First results of systematic studies done with silicon photomultipliers
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Multicell avalanche photodiode structure operated in Geiger mode usually referred as silicon photomultiplier is a new intensively developing technology for photon detection. Insensitivity to magnetic fields, low operation voltage and small size make silicon photomultipliers very attractive for high-energy physics, astrophysics and medical applications.

The presented results are obtained during the first steps taken in order to develop a setup and measurement procedures which allow to compare properties of diverse samples of silicon photomultipliers available on market. The response to low-intensity light was studied for silicon photomultipliers produced by CPTA (Russia), Hamamatsu (Japan), ITC-irst (Italy) and SensL (Ireland).

\& 2008 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

Silicon photomultiplier is a novel type of photodetectors with a multicell photodiode structure operating in the limited Geiger mode. The technology development started in 1990s [1,2] provided the photodetectors with a single photoelectron gain of about \(10^6\) and photodetection efficiency comparable with the one of vacuum photomultipliers. The same time the small size, capability to operate in a magnetic field, low bias voltage and reasonable price of the device induced a further intense development and enhancement of the technology leaded by different institutions and manufacturers [3–6]. This results in a number of different types of silicon photomultipliers available on market and produced by different manufacturers. Despite the different commercial names of the devices, here all of them are referred as SiPM.

Systematic studies done with various types of SiPM would provide start information for an optimal choice of the device appropriate for a given application. The results presented here are obtained during the first steps taken in order to develop a setup and measurement procedures which would allow to compare properties of diverse SiPM samples.

2. General principle of the SiPM operation

An SiPM consists of a large number of identical microcells with a common anode.

The microcells are located on a common substrate with a typical size of \(\sim 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2\). Fig. 1 shows a principal representation of the SiPM microcell structure. Under a reverse bias voltage \(U_{\text{bias}}\) above the breakdown value \(U_{\text{brd}}\), the multilayer structure with different doping concentrations provides a high gradient of the electric field in the vicinity of the np-junction region. The electrons created via photoabsorption in the \(p\)-region drift toward the p layer where the avalanche multiplication occurs. The resistive layer on the top of the n-side provides a voltage drop during the avalanche development due to the growing current. This causes a redistribution of the potentials bringing the SiPM voltage down to the breakdown value \(U_{\text{brd}}\) when the avalanche is quenched. Thus the charge induced during the avalanche discharge is proportional to the overvoltage \(Q = (U_{\text{bias}} - U_{\text{brd}}) \cdot C\), where \(C\) is the junction capacitance [3,7].

3. Measurement setup

The measurements discussed here include current–voltage characteristics and studies of the SiPM response to low-intensity light performed at room temperature. While results of the former measurements are mostly used to check the sample operability and to define the range of bias voltages for the latter studies, the measurements of the SiPM response to the light provide a number of parameters suitable for the comparison of different samples.

A principal scheme of the measurement setup is shown in Fig. 2. The bias voltage and current through an SiPM sample are monitored in the voltage circuit. The SiPM is illuminated with light from a light emitting diode operated in a pulse mode. The signal from SiPM is read out with a charge-sensitive preamplifier and digitised with an integrating ADC. The LED pulse of about 6 ns...
duration and ADC gate of about 100 ns width are synchronised by means of a common trigger.

The measurements are done at room temperature. Temperature variation during the measurements done for one sample did not exceed 2 °C, for all measurements discussed here the total variation was less than 4 °C.

Fig. 3 shows an example of the SiPM response to low-intensity light measured with the described setup. The spectrum is obtained with a CPTA produced device distributed by Obninsk University (Russia). The peaked structure indicates the number of cells fired during one light pulse, starting with the pedestal for no cells fired. The distance between adjacent peaks corresponds to the SiPM gain.

The spectrum is fitted as a sum of Gaussian distributions:

\[ \sum_i G(N_i, \mu_i, \sigma_i) = \sum_i G(N_i, \mu_0 + i \cdot g, \sigma_i) \]  

(1)

where \( \mu_0 \) is the pedestal position and \( g \) is the gain in units of ADC counts.

From statistical considerations the width of \( i \)th peak \( \sigma_i \) can be expressed as

\[ \sigma_i = \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + i \cdot (\langle \sigma_{px} \rangle)^2} \]  

(2)

where \( \sigma_0 \) is the pedestal width and \( \langle \sigma_{px} \rangle \) represents fluctuations of the one-cell response averaged over the active area of the sample.

In order to check reproducibility of the measurements and fitting procedures, a series of spectra was taken for the same bias voltage but under different light intensities. Fig. 4 shows the fitted width of \( i \)th peak obtained from different measurements (top) and the corresponding averaged values (bottom) as functions of the peak number. The latter dependence is fitted according to Eq. (2).

Fig. 5 shows the gain as a function of the bias voltage \( U_{bias} \) obtained for the CPTA/Obninsk sample. The breakdown voltage \( U_{brd} \) is defined here as the bias voltage corresponding to the gain equal to one and is found from the linear fit to be equal 44.99 ± 0.13 V.

The width of the spectrum peaks described by Eq. (2) increases with the bias voltage. The dark current significantly increases with overvoltage and thus causes the pedestal broadening. The same time, fluctuations of the signal from one single cell increases with voltage due to the increasing gain:

\[ \sigma_{px} = \sqrt{N} \]  

(3)

where \( N \) is the average number of charge carriers in the avalanche. However, being averaged over the active area of SiPM, \( \langle \sigma_{px} \rangle \) contains also a systematic part:

\[ \langle \sigma_{px} \rangle \sim \sqrt{N + \sigma_{nu}^2} \]  

(4)

where \( \sigma_{nu} \) represents non-uniformity of the amplification over the SiPM active area.

To characterise the dependence of SiPM signal properties on the overvoltage, the ratios of the gain to the values of \( \sigma_0 \) and \( \langle \sigma_{px} \rangle \) were studied. Fig. 6 shows an example of the dependence obtained for the discussed sample.

For SiPM the gain is equal to the average number of charge carriers in the avalanche, \( g = N \), so the ratio \( g/\langle \sigma_{px} \rangle \) tends to a linear behaviour for the low gain values \( N \ll \sigma_{nu}^2 \). For the high gain values \( N \gg \sigma_{nu}^2 \), the dependence would correspond to square root low \( g/\langle \sigma_{px} \rangle \sim \sqrt{N} \) in the case of a constant \( \sigma_{nu} \). Such a behaviour is seen in Fig. 6.
5. Comparison of different samples

Five samples of silicon photomultipliers have been studied. CPTA\textsuperscript{1} produced samples distributed by Obninsk University and Forimtech,\textsuperscript{2} HAMAMATSU produced Multi-Pixel Photon Counter S10362-11-025C \textsuperscript{[8]}, ITC-irst\textsuperscript{3} sample produced in the second production run on May 2006 and SensL\textsuperscript{4} SPMScint sample were compared on the base of the measurement results. Table 1 summarises basic characteristics of the listed SiPMs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Number of cells</th>
<th>Cell size (μm(^2))</th>
<th>Fill factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPTA/Forimtech\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>~550</td>
<td>~43 × 43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPTA/Obninsk\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>~550</td>
<td>~43 × 43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMAMATSU</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>25 × 25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITC-irst</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>40 × 40</td>
<td>~0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SensL</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>35 × 35</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The active area of all samples is 1 mm\(^2\).

\textsuperscript{1} CPTA, Russia, http://www.zao-cpta.ru.
\textsuperscript{2} Forimtech SA, http://www.forimtech.ch.
\textsuperscript{3} ITC-irst, Italy, http://www.itc.it/irst.

Fig. 4. Fit values for the width of \(i\) th peak as a function of the peak number (top). The results obtained from different measurements are shown with different markers. The corresponding averaged values as a function of the peak number (bottom) are fitted according to Eq. (2).

Fig. 5. Gain as a function of the bias voltage obtained for the CPTA/Obninsk sample.

Fig. 6. The gain normalised to the corresponding values of pedestal width and \(<\sigma_{px}\>\) as functions of the overvoltage obtained for the CPTA/Obninsk sample.

Fig. 7. The current–voltage characteristics measured for the studied samples.

Forimtech,\textsuperscript{2} HAMAMATSU produced Multi-Pixel Photon Counter S10362-11-025C \textsuperscript{[8]}, ITC-irst\textsuperscript{3} sample produced in the second production run on May 2006 and SensL\textsuperscript{4} SPMScint sample were compared on the base of the measurement results. Table 1 summarises basic characteristics of the listed SiPMs.
Fig. 7 shows the current–voltage characteristics. The gain as a function of the overvoltage is shown in Fig. 8.

Fig. 9 shows the ratios of the gain to the values of $\sigma_0$ (top) and $(\sigma_{px})$ (bottom) obtained for the studied sample. As it was mentioned above, for the constant non-uniformity factor $\sigma_{nu}$ the ratio $g/(\sigma_{px})$ is expected to increase with overvoltage following the linear or square root law. However, as seen from Fig. 9 (bottom), the ratio obtained for some of the samples shows tendency to a slower increase, what indicates a growth of the non-uniformity factor $\sigma_{nu}$ with the overvoltage.

5. Conclusion

The obtained results demonstrated operability and potential of the developed setup. Further development and tune of the setup, measurement procedure and data treatment will allow to obtain comparative characteristics of diverse types of silicon photomultipliers.
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Fig. 8. Gain as a function of the overvoltage.

Fig. 9. The gain normalised to the corresponding values of pedestal width (top) and $(\sigma_{px})$ (bottom) as functions of the overvoltage.
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