The Monte Carlo method

What it is: a numerical technique for calculating probabilities and related quantities using sequences of random numbers.

g(r)

 \mathbf{O}

r

1

The usual steps:

- (1) Generate sequence $r_1, r_2, ..., r_m$ uniform in [0, 1].
- Use this to produce another sequence x₁, x₂, ..., x_n distributed according to some pdf f(x) in which we're interested (x can be a vector).
- (3) Use the x values to estimate some property of f(x), e.g., fraction of x values with a < x < b gives $\int_a^b f(x) dx$.

 \rightarrow MC calculation = integration (at least formally)

MC generated values = 'simulated data'

 \rightarrow use for testing statistical procedures

Random number generators

- Goal: generate uniformly distributed values in [0, 1]. Toss coin for e.g. 32 bit number... (too tiring).
 - \rightarrow 'random number generator'
 - = computer algorithm to generate $r_1, r_2, ..., r_n$.
- Example: multiplicative linear congruential generator (MLCG)

 $n_{i+1} = (a \ n_i) \mod m$, where $n_i = \text{integer}$

- a = multiplier
- m = modulus

 n_0 = seed (initial value)

N.B. mod = modulus (remainder), e.g. 27 mod 5 = 2. This rule produces a sequence of numbers $n_0, n_1, ...$ Random number generators (2)

The sequence is (unfortunately) periodic!

Example (see Brandt Ch 4): $a = 3, m = 7, n_0 = 1$

$$n_1 = (3 \cdot 1) \mod 7 = 3$$

$$n_2 = (3 \cdot 3) \mod 7 = 2$$

$$n_3 = (3 \cdot 2) \mod 7 = 6$$

$$n_4 = (3 \cdot 6) \mod 7 = 4$$

$$n_5 = (3 \cdot 4) \mod 7 = 5$$

$$n_6 = (3 \cdot 5) \mod 7 = 1 \quad \leftarrow \text{ sequence repeats}$$

Choose *a*, *m* to obtain long period (maximum = m - 1); *m* usually close to the largest integer that can represented in the computer. Only use a subset of a single period of the sequence. Random number generators (3)
r_i = n_i/m are in [0, 1] but are they 'random'?
Choose a, m so that the r_i pass various tests of randomness: uniform distribution in [0, 1], all values independent (no correlations between pairs),
e.g. L'Ecuyer, Commun. ACM 31 (1988) 742 suggests



Far better generators available, e.g. **TRandom3**, based on Mersenne twister algorithm, period = $2^{19937} - 1$ (a "Mersenne prime"). See F. James, Comp. Phys. Comm. 60 (1990) 111; Brandt Ch. 4

The transformation method

Given $r_1, r_2, ..., r_n$ uniform in [0, 1], find $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ that follow f(x) by finding a suitable transformation x(r).



Example of the transformation method

Exponential pdf: $f(x;\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi}e^{-x/\xi}$ $(x \ge 0)$

Set
$$\int_0^x \frac{1}{\xi} e^{-x'/\xi} dx' = r$$
 and solve for $x(r)$.

$$\rightarrow x(r) = -\xi \ln(1-r) \quad (x(r) = -\xi \ln r \text{ works too.})$$



1. Generation of random,

Pseudo-random numbers

- 2. Random variable r uniformly distributed between 0 and 1
- 3. Sampling of a discrete random variable Example:

A discrete random variable x with 3 values, x1, x2, x3 with probabilities P1, P2 and P3 respectively (Σ Pi=1).

Extract y=r

if 0 < y < P1 => x = x1

if P1 < y < (P1+P2) => x=x2if (P2+P3) < y < 1 => x=x3

4. Sampling of a continuous random variable x with arbitrary pdf f(x) Extract y=r $x=F^{-1}(y)$ with $y=F(x)=\int_0^x f(x')dx'$ Examples: f(x)=1/(b-a) => x=a+(b-a)r $f(\theta)=\sin\theta/2 => \cos\theta = 1-2r => \theta=a\cos(1-2r)$ $f(x)=\mu \exp(-\mu x) => x=-\ln(1-r)/\mu => x=-\ln(r)/\mu$

The acceptance-rejection method



- (1) Generate a random number x, uniform in $[x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$, i.e. $x = x_{\min} + r_1(x_{\max} - x_{\min})$, r_1 is uniform in [0,1].
- (2) Generate a 2nd independent random number u uniformly distributed between 0 and f_{max}, i.e. u = r₂f_{max}.
 (2) If u ≤ f(u) there execute u If not reject u and repeat
- (3) If u < f(x), then accept x. If not, reject x and repeat.

Example with acceptance-rejection method

$$f(x) = \frac{3}{8}(1+x^2)$$

(-1 \le x \le 1)



If dot below curve, use *x* value in histogram.



Improving efficiency of the acceptance-rejection method

The fraction of accepted points is equal to the fraction of the box's area under the curve.

For very peaked distributions, this may be very low and thus the algorithm may be slow.

Improve by enclosing the pdf f(x) in a curve C h(x) that conforms to f(x) more closely, where h(x) is a pdf from which we can generate random values and C is a constant.



Generate points uniformly over C h(x).

If point is below f(x), accept x.

Monte Carlo event generators

Simple example: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

Generate $\cos\theta$ and ϕ :



$$f(\cos\theta; A_{\mathsf{FB}}) \propto \left(1 + \frac{8}{3}A_{\mathsf{FB}}\cos\theta + \cos^2\theta\right),$$
$$g(\phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \quad (0 \le \phi \le 2\pi)$$

Less simple: 'event generators' for a variety of reactions: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, hadrons, ... $pp \rightarrow$ hadrons, D-Y, SUSY,...

e.g. PYTHIA, HERWIG, ISAJET...

Output = 'events', i.e., for each event we get a list of generated particles and their momentum vectors, types, etc.

X~												
Event listing (summary)												
I particle/jet	KS	KF	orig	P_X	P_9	p_z	E		m			
1 !p+!	21	2212	0	0.000	0.000	7000.000	7000.	.000	0,938			
2 [p+!	21	2212	Ó	0,000	0,000	-7000,000	7000,	.000	0,938			
	==== 21	======= 21	====== 1	0.863	-0.323	1739.862	1739.	.862	0.000			
4 !ubar!	-21	-2	2	-0,621	-0,163	-777,415	777.	415	0.000			
5 !9!	21	21	- 3	-2,427	5,486	1487,857	1487.	X ~				
6 !g!	21	21	- 4	-62,910	63,357	-463,274	471.	\sim				
7 !"g!	21	1000021	0	314,363	544.843	498,897	979.	397	pi+			
8 !~9!	-21	1000021	<u>0</u>	-379,700	-476,000	525,686	980.	398	gamma			
9 !~chi_1-!	-21	-1000024	<u> </u>	130,058	112,247	129,860	263.	399	gamma			
10 !sbar!	-21	-3	<u> </u>	259,400	187,468	83,100	330.	400	(p10)			
11 !c!	-21	4	- 7	-79,403	242,409	283,026	381.	401	(p10)			
12 !"chi_20!	-21	1000023	8	-326,241	-80,971	113,712	385.	402	(p10)			
13 !b!	-21	5	8	-51,841	-294,077	389,853	491.	403	gamma			
14 !bbar!	-21	-5	8	-0,597	-99,577	21,299	101.	404	gamma			
15 !"chi_10!	-21	1000022	9	103,352	81.316	83,457	175.	405	p1-			
16 !s!	-21	- 3	9	5,451	38,374	52,302	65.	406	p1+			
17 !cbar!	-21	-4		20,839	-7,250	-5,938	- 22.	407	K+			
18 !"chi_10!	-21	1000022	12	-136,266	-72,961	53,246	181.	408	P1-			
19 !nu_mu!	-21	14	12	-78,263	-24.757	21./19	84.	409	(P10)			
20 !nu_mubar!	21	-14	12	-107,801	16,901	38,226	115.	410	(p10)			
	===							411	(KbarV)			
21 gamma	1	22	4	2,636	1,557	0,125	2.	412	P1-			
22 ("ch1_1-)	11	-1000024		129,643	112,440	129,820	262.	413	NT (-:0)			
23 ("ch1_20)	11	1000023	12	-322,330	-80,817	113,191	- 582.	414	(P10) (P_00)			
24 "ch1_10 05 %-b4 40	1	1000022	15	97,944	77,819	80,917	169.	410	(K_3V)			
25 "ch1_10	1	1000022	18	-136,266	-72,951	55,245	181.	410	NT.			
26 nu_mu	1	14	19	-78,263	-24,757	21,719	445	417	p1-			
27 nu_mubar 20 (D-11)	1	-14	- 20	-107,801	16,901	38,226	115.	418	(pi0)			
28 (Delta++)	11	2224	2	0,222	0,012	-27:54,287	27.54.	413	(pit)			
8								420	(pi0)			
								421	(P10)			
			•					422	ni-			
			•					423	O SWWS			
								425	gamma			

PYTHIA Monte Carlo $pp \rightarrow gluino-gluino$

A simulated event

- •
- •

							- D ×
1	211	209	0.006	0,398	-308,296	308,297	0,140
1	22	211	0,407	0,087-	1695,458	1695,458	0,000
1	22	211	0,113	-0,029	-314,822	314,822	0,000
11	111	212	0,021	0,122	-103,709	103,709	0,135
11	111	212	0,084	-0,068	-94,276	94,276	0,135
11	111	212	0,267	-0,052	-144,673	144.674	0,135
1	22	215	-1,581	2,473	3,306	4,421	0.000
1	- 22	215	-1,494	2,143	3,051	4,016	0,000
1	-211	216	0,007	0,738	4.015	4.085	0,140
1	211	216	-0,024	0,293	0,486	0,585	0,140
1	321	218	4,382	-1,412	-1,799	4,968	0,494
1	-211	218	1,183	-0,894	-0,176	1,500	0,140
11	111	218	0,955	-0,459	-0,590	1,221	0,135
11	111	218	2,349	-1,105	-1,181	2,855	0,135
11	-311	219	1,441	-0,247	-0,472	1.615	0,498
1	-211	219	2,232	-0,400	-0,249	2,285	0,140
1	321	220	1,380	-0,652	-0,361	1.644	0.494
11	111	220	1,078	-0,265	0,175	1,132	0,135
11	310	222	1,841	0,111	0,894	2,109	0,498
1	321	223	0,307	0,107	0,252	0,642	0,494
1	-211	223	0,266	0,316	-0,201	0,480	0,140
1	-2112	226	1,335	1.641	2,078	3,111	0,940
11	111	226	0,899	1.046	1,311	1,908	0,135
1	211	227	0,217	1,407	1,356	1,971	0,140
11	111	227	1,207	2,336	2,767	3,820	0,135
1	2112	228	3,475	5,324	5,702	8,592	0,940
1	-211	228	1,856	2,606	2,808	4,259	0,140
1	- 22	229	-0,012	0,247	0,421	0,489	0,000
1	- 22	229	0.025	0.034	0,009	0.043	0,000
1	211	230	2,718	5,229	6,403	8,703	0,140
11	111	230	4,109	6.747	7,597	10,961	0,135
1	-211	231	0.551	1,233	1,945	2,372	0,140
11	111	231	0,645	1,141	0,922	1,608	0,135
1	- 22	232	-0,383	1,169	1,208	1,724	0,000
1	22	232	-0,201	0,070	0,060	0,221	0.000

Monte Carlo detector simulation

Takes as input the particle list and momenta from generator.

Simulates detector response:

multiple Coulomb scattering (generate scattering angle), particle decays (generate lifetime), ionization energy loss (generate Δ), electromagnetic, hadronic showers, production of signals, electronics response, ...

Output = simulated raw data \rightarrow input to reconstruction software: track finding, fitting, etc.

Predict what you should see at 'detector level' given a certain hypothesis for 'generator level'. Compare with the real data. Estimate 'efficiencies' = #events found / # events generated. Programming package: GEANT

Monte Carlo integration method

• x uniform random variable in [a,b]:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$

• Hit or miss method, x,y u.r.v., x in [a.b], y in [0,c]:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{N_{hit}}{N_{TOT}} c(b-a)$$

Differential pair production cross section from circularly polarized photons





$$d^5 \sigma(ec{\mathbf{q}}) = rac{d^5 \sigma}{dE_+ d\Omega_+ d\Omega_-} |J| dq d\Omega_r dE_- d\phi_-$$

$$d\sigma_c^5 = \left|\sum_{ec{\mathbf{L}}} \exp(iec{\mathbf{q}}\cdotec{\mathbf{L}})
ight|^2 \exp(-A_T q^2) d\sigma^5(ec{\mathbf{q}})$$

$$\left|\sum_{diam} \exp(i\vec{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{L}})\right|^2 = \frac{1}{8} N \frac{(2\pi)^3}{\Delta} \sum_{\vec{\mathbf{g}}} D(\vec{\mathbf{g}}) \delta(\vec{\mathbf{q}} - \vec{\mathbf{g}})$$

