Collider Particle Physics - Chapter 4 -SppS: discovery of the W and Z





Chapter Summary

- □ Toward the SppS collider
- □ The SppS parameters
- □ UA1 and UA2 Detectors
- □ A first look at the data
- □ Timeline of the W and Z discoveries

Let's start from the end

the UA1 and UA2 experiments

- The program was initiated in 1979 at the CERN Proton Antiproton collider. The SppS began operation in July 1981, and by January 1983 the discovery of the W and Z were announced.
- Rubbia and Van der Meer received the 1984 Nobel Prize in Physics from the Nobel Committee, for "(...) their decisive contribution to the large project, which led to the discovery of the field particles W and Z(...)".
- The Nobel prize was given to Rubbia for his "idea to convert an existent large accelerator into a storage ring for protons and antiprotons", i.e. the conception of the SppS, and to Van der Meer for his " ingenious method for dense packing and storage of proton, now applied for antiprotons", i.e. the devise of the technology for stochastic cooling.
- The conception, construction and operation of the SppS were considered as great technical achievements. October 14th, 2021



14/10/2021 – 50 years of Hadron Colliders at CERN

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1068633/



Toward the Spps

W and Z mass prediction

 \Box From the electron-neutrino scattering we can get a relationship between G_F and M_w:



The proton-antiproton collider idea

- □In 1976 a study group at CERN started working to prepare a report for the construction of a new e+e-collider (LEP) to produce the Z, but LEP was far in the future.
- □ At Brookhaven the proton-proton collider ISABELLE (200+200 GeV) with superconducting magnets was recommended by the HEP Advisory Panel in 1974 and construction began in 1978 before superconducting magnet technology had been achieved. *The project was then cancelled in* 1983.
- □In 1975 and 1976 Carlo Rubbia presented in some seminars at Fermilab and at CERN the possibility to convert the existing proton accelerators to proton-antiproton colliders making use of a single magnet ring as for the e⁺e⁻ colliders.
- □ The beam of antiprotons were to be produced by means of the "electron cooling" or the "stochastic cooling".
- Rubbia presented the idea at the 1976 International Neutrino Conference in Aachen:
 C. Rubbia, P. McIntyre and D.Cline:

Producing Massive Neutral Intermediate Vector Bosons with Existing Accelerators.

The proton-antiproton collider idea

- □In 1976 a study group at CERN started working to prepare a report collider (LEP) to produce the Z, but LEP was far in the future.
- □ At Brookhaven the proton-proton collider ISABELLE (200+200 C was recommended by the HEP Advisory Panel in 1974 and const superconducting magnet technology had been achieved. *The pro*
- □In 1975 and 1976 Carlo Rubbia presented in some seminars at Fell convert the existing proton accelerators to proton-antiproton coll ring as for the e⁺e⁻ colliders.
- □ The beam of antiprotons were to be produced by means of the " cooling".
- Rubbia presented the idea at the 1976 International Neutrino Cc
 Rubbia, P. McIntyre and D.Cline:
 Producing Massima Neutral Intermediate Vector Resource with Exists

Producing Massive Neutral Intermediate Vector Bosons with Exis



The proton-antiproton collider idea

- □In 1976 a study group at CERN started working to prepare a report for the construction of a new e+e-collider (LEP) to produce the Z, but LEP was far in the future.
- □At Brookhaven the proton-proton collider ISABELLE (200+200 GeV) with superconducting magnets was recommended by the HEP Advisory Panel in 1974 and construction began in 1978 before superconducting magnet technology had been achieved. *The project was then cancelled in* 1983.
- □In 1975 and 1976 Carlo Rubbia presented in some seminars at Fermilab and at CERN the possibility to convert the existing proton accelerators to proton-antiproton colliders making use of a single magnet ring as for the e⁺e⁻ colliders.
- □ The beam of antiprotons were to be produced by means of the "electron cooling" or the "stochastic cooling".
- Rubbia presented the idea at the 1976 International Neutrino Conference in Aachen:
 C. Rubbia, P. McIntyre and D.Cline:
 Producing Massive Neutral Intermediate Vector Bosons with Existing Accelerators.
- **The proposal by Rubbia and Collaborators was considered unrealistic at Fermilab but was appreciated by John Adams and Leon Van Hove, the CERN Directors.**
- □ The game was to convert the SPS to a proton-antiproton collider with 540 GeV c.o.m. energy, but the first not easy step was to provide the antiproton beams.

Why the projet was judged unrealistic?



Then the antiproton must be stored and accumulated in a storage ring. They need to have a small momentum spread before entering the ring, otherwise they could be lost during the storage and, more important, they will have a large emittance while they enter the acceleration chain.

- > one could select only the antiprotons with the right momentum but, in this case, their flux will be even more reduced;
- > or a new brilliant idea was needed to reduce the antiprotons emittance, like the discovery of the "stochastic cooling" of particles by Simon van der Meer in 1968-1972. (... and the van der Meer horn)

1972: stochastic cooling paper by S. van der Meer

Beam

Amplifier

Kicker

1.02

100

0.98

0.96



W. Schnell took the challenge and tried to implement the van der Meer proposal in the ISR.

A pick-up sensor detect the fluctuation of the average position of the protons with Pick-up respect to the ideal orbit and send a signal to a kicker, displaced by (n/2+1/4) wawelenghts, to push them "inside" the beam. In average the beam is "squeezed".

4. FINAL NOTE

This work was done in 1968. The idea seemed too far-fetched at the time to justify publication. However, the fluctuations upon which the system is based were experimentally observed recently. Although it may still be unlikely that useful damping could be achieved in practice, it seems useful now to present at least some quantitative estimation of the effect.

In 1918 W. Schottky described the spontaneous fluctuations from DC electrons beam (Schottky signal)



Initial Cooling Experiment (ICE): 1978

The ISR results were reproduced in a dedicated experiment (ICE) using protons of 3.5 GeV. (ICE used the magnets of the g-2 experiment)



ICE results



Schottky scan after 1, 2 and 4 minutes.

Signal height proportional to the square root of density and width proportional to $\Delta p/p$

Stochastic cooling and Liouville's theorem

 Wikipedia: "Liouville's theorem, [...] after the French mathematician Joseph Liouville, is a key theorem in classical statistical and Hamiltonian mechanics. It asserts that the phase-space distribution function is costant along the trajectories of the system."



- All points in the volume V' around P' go in some points in the volume V around P during the evolution of the system. The two volumes V' and V are identical.
- The cooling of the antiprotons seems to be in "conflict" with the theorem, because a sqeeze in transverse momentum should result in an increase in space dimensions, therefore the beam emittance is not reduced.

 Stochastic cooling: [S. van der Meer, Nobel Lecture]
 "Fortunately, there is a trick – and it consists of using the fact that particles are points in phase space with empty space in between. We may push each particle towards the center of the distribution, squeezing the empty space outwards. The small-scale density is strictly conserved, but in a macroscopic sense the particle density increases. This process is called <u>cooling</u> because it reduces the movements of the particles with respect to each other."



 The point is that the Liouville's theorem holds for an infinite number of points, while in a beam we have a finite number of particles.

Antiproton production and collection

- 1. <u>Protons</u> are accelerated to an intermediate suitable energy [*the proposal says* E_p =100 GeV from Fermilab main ring, but it is NOT critical – at CERN E_p =26 GeV from PS]
- 2. Then the p are axtracted and sent onto a target, to produce high intensity collisions.
- 3. The resultant \overline{p} (very rare) are collected and cooled ("stacked") in a lower energy ring [at CERN $E_p = 3.5 \ GeV$ from PS]



\Box First step to have low emittance: reduce the \overline{p} momentum spread before entering the lower Ring (Antiproton Accumulator Ring).

> It was done using a new design of the Van der Meer horn:





Typical high energy Wide Band neutrino beam



the Antiproton Accumulator ring



CERN pp complex in the '80s



- Antiprotons are stored ("stacked") in the AA ring where they are "cooled".
- After hours (days), when enough antiprotons are available, they are re-extracted and injected in the PS, where they are accelerated until 26 GeV, and then finally to SppS

Spps parameters



					_						
in from ia	Year	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	
Slide Bagnaic	Beam energy (GeV)	273	273	315	315		315	315	315	315	W a the"
	β _h * (m)	1.5	1.3	1	1		1	1	1	0.6	
	β _v * (m)	0.75	0.65	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.15	-
	# bunches	3+3	3+3	3+3	3+3		3+3 (6+6)	6+6	6+6	6+6	-
	p/bunch (10 ¹⁰)	9.5	14	16	16			12	12	12	-
	p̄/bunch (10 ¹⁰)	1.2	1.5	2	2			4	6	7	
	< £ _{initial} > (10 ³⁰ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	0.05	0.17	0.36	0.39		0.35	1.3	1.8	3.1	
	< £ _{int} /coast > (nb ⁻¹)	0.5	2.1	5.3	8.2		2.8	31.5	40	70	
	# coasts/year	56	72	77	80	0	33	107	119	104	(coas
	< T _{coast} > (h)	13	12	15	17			11	12	10	LHC
	ℒ _{int} /year (nb⁻¹)	28	153	395	655	0	94	3608	4759	7241	

SppS parameters

W and Z produced in 1983, the"golden year" of SppS

∫£dt	153 nb ⁻¹
N _{events} (p̄p)	8 × 10 ⁹
$W^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm} v$	/ 90
$W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}$ (UA1 only)	14
Z → e ⁺ e ⁻	12
$Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ (UA1 only)	4

(coast = fill in the LHC language)

Claudio Luci – Collider Particle Physics – Chapter 4

18

The detectors

The detectors



Reminder: how to detect the different type of particles

This layout works also for a fixed target experiment (not for the missing energy)



Reminder: layout of a generic collider detector



SppS Detector guidelines

A 4T SOLID ANGLE DETECTOR FOR THE SPS USED AS A PROTON-ANTIPROTON

COLLIDER AT A CENTRE OF MASS ENERGY OF 540 GeV

- (i) In order to collect the largest amount of unbiased information at each event the detector must cover the <u>largest</u> possible <u>fraction</u> <u>of the solid angle</u>. In practice we have succeeded to insure detection of particles down to about one degree from the beam axis.
- (ii) The <u>simultaneous</u> detection of large transverse momentum electrons, muons and neutrinos, the last ones by missing energy, is of importance when searching for a broad class of new physical phenomena.
- (iii)We need energy measurements both by magnetic curvature and <u>calorimetry</u>. A global energy flow measurement remains of significance even for configurations where the local particle density is too large for the visual detectors to give meaningful curvature measurements. Likewise an electromagnetic shower detector complements the energy resolution of magnetic analysis for high energy electrons, like for instance from decays of the type $W^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$. It is also less sensitive to internal radiative corrections⁶¹ and to bremsstrahlung in the vacuum chamber walls.

- (iv) The detector must operate with <u>minimum disruption of the SPS</u> <u>programme</u> and in an environment which is relatively hostile because of <u>high radiation</u> levels, backgrounds and so on. Only unsophisticated and reliable equipment must be chosen. The problem of debugging and maintaining efficiently a complex equipment in the SPS tunnel should not be underestimated.
- (v) The nature of our proposal is basically <u>evolutionary</u> and several separate elements (building blocks) are designed in such a way as to be operated almost independently and may eventually be installed in successive phases matched to the available luminosity and to the advances of civil engineering.
- (vi) Data acquisition and trigger should be arranged in order to collect the maximum of information at each event and per unit of time.

Extracted from the UA1 technical proposal

30 January 1978

The detectors







Pierre Darriulat

The detectors: UA1





The detector in the open position

The detectors

The UA1 experiment First multi-purpose 4π (hermetic) detector in particle physics



Approved in June 1978 – first events observed in June 1981

The UA2 experiment optimized for W and Z detection in electron channel no central magnetic field, no muons



vertex detector: cylindrical drift chambers + preshower Upgrade: inner Si pad detector → first incarnation of a Si tracker adapted to collider experiments

Magnetic spectrometer: Upgrade: replaced by calorimeter

Approved in Dec 1978 – first data taking in Dec 1981

The detectors: UA1 layout

It was the first general purpose (multipurpose) 4π (hermetic) experiment in particle physics. It was composed by very innovative and sophisticated detectors.





The detectors: UA1 Calorimeters (from F. Lacava)



The detectors: UA1 parameters

Clic	$B=0.7 \text{ T} \sigma(p)/p=0.5\% p (Ge$									0.5% p (GeV)		
P. Bagn Central drift		rift	Gas			Field		V _{drift}		$\alpha_{Lorentz}$	N _{sense wires}	
	chambe	r Ar-eth	Ar-ethane 40-60		1.5 kV/cm		53 μm/ns		23° @ 0.7 T		6110	
No segmentation in phi											egmentation in phi	
	UA1	Zenith θ	type	Na	ime	e.m. lenį	e.m. rad- length		n	Cell Δθ×Δφ	σ _E /E	
	Central calorimeter	250_1550	e.m.	gon	dolas	26.6/	ˈsinθ	1.1/sir	ıθ	5°×180°	0.15/√E(GeV)	
		er 25 – 155 had. (C's	-		5.0/sin θ		15°×18°	0.80/√E(GeV)			
	Endcap	5°–25°	e.m.	bou	chons	27/c	osθ	1.1/cos	sθ 20°×11°		0.12/√E(GeV)	
	calorimeter	155°–175°	had.	I	's	_	-	7.1/cos	sθ	5°×10°	0.80/√E(GeV)	

The detectors: UA2



The detectors: UA2 scheme



UA2 detector was optimized for the detection of electrons from W and Z decays.

The emphasis was on a highly granular calorimeter with spherical projective geometry, which also was well adapted to the detection of jets.

Charged particle tracking was performed in the central detector utilising a combination of multi wire proportional chambers, drift chambers and hodoscopes.

Energy measurements were performed in the calorimeters.

Magnetic field only in the forward region

Unlike UA1, UA2 had no muon detector.

The detectors: UA2 calorimeters



A first look at the data

The events: jets discovery

1982: in July (Paris Conference) UA2 announced observation of hadronic jets



UA2: a pragmatic approach: select the highest E_T events, simply "look at" the energy flow in the calorimeter



The events: UA1 jets





UA1: first looked at correlations of tracks in the central detector then used tracks or cells for jet algorithm: $R_{cone} = \sqrt{(\Delta \phi)^2 + (\Delta \eta)^2}, \eta = -\ln (\tan \theta/2)$ e.g.: $\theta = 40^\circ \Rightarrow \eta = 1.0$ $\theta = 5^\circ \Rightarrow \eta = 3.0$

The events: UA1 jets











Figure 12.3. Lego plots for four UA-1 events that were candidates for $Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$. The plots show the location of energy deposition in ϕ , the azimuthal angle, and $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$, the pseudorapidity. The isolated towers of energy indicate the cleanliness of the events (Ref. 12.8).



The events: UA2 $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$







An electron is identified by an e.m. cluster, a track in the central detector and no energy in the hcal behind the cluster

Figure 12.4. A UA-2 candidate for $Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$. The upper diagram shows a track detected by a series of proportional chambers and a chamber following a tungsten converter. The calorimeter cells indicate energy measured by the electromagnetic calorimeter. The lego plot for the event shows two isolated depositions of electromagnetic energy, indicative of an e^+e^- pair (Ref. 12.9).

UA1 MEGATEK: W decays





UA1 MEGATEK: Z decays





The events: UA1 $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$





Claudio Luci – Collider Particle Physics – Chapter 4

 $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Calorimeters: uncertainties on the energy scale

□ Of course, neither UA1 nor UA2 directly measured the energy lost by the particle(s), but they measured the light produced by the particles traversing the layers of scintillator material. The chain is:



- □ The entire chain must be calibrated on a test beam with electrons and pions of known energies to get the calibration constants, namely the factor to go from the response in voltage to the energy of the incoming particle.
- □ The calibration constants take into account also the sampling fraction (namely the energy lost in the scintillator with respect to the energy lost in the absorber material).
- \Box ... but the calibration constants are not "constant" at all since they change with time (material ageing, radiation damages, etc ...), so it is necessary to monitor them constantly, either with dedicated devices (xenon lamps, radioactive source), or with the data itself using resonances of known mass (π^0 , J/ ψ ... today, Z)
- □ UA1: big modules, scale uncertainty = 3% ; UA2: small modules, scale uncertainty = 1.6%

Reminder: invariant mass



□ The total quadrimomentum squared is a relativistic invariant (for Lorentz transformation).

Lab Frame

$$P_{M}^{\mu} \cdot P_{M,\mu} = (E_{1} + E_{2})^{2} - (\vec{p}_{1} + \vec{p}_{2})^{2}$$

$$P_{M}^{*,\mu} \cdot P_{M,\nu}^{*} = M^{2}$$

$$M_{inv} = \sqrt{(E_{1} + E_{2})^{2} - (\vec{p}_{1} + \vec{p}_{2})^{2}}$$

DON'T CALL IT "s" !!

□ The same relationship holds also if the particle M decays into three or more particles, like for instance in the Higgs boson decays into four leptons

Timeline of the W and Z discoveries



W discovery went public



1983: Z discovery - UA1





The Z discovery crossed the Ocean



Ehe New York Eimes

OPINION Europe 3, U.S. Not Even Z-Zero Published: June 6, 1983

A team of 126 scientists at the CERN accelerator in Geneva reports proof of an important new subatomic particle, the Z-zero. The discovery carries two messages. The good news is that it confirms a major theory about the fundamental forces of nature. The bad news is that 18 Europeans have taken the lead in the race to discover the ultimate building blocks of matter.

1983: Z discovery – UA2

7 July 1983: CERN seminar by P. Darriulat to announce Z discovery

11 August: paper submitted for publication (Phys.Lett.B)



UA2 Z-candidate



UA1: analysis of 1983 data: $W \rightarrow \mu v$; $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



Compared to 43 W \rightarrow e ν events

















End of chapter 4