



## CMS electron and photon performance at Run 2 and prospects for Run 3

<u>Livia Soffi</u>



#### Overview

₹Q,

- Electrons and photons reconstructed and identified with high precision and purity at CMS
- Interplay between calorimeters and tracker
- **High level tools** to maintain excellent performance in the harsh LHC environment



- Results shown here include full Run 2 data collected in 2016, 2017 and 2018 years
  - **Reprocessed 2017 data ("Legacy")** with improved calibration and more precise description of data conditions in simulation
  - Improvement in resolution and data/MC agreement
  - Similar performance expected for Legacy 2016 and 2018

• Performance of **Run 3** algorithms will be shown for the fist time

#### The CMS Run 2 Detector



#### The CMS Run 2 Detector

 One additional pixel layer in barrel and one in endcap: reduce fake rate, improve track resolution and efficiency





#### The CMS Run 2 Detector



#### Role of $e/\gamma$ in Physics Analyses



- Excellent energy resolution ([1.5-4]%) and electron/photon ID crucial in discovery and characterization of the 125 GeV Higgs Boson
- Good timing resolution (~200 ps) key ingredient in searches for non conventional signatures

#### ECAL Amplitude Reconstruction

- <u>Run 1 ECAL amplitude reconstruction</u> optimized for suppression of electronics noise in low out-of-time (OOT) pileup
- <u>Run 2 algorithm</u> (used since 2015) to cope with much larger OOT and <PU> ~40 and new 25 ns bunch crossing scheme
  - Submitted to JINS
  - Template fit with fixed pulse shape w/ multiple pulses for different bunch crossings
  - Binned templates **derived from collisions data** for each crystals averaging over many hits

CMS electron and photon performance at Run 2 and prospects for Run 3 - Livia Soffi



4

#### $e/\gamma$ Reconstruction

• Strong interplay between **clustering** and **tracking** to achieve best resolution

 Collect single particle-like clusters to form clusters that look like e/γ: "Mustache" pattern due to magnetic field.



 Large radiative losses, which bend track in φ, recovered with a GSF (Gaussian Sum Filter) instead of Kalman Filter



- High Level Trigger (HLT) and offline reconstruction following similar path
- Dedicated brem and photon conversion recovery algorithms

#### e/y Reconstruction Performance

• Fake rate and reconstruction efficiency measured in data and simulation

 In 2017 and 2018, fake rate lowered due to the new pixel detector by 30%, additional reduction after the Identification step



- Electron reconstruction efficiency measured with tag-and-probe method better than 96% over the full  $E_T/\eta$  spectrum
- 2-4% improvements with Legacy calibration

## e/γ HLT Performance

- Trigger efficiency measured with tag-and-probe method on  $Z \rightarrow ee$
- Maintain high efficiencies at Run 2 w/o increasing rates thanks to new pixel detector



#### e/y Energy Corrections

Multi step procedure to prevent from energy resolution degradation

- Advanced Machine Learning technique to derive correction to systematic variations of energy deposited in ECAL
- **Residual corrections** applied to bring the energy scale and resolution in agreement in data and MC **using Z boson mass**



### Ultimate Energy Resolution at Run 2

- Excellent data/MC agreement, after application of residual scales to data and smearings to simulated events
- Energy resolution measured in 2017 significantly better b/c of Legacy calibration
- Overall the energy resolution through Run 2 between 1% and 3.4%



## $e/\gamma$ Identification Strategies

• Ele/ $\gamma$  identification variables to separate from backgrounds (jets, conversion,



#### e/y Identification Efficiencies

 Several selections are derived depending on the analysis, based on these variables (MVA and cut-based approaches)

- Several working points

- Different selections EB/EE

relevant for **exotic searches** (less variables, control regions in data)



#### • + dedicated IDs for high energy $e/\gamma$

#### e/y Identification Performance

• Data/MC correction factors derived for each selection using tag-and-probe



## *e*/γ Timing Performance

- Global ECAL time resolution measured from the time difference between electrons from Z using full Run 2 data
- The time of the electron corresponds to the time of the cluster seed crystal



• Long lived particles searches performed using photon or jet time of arrival in ECAL

#### Dedicated Reconstruction for low pT e

- <u>Custom low-pT electron reconstruction</u> developed for the B Parking data set.
- GSF tracking seeding replaced by a more computationally efficient logic that identifies low-p<sub>1</sub> electron candidates
- 10% mistag rate while providing a factor ~2 gain in efficiency
- However, we require a sophisticated ID to control purity



## e/y Run 3 Challenges

- Run-3 brings harsher environment for electromagnetic object reconstruction and identification compared to Run-2:
  - Pile-up interactions increase
  - Noise in the ECAL increases especially in the endcaps by a factor 1.8, 3 and 4 at  $|\eta|=1.5$ , 2.5 and 3



New superclustering strategy currently being designed w/ DNN based algorithm



# Redefinition of $e/\gamma$ identification variables for Run-3

Redefinition of ID σ<sub>inin</sub> variable sensitive to ECAL noise New

$$\sigma_{i\eta i\eta} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Sigma_i^{5\times 5} w_i (\eta_i - \overline{\eta}_{5\times 5})^2}{\Sigma_i^{5\times 5} w_i}\right)}$$



• Absolute cut on E<sub>i</sub> above noise threshold to remove spurious rechits



#### • Applied to both electrons and photons. More effective in endcap

#### e/y Identification w/ New HCAL Detector

 New design of HCAL detector with replacement of readout electronics allows depth segmentation



- Combine information of energy deposited in different HCAL layers
- Better shower-development measurement and background rejection for e/γ

### Conclusions and Outlook

- Very successful CMS ECAL for  $e/\gamma$  reconstruction and identification in LHC Run2
  - Out-of-time pileup mitigation
  - Reprocessing of Run 2 data on-going
  - Improved calibration gain up to 20% in energy resolution and up to 10% in data/MC agreement
  - Excellent energy resolution ([1.5-4]%) and timing resolution (< 200 ps) as key ingredients of CMS physics program



#### Conclusions and Outlook



#### Conclusions and Outlook

18



- Run 3 improvements will boost significantly  $e/\gamma$  analyses sensitivity
- Full Run 2 performance paper will be released in summer 2020

## BACKUP

#### F Brem Variable



 fBrem = (pin - pout) / pin - tells the fraction of momentum lost to bremsstrahlung in the tracker
MUON

#### **Ele/Pho Reconstruction Overview**



## ECAL Clustering

- By the time the particle reaches the ECAL original object may consist of several electrons and/or photons due to bremsstrahlung and pair production
- Clustering step looks for local maxima above a given energy threshold
- Clusters are linked using the "mustache" algorithm:
  - uses information only from the ECAL and the preshower
  - predefined **E<sub>T</sub> dependent**  $\Delta\eta$  and  $\Delta\phi$  threshold to include any cluster found within this window
  - distribution of  $\Delta\eta$  (seed-cluster, cluster) versus  $\Delta\varphi$  (seed-cluster, cluster) has a slight bend in  $\Delta\eta$  because of bending charged particles in B
- Region dependent on E<sub>T</sub> Major Difference W.r.t. Run 1



#### Electron Track Reconstruction

• GSF algo CPU intensive: run only on something which is likely to be an electron

#### "ECAL-driven"

• works better for high pt

Seeding

**Tracking** 

rack-Cluster

ssociation

 tracker seeds from doublets ihits matched in dPhi and dZ window

#### "tracker-driven"

- recover efficiency for low p<sub>T</sub> in PU and non-isolated
- compatibility check w/ track quality and track-cluster matching variables.
- final collection of the selected electron seeds used for reconstructing tracks
- Standard KF algorithm not optimal for electron track reconstruction. Make use of GSF, w/ bremsstrahlung modeled w/ mixture of Gaussians
- GSF tracks extrapolated to ECAL for track-cluster association
- BDT combines track kinematics and quality, PF cluster, and association

### ECAL Supercluster Refinement

- Mustache SC improved w/ **tracker info** to recover conversions and brem while being able to reject clusters with a high probability of not being electron or photon
- Mustache SC, ECAL clusters, general tracks, GSF tracks, and conversion flagged tracks passed to GED algorithm to link into a single "PF block" from which ele/pho objects are built.
- Resulting SC are known as the **"refined" SC** and are used for all ECAL based quantities for electrons and photons.
- A given ECAL cluster can belong to only a single SC and as such the same SC is used for both electron and photon reconstruction.
  - These are electrons and photons used by physics analyses
- GED filters them and uses them to build **PFCandidates used by Jet/MET**



## **Energy Corrections Overview**

- TRegression's prediction is correction factor to be applied to the measured energy
- Input variables include object's and event parameters
- Implemented as Gradient Boosted Decision Trees w/ a semi-parametric likelihood

- Energy correction estimates sub-optimal for the data.
  - underestimation of material budget
  - underestimation of uncertainty in ECAL crystal intercalibration
  - residual differences between tECAL geometry and one simulated
- First step: correction of energy scale in data vs time (~ LHC fill) and η regions, owing to the different levels of radiation damage and upstream material budget using fit method (<1.5%)</li>

#### Charge Measurement

• Rate of correct charge identification for Z electrons as a function of pseudorapidity. All (selective method) electrons on the left (right).



CMS electron and photon performance at Run 2 and prospects for Run 3 - Livia Soffi

#### Performance in PbPb Collisions

• Dedicated reconstruction, identification, and energy correction algorithms optimized to perform in the extreme conditions of central PbPb collisions



- Uses dedicated displaced photon trigger:must be efficient for both prompt and late in time photons to facilitate background estimation techniques
- GMSB used as benchmark model: upper limits given on crosssection as a function of breaking scale and neutralino proper lifetime
  - While at a dedicated offline reconstruction for out of time photons above 3 ns is needed, by default the HLT does not apply any cut on the time of ECAL rechits within a bunch crossing to retain sensitivity to out of time objects

$$E_{\rm eff} = \frac{E_1 E_2}{\sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2}}$$

CMS electron and photon performance at Run 2 and prospects for Kun 3 - Livia Soffi



Timing

### **Timing Performance**

- Excellent time resolution in measuring the time of arrival of photons and electrons in ECAL
- Rejection of background with a broad time distribution: cosmic rays, beam halo muons, electronic noise, and out-of-time protonproton

• Identify particles predicted by different models beyond the Standard Model

• Timing resolution as a function of the pulse amplitude





#### The CMS Detector at LHC

#### High sensitivity to a wide spectrum of final states

#### <u>Silicon Tracker</u>

Pixel (100 x 150 µm) - 66M channels MicroStrips (80 x 180  $\mu$ m) - 9.6M channels

✓ P<sub>T</sub> resolution ~ 1.5% @100 GeV ✓ dE/dx measurement

#### <u>Electromagnetic CALorimeter</u>

76K PbWO4 crystals

- Designed energy resolution ~0.5% for  $E(\gamma) > 100 \text{ GeV}$
- $\checkmark$  Fast scintillation scale: > 80% of the light emitted in ~ 25 ns
  - **Brass/Scintillator Hadron** <u>Calorimeter</u>
  - Muon Chambers



 $\checkmark$  Single-point resolution  $\sim$  200  $\mu$ m

 $\checkmark \sigma_{DI} \sim 3ns$   $\checkmark \sigma_{CSC} \sim 7ns$ 

