


# Designing an experiment

- Introduction

- |               | $p_c$ | $E_{em}$ | $E_h$ | $p_\mu$ |
|---------------|-------|----------|-------|---------|
| • $e^\pm$ :   | yes   | yes      | no    | no      |
| • $\gamma$ :  | no    | yes      | no    | no      |
| • $\pi^\pm$ : | yes   | mip      | yes   | no      |
| • $n$ :       | no    | mip      | yes   | no      |
| • $\mu^\pm$ : | yes   | mip      | mip   | yes     |
| • $\nu$ :     | no    | no       | no    | no      |
- $\nu$  from apparent unbalance in the event (hermeticity)





The Lorentz force bends a charged particle in a magnetic field  $\Rightarrow$  the particle momentum is computed from the measurement of a trajectory  $\ell$ . Simple case:

- track  $\perp \vec{B}$  (or  $\ell$  = projected trajectory);
- $\vec{B}$  = constant;
- $\ell \ll R$  (i.e.  $\alpha$  small,  $s \ll R$ , arc  $\approx$  chord);
- then (p in GeV, B in T,  $\ell$  R s in m) :


$$R^2 = (R-s)^2 + \ell^2 / 4 \rightarrow (R, \ell \gg s)$$

$$0 = \cancel{s^2} - 2Rs + \ell^2 / 4 \rightarrow$$

$$s = \frac{\ell^2}{8R} \simeq \frac{R\alpha^2}{8};$$

$$p = 0.3BR = 0.3B \frac{\ell^2}{8s};$$

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p} = \left| \frac{\partial p}{\partial s} \right| \frac{\Delta s}{p} = \frac{\cancel{p}}{s} \frac{\Delta s}{\cancel{p}} = \frac{\Delta s}{s} = \left( \frac{8\Delta s}{0.3B\ell^2} \right) p.$$



- e.g.  $B = 1$  T,  $\ell = 1.7$  m,  $\Delta s = 200 \mu\text{m} \rightarrow$   
 $\Delta p/p = 1.6 \times 10^{-3} p$  (GeV);
- in general, from  $N$  points at equal distance along  $\ell$ , each with error  $\varepsilon$  :  

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p} \simeq \frac{\varepsilon p}{0.3B\ell^2} \sqrt{\frac{720}{N+4}}$$
 (Gluckstern formula [PDG]).

# Momentum measurement

## Momentum measurement

Assume a uniform magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  in a region of dimension  $L$  and a particle of transverse momentum  $p_T$  entering the region

$$p_T (\text{GeV}) = 0.3 \rho (m) B (T)$$

We define the “sagitta”  $s$  and suppose to measure it through 3 points  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$ :  $s = x_2 - (x_1 + x_3)/2$

$$s = \frac{0.3BL^2}{8p_T}$$


From  $s$  we get the transverse momentum, given the field  $\mathbf{B}$  and the distance  $L$  between detectors 1 and 3

The resolution on  $p_T$  is:

$$\frac{\sigma(p_T)}{p_T} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma_x \frac{8p_T}{0.3BL^2}$$

In case of  $N$  points rather than 3, the resolution is:

$$\frac{\sigma(p_T)}{p_T} = \sqrt{\frac{720}{N+4}} \sigma_x \frac{p_T}{0.3BL^2}$$





# Resolution of energy measurements through e.m. calorimetry

- In general the energy resolution of an e.m. calorimeter is given in terms of  $\sigma(E)/E$ .
- Main contributions:
  - $a/\sqrt{E} \rightarrow$  due to statistics: sampling fluctuations and/or number of photoelectrons fluctuations;
  - $b/E \rightarrow$  typically due to the fluctuations of a constant contribution to the energy (e.g. pedestal, electronic noise,...)
  - $c \rightarrow$  constant term: due to systematics, calibration, containment.
- All three terms contribute. Normally  $c$  dominates at high energies, and  $a$  at low/intermediate energies.  $b$  is present only in specific cases.

# Electromagnetic calorimetry

**Table 31.8:** Resolution of typical electromagnetic calorimeters.  $E$  is in GeV.

Technology (Experiment)	Depth	Energy resolution	Date
NaI(Tl) (Crystal Ball)	$20X_0$	$2.7\%/E^{1/4}$	1983
$\text{Bi}_4\text{Ge}_3\text{O}_{12}$ (BGO) (L3)	$22X_0$	$2\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.7\%$	1993
CsI (KTeV)	$27X_0$	$2\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.45\%$	1996
CsI(Tl) (BaBar)	$16\text{--}18X_0$	$2.3\%/E^{1/4} \oplus 1.4\%$	1999
CsI(Tl) (BELLE)	$16X_0$	$1.7\%$ for $E_\gamma > 3.5$ GeV	1998
$\text{PbWO}_4$ (PWO) (CMS)	$25X_0$	$3\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.5\% \oplus 0.2/E$	1997
Lead glass (OPAL)	$20.5X_0$	$5\%/\sqrt{E}$	1990
Liquid Kr (NA48)	$27X_0$	$3.2\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.42\% \oplus 0.09/E$	1998
Scintillator/depleted U (ZEUS)	$20\text{--}30X_0$	$18\%/\sqrt{E}$	1988
Scintillator/Pb (CDF)	$18X_0$	$13.5\%/\sqrt{E}$	1988
Scintillator fiber/Pb spaghetti (KLOE)	$15X_0$	$5.7\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.6\%$	1995
Liquid Ar/Pb (NA31)	$27X_0$	$7.5\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.5\% \oplus 0.1/E$	1988
Liquid Ar/Pb (SLD)	$21X_0$	$8\%/\sqrt{E}$	1993
Liquid Ar/Pb (H1)	$20\text{--}30X_0$	$12\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1\%$	1998
Liquid Ar/depl. U (DØ)	$20.5X_0$	$16\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.3\% \oplus 0.3/E$	1993
Liquid Ar/Pb accordion (ATLAS)	$25X_0$	$10\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.4\% \oplus 0.3/E$	1996

# Designing an experiment

- examples


# KLOE - I

- $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.02 \text{ GeV} = M_\phi$
- Low multiplicity events well suited for “exclusive” analyses.
- Particles to detect (momentum range 50 | 500 MeV):
  - Pions
  - Photons
  - Electrons
  - Muons
  - Charged kaons from  $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$  (momentum = 130 MeV)
  - Neutral Kaons (see later)
- At these low momenta, there are not “hadronic showers”, a pion is similar to a muon. On the other hand, electrons and photons are “e.m. showers”.
- Strategy:
  - A tracking chamber in magnetic field to measure charged particles momenta (with some charged kaon discrimination through  $dE/dx$  measurement);
  - A calorimeter on its back to measure photons, and to help in the discrimination between pions, muons and electrons through time-of-flight;

# KLOE - II

Specific KLOE case determines the detector overall dimensions:

$$\phi \rightarrow K_0 \bar{K}_0 \rightarrow K_S K_L$$




$$p(K_0) = 110.6 \text{ MeV}/c$$

$$\tau(K_S) = 0.8954 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s} \quad \Rightarrow l(K_S) = \tau(K_S) \beta \gamma c = 6 \text{ mm}$$

$$\tau(K_L) = 5.116 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s} \quad \Rightarrow l(K_L) = \tau(K_L) \beta \gamma c = 3.4 \text{ m}$$

$A > 50\%$  (acceptance on  $K_L$ ) if  
 $R > 2.3 \text{ m}$

$$A = \int_0^R f(r) dr = \frac{1}{l(K_L)} \int_0^R e^{-r/l(K_L)} dr = 1 - e^{-R/l(K_L)}$$



SuperConducting Coil + Return Yoke

$$B \approx 0.5 \text{ T}$$

typical curvature radii

$$R = p_T / 0.3B = 33 \div 330 \text{ cm}$$

Drift chamber

$\approx 10^4$  wires in stereo configuration

momentum measurement down to

50 MeV

typical track:  $\approx 30$  hits with  $200 \mu\text{m}$

space resolution each.

Calorimeter

Lead-Scintillating fibers calorimeter


Read-out through 4880 PMTs

Energy resolution (record for  
a sampling calorimeter)


$$\frac{\sigma(p_T)}{p_T} \approx 0.4\%$$

$$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} \approx \frac{5.7\%}{\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}}$$





# The KLOE drift chamber





# Stereo wires

Measurement of two coordinates in the two views:

$$Y = Y_0$$

$$\eta = \eta_0$$


Each measurement is a line in the X-Y plane:

$$Y = Y_0$$

$$Y = \eta_0 / \cos\theta + \tan\theta X$$

solve the equations and get ( $\sin\theta \approx \theta$ ,  $\cos\theta \approx 1$ )

$$X = (Y_0 \cos\theta - \eta_0) / \sin\theta \approx (Y_0 - \eta_0) / \theta$$




NB: given  $\sigma(Y_0) \sim \sigma(\eta_0)$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(X) = \sigma(Y_0) \sqrt{2} / \theta$$

# The KLOE calorimeter



# The KLOE calorimeter






Fig. 1.  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ : (a) Differential linearity vs.  $E_\gamma$ , (b) Energy resolution vs.  $E_\gamma$ .




Fig. 38. Photons efficiency vs. energy for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$  events (circles),  $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  (squares) and  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  (triangles).



# KLOE calorimeter: Time-of-flight

Time resolution for scintillators:

$\tau$  is the scintillator decay time;

$N_{pe}$  is the number of photoelectrons/MeV

$N$  is the total number of photoelectrons

$$= N_{pe} \times E(\text{MeV})$$

tts = Transite Time Spread (PMT, guides,...)


$$\sigma(t) = (\tau + \text{tts}) / \sqrt{N} \approx \text{const} / \sqrt{E}$$

In KLOE:

$$\tau \approx 2 \text{ ns}$$

$$N_{pe} \approx 2 / \text{MeV}$$

$$\text{tts} \approx 0.3 \text{ ns}$$



$$\sigma_t = 54 \text{ ps} / \sqrt{E \text{ (GeV)}} \oplus 140 \text{ ps}$$




Spread in the “start” time

# KLOE-2 at DAΦNE

LYSO Crystal w SiPM  
Low polar angle



Tungsten / Scintillating Tiles w SiPM  
Quadrupole Instrumentation




Inner Tracker – 4 layers of  
Cylindrical GEM detectors  
Improve track and vtx reconstr.  
First CGEM in HEP expt.



Scintillator hodoscope +PMTs




calorimeters LYSO+SiPMs at  
~ 1 m from IP




KLOE event:

$$\phi \rightarrow K_S K_L \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$$







Run No.    Ev. No.    Rec No.  
 6694        366742        21   A\_C philow\_6694\_



# $K_S$ and $K_L$ Tagging at KLOE



**$K_S$  tagged by  $K_L$  interaction in EmC**  
Efficiency  $\sim 30\%$  (largely geometrical)  
 $K_S$  angular resolution:  $\sim 1^\circ$  ( $0.3^\circ$  in  $\phi$ )  
 $K_S$  momentum resolution:  $\sim 2$  MeV



**$K_L$  tagged by  $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  vertex at IP**  
Efficiency  $\sim 70\%$  (mainly geometrical)  
 $K_L$  angular resolution:  $\sim 1^\circ$   
 $K_L$  momentum resolution:  $\sim 2$  MeV