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## Expected data sample

Working hypothesis: $20 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity (a factor 10 more w.r.t. the expected KLOE final data sample)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\phi}=3.3 \mu \mathrm{~b} \\
\operatorname{BR}(\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma)=(1.295 \pm 0.025) \%
\end{array}\right\} \quad \mathbf{N}_{\eta}\left(\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{f b}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right) \approx \mathbf{8 . 6} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{8}}
$$

$$
\sigma_{\phi}=3.3 \mu \mathrm{~b}
$$

$$
\mathrm{BR}\left(\phi \rightarrow \eta^{\prime} \gamma\right)=(6.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}
$$

$$
N_{\eta^{\prime}}\left(20 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\right) \approx 4 \times 10^{6}
$$

## $\eta$ produced through $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma \longrightarrow \mathrm{m}$ Very clean and tagged $\eta$ sample



## Identified by the

 monochromatic recoil photon$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {recoil }}(\eta)=363 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

| Decay | BR (PDG04) | $\varepsilon_{\text {ana }}$ (KLOE) | $\mathbf{N}_{\exp }$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ | $(39.43 \pm 0.26) \%$ | $70 \%$ | $2.4 \times 10^{8}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ | $(32.51 \pm 0.29) \%$ | $45 \%$ | $1.3 \times 10^{8}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ | $(22.6 \pm 0.4) \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | $0.7 \times 10^{8}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $(4.68 \pm 0.11) \%$ | $46 \%$ | $1.8 \times 10^{7}$ |

PDG fit needs to scale BRs of main $\eta$ decay modes from 1.2 to 1.3

* Main BRs known with $O(\%)$ precision. Further improvement requires a complete measurement of all main decay channels
* All these decays already studied @ KLOE By tagging the recoil photon, it is possible to overcome the normalization problem

| Decay | BR (PDG04) | $\varepsilon_{\text {ana }}$ (KLOE) | $\mathbf{N}_{\exp }$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ | $(39.43 \pm 0.26) \%$ | $70 \%$ | $2.4 \times 10^{8}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ | $(32.51 \pm 0.29) \%$ | $45 \%$ | $1.3 \times 10^{8}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ | $(22.6 \pm 0.4) \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | $0.7 \times 10^{8}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $(4.68 \pm 0.11) \%$ | $46 \%$ | $1.8 \times 10^{7}$ |

PDG fit needs to scale BRs of main $\eta$ decay med

* Main BRs known with $O(\%)$ n. nain decay $(2.5$ requires a complete ma


## $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$

## At the moment just poor experimental data from the 70's

## 1. Dalit plot: left-right asymmetry parameter

2. Shape of the $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}$ spectrum to test ChPT predictions
$>$ Resonant contribution:
3. $\rho$ production whit its subsequent decay to a pion pair (VDM)
4. existence of a small non-VDM contribution
$>$ Anomalous contribution:
box anomaly (similar to the classical triangle anomaly), responsible for $\eta / \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ decays predicted by PCAC and by the Wess-Zumino-Witten chiral lagrangian

## Anomalies in QCD
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## box anomaly
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## $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma: \mathrm{M}_{\pi \pi}$ spectrum

Box Anomaly and $\eta / \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$

$$
\operatorname{BW}(\rho)=\frac{1}{\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\pi \pi}^{2}-\mathrm{m}_{\rho}^{2}\right)-i \mathrm{~m}_{\pi \pi} \Gamma_{\rho}}+\frac{\xi}{\mathrm{m}_{n / \eta}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{i \phi}
$$

EChPT, HLS model
M. Benayoun et al.,

Eur. Phys. J.C 31 (2003) 525


Unfortunately $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ not sensitive to the box anomaly contribution

Preliminary KLOE analysis looks promising...

First sample analyzed: $29 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ of 2001 data

Analysis scheme:
$>1$ vtx with 2 tracks
$>2$ prompt neutral clusters
$>$ Kin fit: $\mathrm{P}\left(\chi^{2}\right)>10^{-4}$
$>357.8<\mathrm{E}_{\gamma \text { recoil }}<368.2 \mathrm{MeV}$
$\xrightarrow{\prime} \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B} \sim 460$
$>\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{miss}}\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)>160 \mathrm{MeV}$

Interesting from the theoretical point of view because the bigger contribution comes from $p^{6}$ in $\chi_{\text {PT }}$

$\pi^{-}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \eta+\mathrm{n}$
(CERN, Brookhaven, GAMS,
Crystal Ball)
$\pi^{+}+\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}+\eta$ (67)
$\pi^{+}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \pi^{+}+\mathrm{p}+\eta(67,69)$
$\mathrm{K}^{-}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \Lambda+\eta(70$ AGS $)$
$\pi^{+}+\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \eta+\mathrm{p}(71)$
$\pi^{-}+\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \pi^{-}+\mathrm{n}+\eta(80)$
$\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma(S N D 01)$

A further improvement on BR is expected with $20 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$, but more interesting is the shape of the $\gamma \gamma$ mass spectrum
E.Oset, J.R.Pelaez, L.Roca, PRD67 (2003) 073013

VMD-p ${ }^{4}$ int. $\quad a_{0}$ contr. very small


Rescaling KLOE results: 3500 events expected at the end of the analysis

The study of the e.m. structure of neutral mesons can be done hrough the $\eta \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$


A transition form factor $f\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right)$ arising in the vertex provides information on the meson structure
$\checkmark$ Observable: $l^{+} l^{-}$invariant mass
$\checkmark \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right)$ calculations:
(1) VMD
(2) Quark triangle loop
(3) ChPT

## Dalitz decays

Dalitz and double Dalitz decay can be easily reached with $20 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$

| Decay | BR (PDG04) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \gamma$ | $(6.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma$ | $(3.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$ | $<6.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$ | $\left(4.0^{+14.0}-2.7\right) \times 10^{-4}$ |$\quad$| Bckgs: $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ |
| ---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ |

All these measurements can be significantly improved and a first observation of $e^{+} e^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$can be achieved ( theoretical expectations:
$\operatorname{BR}\left(\eta \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} e^{+} e^{-}\right)=6.5 \times 10^{-5}$ [PR 98 (1955) 1355] )

* Standard model: source of CP violation is a single phase in CKM mixing matrix describing quark flavor changing weak interaction couplings

Test CP simmetry in flavour conserving process, where Standard Model predictions are vanishingly small

## CP violation in $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \boldsymbol{e}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}^{-}$

dominant amplitudes

CP violating bremsstrahlung


CP conserving $M 1$ үemission

interference of amplitudes
$\Rightarrow C P$ violating circular photon polarisation
$\Rightarrow C P$ violating asymmetry in $\sin \varphi \cos \varphi$
 PHetlige, L.MStyal FeD4 (153) 4146
$\varphi=\angle\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right),\left(e^{+} e^{-}\right)$planes in $K_{L}$ cms

## NA48 result (A. Ai it al., Eptc so (2003) 33)



## CP violation in $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \boldsymbol{e}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}^{-}$

amplitudes
$C P$ violating bremsstrahhing


CP conserving MI $\gamma$ emission

interference of amplitudes
$\Rightarrow$ CP violating asymmetry in $\sin \varphi \cos \varphi$ $\varphi=\angle\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right),\left(e^{+} e^{-}\right)$planes in $\eta \mathrm{cms}$
$\Rightarrow$ construct operators, that do not contribute directly to $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $K^{0}$ decays
$\Rightarrow$ flavor consenving $C P$ violating four-fermion operators imolving two s-quarks
C. Qug, JNMg. T.H Wn MPLA $17(20 \mathrm{H})$ 1489, D.M.Gao, MPLA 17 (2002) 1583
$\mathrm{A}_{\phi}$ up to $2 \%$

| Decay | BR (PDG04) | Prediction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$ | $<7.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $(5.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$ | $4 \times 10^{-6}$ |

A large improvement on U.L. expected from the statistical point of view for $\eta \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$

However... large background from $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}(\gamma)$ expected

1. Kinematic fit imposing $\eta$ mass
2. Study of angular and momentum distributions of the decay products

Already measured with KLOE 2001/2002 data:

| Decay | BR (KLOE) | Violation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C P}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$ | $<1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ |

Upper limits on $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} / \gamma \gamma \gamma$, background limited, will improve with $\downarrow\left(\mathbf{L}_{\text {NEW }} / \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{OLD}}\right)$
Other decays:

| Decay | BR (PDG04) | Violation | $\} \text { Too much bckg: } \begin{aligned} & \phi \rightarrow S \gamma \\ & \phi \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ | $<4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | P, CP |  |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ | $<6.9 \times 10^{-7}$ | P, CP |  |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ | $<4 \times 10^{-5}$ | C | $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ bckg to |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $<5 \times 10^{-6}$ | C | be removed |
| $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{+} e^{-}, \mu^{-} e^{+}$ | $<6 \times 10^{-6}$ | LF | Similar search of $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ with $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ |

TAPS: $510 \mathrm{BaF}_{\text {, }}$-detectors

```
Crystal Ball:
672 NaI -detectors
* \(\sigma_{\mathrm{E}} / \mathrm{E}=2 \% / 4 \sqrt{ } \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{GeV})\)
* \(\sigma_{\theta}=2-3\) degrees
```


## Vertex Detectors:

2 cylindrical wire chambers
480 wires, 320 strips
24 thin plastic counters
particle separation
$\checkmark$ 30M $\eta$ acquired @ AGS (BNL) [ $\left.\pi^{-}(720 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{n} \eta\right]$
$\checkmark 30 \mathrm{M} \eta$ acquired @ MAMI (MAINZ) in 2004, 300 hours run [ $\gamma(180-820 \mathrm{MeV}) \mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{p} \eta$ ]
$\checkmark$ MAMI upgrade in progress: next run $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}$ up to 1.5 GeV $300 \mathrm{M} \eta$ expected $+\eta^{\prime}$ sample


* CsI calorimeter
* Plastic scintillator barrel
* Mini drift chamber
* Forward detector
$\checkmark$ Production mechanism: $\mathrm{pp} \rightarrow \mathrm{pp} \mathrm{\eta}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)$
$\checkmark$ Expected rate : $\mathbf{2 5 0 0} \eta / \mathbf{s} 30 \eta^{\prime} / \mathrm{s}$
$\checkmark$ Expected start-up: January 2007


## $\mathrm{N}_{\eta^{\prime}}\left(20 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\right) \approx 4 \cdot 10^{6}$

## DAFNE2 is an $\eta$ ' factory!

 But beware: WASA@ COSY claims $2 \cdot 10^{6} \eta^{\prime} /$ day ....| Decay | BR (PDG04) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta$ | $(44.3 \pm 1.5) \%$ |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $(29.5 \pm 1.0) \%$ |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$ | $(20.0 \pm 1.2) \%$ |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \omega \gamma$ | $(3.03 \pm 0.31) \%$ |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ | $(2.12 \pm 0.14) \%$ |

$\eta^{\prime}$ main BRs known with an error of $3-10 \%$
$\checkmark$ We can probably improve the situation on the less frequent decay by measuring ratio of BRs (sth already @ KLOE/DAFNE)
$\checkmark$ For the others, we need tagged measurement of all the decay chair Hard, but this could reduce the systematic error in the measurem of $\qquad$ which dominates already its error
-BR currently known at 7\%.
$\checkmark B R$ is the main uncertainty in the extraction of the $\eta$ ' full width
$\checkmark 8 \cdot 10^{4}$ events produced. With $10 \%$ efficiency can improve BR accuracy to about $4 \%$. But hard from the exp. point of view (QED bkg
$\checkmark \Gamma \gamma$ is already known to $3 \%$ thus no improvement for $\eta-\eta$ ' mixing parameters.
r...not a big issue....

## $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta \pi \pi:$ Dalitz plot analysis

Interesting to study scalar mesons (no tree contributions from VMD) Sensitive to $\sigma(600)$ (PRD 60, 034002)
Expect 200.000 evts in Dalitz plot with realistic efficiency.




Interesting, because is sensitive to isospin violating part of strong Lagrangian, and proportional to $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}}$

Currently only upper limit @ $5 \%$ (!)

Expected at $\mathrm{O}\left(10^{-3}\right)$ i.e. 4000 evts produced

With realistic efficiency can expect to measure BR @ some $\%$ level

Interesting, because $\gamma$ energy spectrum is sensitive to the "box anomaly" term of the WZW chiral Lagrangian

Asymmetry related to possible C violation in strong interactions

Difficult background from $\rho \pi$ (but we know we can deal with it reasonably for the $\eta$ )

More than 1 million events produced

With an expected BR of $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ the Dalitz decay could be observed wit order 10\% accuracy or less (transition form factor, light by light scattering etc.)
$4 \pi$ decays could possibly be observed... but we could not find theoretical prediction for that....

Reasonably one could slightly improve limits on C, CP violating decays down to $10^{-4}$ level.

