resistive Plate Chambers

Total weight : 14000 tonnes
Overall diameter : 15.0 m
Overall length : 28.7 m
Magnetic field : 3.8 T



[RunI legacy papers]


- **EGM-14-001** - “Performance of photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, JINST 10 (2015) P08010
- **EGM-13-001** - “Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, JINST 10 (2015) P06005
- **JME-13-003** - “Performance of the CMS missing transverse momentum reconstruction in pp data at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, JINST 10 (2015) P02006
- **MUO-11-001** - “The performance of the CMS muon detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ at the LHC”, JINST 8 (2013) P11002
- **EGM-11-001** - “Energy calibration and resolution of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ ”, JINST 8 (2013) P09009
- **TRK-11-001** - “Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker”, JINST 9 (2014) P10009
- **MUO-10-004** - “Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ ”, JINST 7 (2012) P10002
- **JME-10-009** - “Missing transverse energy performance of the CMS detector”, JINST 6 (2011) P09001
- **TRK-10-001** - “CMS Tracking Performance Results from Early LHC Operation”, EPJC 70 (2010) 1165

<http://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/publications/DET>
full list of CMS publications on detector and reconstruction performance


Tracker reconstruction

- Tracking is a challenge with high PU and 25 ns bunch spacing due to the increase occupancy (affecting timing and fake rate)
 - +5% in pixel
 - +45% in strip !!!

the effect of pile-up is dramatic on tracking iterations seeded by pairs of strip matched hits (focused on displaced tracks)



Tracker occupancy with ~ 10 PU and 50 ns BX



Pixels are affected by dynamic inefficiency due to saturation of chip readout buffer.




Tracking improvement in RunII

New algorithm for strip-seeded tracking steps

- main feature is the X^2 cut from straight line fit of 3 points in the RZ plane
- rejects half of the seeds without introducing any inefficiency in track reconstruction.

Strip cluster charge cut

- cluster generated by OOT PU have low collected charge
- cutting on cluster charge largely improves timing and fake rate performance






Tracking improvement in RunII: performance vs PU

Many other improvements in RunII tracking:

- two new muon iterations to recover efficiency loss observed in 2012 data at high PU
- pixel dynamic inefficiency recently included in the simulation
- tracking at High Level Trigger: 4x time reduction at PU=40 with similar performance

Performance comparison with RunI vs RunII nominal PU conditions





- Very similar CMS physics performance for reconstruction objects based on tracks in RunII w.r.t. RunI despite large PU increase and 25 ns BX.


Muon tracking improvement

- Loss in muon reconstruction efficiency in the tracker observed in 2012 with pile-up.
- Two muon-specific tracking iterations developed to recover it:
 - **Outside-in** → seeded by the muon system to recover the missing muon-track in the tracker
 - **Inside-out** → re-reconstruct muon-tagged tracks with looser requirements to improve the hit collection efficiency

Full efficiency recovered for muon tracks in the tracker.




$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ tight ID efficiency improvements




New displaced-muon reconstruction in RunII

- Two new muon reconstruction algorithms for displaced-muons in RunII.
 - 1) designed for muons produced in decays happening very far from the interaction point and eventually with significant delay → use only muon chamber hits
 - 2) designed for muons displaced in time and produced within the inner-tracker volume → use both the inner-tracker and muon chamber hits
- Constraint on the interaction point removed in both algorithms.


Example of exotic signature:
 long-lived massive ($m=500$ GeV) particle,
 stopping within the detector at $R>1$ m
 and decaying to 2 muons



Efficiency improvement of the two algorithms with muon from stop decay



The dimuon invariant mass spectrum with 13 TeV data




CMS DP2015/018

Data collected with different dimuon triggers.

ECAL pulse shape reconstruction

- Electrical signal from the photodetectors amplified and shaped by a multi-gain preamplifier.
- The output is digitized at 40 MHz and N=10 consecutive samples are readout and used to reconstruct the signal amplitude.
- In RunI (LHC bunch spacing of 50 ns) a digital filtering algorithm was used to filter the electronic noise:
 - both in online and offline reconstruction
 - noise estimated event-by-event by averaging the first 3 samples.



$$\hat{A} = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \times S_i$$

The weights w_i are derived by minimizing the variance of amplitude A

ECAL pulse shape reconstruction in RunII

- Pile-up (mainly OOT) affects the performance of RunI algo.
- Several methods investigated during LS1 to mitigate the effect of pile-up, maintaining optimal energy resolution and noise filtering.

New reconstruction method: MULTI-FIT


- estimates the IT signal amplitude and up to 9 OOT amplitudes by minimization of:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^M \mathcal{A}_j p_{ij} - S_i \right)^2}{\sigma_{S_i}^2}$$

\mathcal{A}_j : amplitudes of up to $M = 10$ interactions


p_{ij} : pulse templates for bunch crossing j
 same shape but shifted in time of multiple
 of 25 ns (taken from very low PU runs)

$\sigma_{S_i}^2$: electronic noise covariance matrix
 (from dedicated pedestal runs)



ECAL pulse shape reconstruction in RunII


Examples of fitted pulses for simulated event in the Endcap



Improvement in energy resolution w.r.t. the Run I especially for low transverse energy but still significant at high ET (>50 GeV).

Crucial for the search of narrow resonances decaying in photons or electrons.


Timing distribution of the rechits with reconstructed energy > 1 GeV



Suppression of the contribution from energy deposited in OOT BX thanks to the multifit method.

The dielectron invariant mass spectrum with 13 TeV data

CMS DP2015/013





Missing Transverse Energy

- Missing Energy in the plane transverse to the beam direction is strategic to any SUSY analysis and also a key element of several Exotica searches.
- Instrumental and non-physical MET to be reduced and kept under control.

Event by event MET comparison in RunI and RunII reconstruction:

- largely exploiting also the new HCAL reco method to mitigate OOT PU (similar to ECAL, three amplitudes with floating timing).
- Outliers reduced in 50 ns data and large tail in 25 ns data removed.





[Conclusions]

- LHC RunII conditions (high PU, 25 ns BX, ...) poses severe requirements to tracking and calorimeter reconstruction.
- Huge effort during LS1 to improve the reconstruction performance:
 - tracking fake rate, and timing are under control without affecting tracking efficiency and capability of tracking inside jets
 - new algorithms in muon tracking and reconstruction of displaced tracks to enlarge the physics reach in many searches
 - new reco amplitude methods in calorimeters to mitigate the effect of OOT PU mitigation on the energy measurements preserving excellent energy resolution in ECAL and improving MET reconstruction
 - many other significant reconstruction improvements on Jets, b-tagging, and tau-tagging side not covered in this talk.

In general, new reconstruction performance comparable or better than RunI despite the higher PU and reduced BX separation of RunII



Backup

- The restart of the CMS magnet after LSI was more complicated than anticipated due to problems with the cryogenic system in providing liquid Helium.
- Inefficiencies of the oil separation system of the compressors for the warm Helium required several interventions and delayed the start of routine operation of the cryogenic system.
- The data delivered during the first two weeks of LHC re-commissioning with beams at low luminosity have been collected with $B=0$
- Currently the magnet can be operated, but the continuous up-time is still limited by the performance of the cryogenic system requiring more frequent maintenance than usual.
- A comprehensive program to re-establish its nominal performance is underway. These recovery activities for the cryogenic system will be synchronized with the accelerator schedule in order to run for adequately long periods.
- A consolidation and repair program is being organized for the next short technical stops and the long TS at the end of the year.

