Gel to glass transition in simulation of a valence-limited colloidal system

E. Zaccarelli^{a)}

Dipartimento di Fisica and CNR-INFM-SOFT, Universitá di Roma 'La Sapienza,' Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185, Roma, Italy and ISC-CNR, Via dei Taurini 19, I-00185, Roma, Italy

Saika-Voivod

Department of Chemistry, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatcon, Saskatchewan, S7N 5C9, Canada and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma 'La Sapienza,' Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185, Roma, Italy

S. V. Buldyrev

Department of Physics, Yeshiva University, 500 W 185th Street New York, New York 10033

A. J. Moreno

Dipartimento di Fisica and CNR-INFM-SMC, Università di Roma 'La Sapienza,' Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185, Roma, Italy and Donostia International Physics Center, Paseo Manuel de Lardizabal 4, E-20018 San Sebastián, Spain

P. Tartaglia

Dipartimento di Fisica and CNR-INFM-SMC, Università di Roma 'La Sapienza,' Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185, Roma, Italy

F. Sciortino

Dipartimento di Fisica and CNR-INFM-SOFT, Università di Roma 'La Sapienza,' Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185, Roma, Italy

(Received 17 November 2005; accepted 24 January 2006; published online 28 March 2006)

We numerically study a simple model for thermoreversible colloidal gelation in which particles can form reversible bonds with a predefined maximum number of neighbors. We focus on three and four maximally coordinated particles, since in these two cases the low valency makes it possible to probe, in equilibrium, slow dynamics down to very low temperatures T. By studying a large region of T and packing fraction ϕ we are able to estimate both the location of the liquid-gas phase separation spinodal and the locus of dynamic arrest, where the system is trapped in a disordered nonergodic state. We find that there are two distinct arrest lines for the system: a glass line at high packing fraction, and a gel line at low ϕ and T. The former is rather vertical (ϕ controlled), while the latter is rather horizontal (T controlled) in the ϕ -T plane. Dynamics on approaching the glass line along isotherms exhibit a power-law dependence on ϕ , while dynamics along isochores follow an activated (Arrhenius) dependence. The gel has clearly distinct properties from those of both a repulsive and an attractive glass. A gel to glass crossover occurs in a fairly narrow range in ϕ along low-T isotherms, seen most strikingly in the behavior of the nonergodicity factor. Interestingly, we detect the presence of anomalous dynamics, such as subdiffusive behavior for the mean squared displacement and logarithmic decay for the density correlation functions in the region where the gel dynamics interferes with the glass dynamics. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2177241]

I. INTRODUCTION

Systems composed of mesoscopic solid particles dispersed in a fluid are named colloids. The properties of the particles and of the fluid can be controlled via chemical or physical manipulations to a great extent. As a result the particle-particle interaction can be tuned from very short range depletion attractions to very long range Coulombic repulsion,¹ making colloids important both in terms of basic scientific research and industrial applications.^{2–5} The canonical model system for colloids is the hard-sphere system, for which sterically stabilized colloidal particles such as PMMA provide a very accurate experimental realization.^{6,7} Hard-

sphere colloids have been used to directly observe crystal nucleation and to test theoretical predictions surrounding glass transitions.⁸ The addition of small, nonadsorbing polymers to a hard-sphere solution leads to a short-range effective attraction between colloids through the so-called depletion interaction.^{9,10} The size of the small polymer controls the range of attraction, while the concentration controls the strength of attraction u_0 . Neglecting the role of solvent interactions, these systems can be simulated on a computer with a short-range attractive potential, as simple as a hard core complemented by a square well (SW). Colloid-polymer mixtures have been found to offer new scenarios of arrested states. These hard-sphere plus short-range attraction systems exhibit the usual hard-sphere glassy dynamics near $\phi \approx 0.6$.

124, 124908-1

^{a)}Electronic mail: emanuela.zaccarelli@phys.uniroma1.it

However, a fascinating phenomenon arises when the range of attraction is less than approximately 10% of the hard-sphere diameter, a reentrance of the glass transition line, predicted by mode coupling theory^{11–14} (MCT) and confirmed by several experiments^{15–20} and simulations.^{21–25} In this case, for a particular range of ϕ , arrest can be achieved by either increasing or decreasing T/u_0 , the ratio of temperature T to attraction strength u_0 . The two types of glass are now commonly named hard-sphere or repulsive glass for the one at higher T, and attractive glass for the one at lower T.

At low densities short-range attractive colloids exhibit particle clustering and gelation.^{26–29} Recently, several numerical works have focused on colloidal gelation,^{30–35} with the aim of better characterizing colloidal gels and attempt to formally connect gel to glass formation.^{12,27,31,36,37} Following MCT ideas, the gel state was interpreted as a low- ϕ extension of the high- ϕ attractive glass^{12,36–38} and, generating some confusion, the term "gel" is still often interchanged with the term "attractive glass."

Differently from chemical gelation, which was extensively studied in polymer physics^{39–41} and modeled in computer simulations,^{42–47} colloidal gelation is still quite poorly understood. Gelation arises when a stable particle network is formed due to bonding. For chemical gels, bonds are irreversible, and thus gelation can be explained in terms of percolation theory. However, the bonds intervening in colloidal aggregation have an energy typically of the order of k_BT , as, for example, bonds induced by depletion interactions. Thus such bonds are mostly transient at finite attraction strength. The existence of a finite bond lifetime creates a gap between the location of the percolation line and the dynamic arrest line. Earlier simulations on a lattice^{42,46,48} and off-lattice⁴⁷ have discussed the effects of reversible bond formation on the gelation process.

The quest for bond stabilization often calls for exploring regions of very high attraction strength in the phase diagram to increase the bond lifetime and promote gelation. However, in this region, at low packing fraction ϕ and at low T (or at high u_0 values), a phase separation into gas (colloid poor) and liquid (colloid rich) always takes place.⁴⁹ Recent theoretical studies have addressed the question of the relative location of the phase separation and of the attractive glass line. It has been found 50,51 that the attractive glass line meets the spinodal at a finite temperature, on the high density side. At low ϕ , arrest in (spherically interacting) short-range attractive colloids occurs only as arrested phase separation, where the liquid phase is glassy, and hence the phase separation cannot proceed fully.⁵⁰ This scenario was found in numerical simulations of the square well potential for a well width ranging from 15% to arbitrarily small values,^{50–52} as well as in Lennard-Jones potential.⁵³ Gels as a result of interrupted phase separation have been identified in experiments 54 and simulations. 50,55,56 For extremely deep quenches (at very low T) irreversible gelation may occur through diffusion limited cluster aggregation.^{57–61}

Other mechanisms must be invoked for stabilization of thermoreversible gels and suppression of the spinodal line.⁶² A possibility is to consider the effect of residual charges on the colloidal particles, which may produce a long-range re-

pulsive barrier in the interparticle potential, thus efficiently stabilizing the bonds and preventing the condensation of the liquid phase. The emergence of an equilibrium cluster phase has been recently evidenced both in experiments^{63,64} and simulations^{31–33} on charged colloidal and protein suspensions. A dynamical arrest transition then follows, driven by electrostatic repulsions between the clusters³¹ or by cluster branching and percolation.^{32,33}

Very recently, forming a gel in equilibrium, without the interference of phase separation, has been achieved by limiting the number of attractive interactions (bonds) between nearest neighbors. Following ideas first introduced by Speedy and Debenedetti,^{65,66} a model for thermoreversible gelation has been studied numerically.³⁴ This model, in which particles interact via a SW potential with the addition of a geometrical constraint in the maximum number of bonds $n_{\rm max}$ a particle can form, describes particles interacting via a hard-core plus n_{max} randomly located sticky spots.⁶⁷ In this respect, the model retains the spherical symmetry but incorporates features of associating liquids.⁶⁸ Other possibilities to limit the number of bonded pairs implementing geometrical constraints and retaining a spherical pair potential can be found in Refs. 69 and 70, while the same basic ideas have work self-assembly inspired recent on of supermolecular/nanostructures.^{71,72} In the literature it is possible to find several related models, also based on limited short-range attractions in which the bonding constraint is imposed via angular degrees of freedom, though the focus has not always been on their dynamic properties.35,73-76 In general, Ref. 34 puts forward the hypothesis that it is only when a restricted part of the colloidal surface is active in the formation of attractive bonds that dynamic arrest at low ϕ can be observed in the absence of a phase separation. An experimental test of the previous hypothesis will hopefully be provided by the new generation of "patchy" colloids, or colloids with "sticky spots,"⁷⁷ which is about to be synthesized.

Understanding gelation at low densities in short-range attractive models may also be relevant to the study of proteins, since they are expected to belong to the class of short-range attractive interacting systems.^{74,78} Indeed, the formation of arrested disordered states at low densities often interferes with crystallization, and this is possibly one of the reasons why proteins are often difficult to crystallize.^{79,80}

In a recent Letter,³⁴ we showed that the n_{max} model (detailed in Sec. II below) allows us to study thermoreversible gels. We showed that the signatures of the gel state, as, for example, the nonergodicity factor f_q , are quite distinct from those of both the hard-sphere and the attractive glass. In the present study, we explore the full- ϕ dependence of dynamics at low T for both $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and $n_{\text{max}}=4$. We observe a transition over a small range in ϕ from a gel to a repulsive glass. In Sec. II, we give details of the model and simulations. Section III contains the results for the calculated phase diagram and compares the relative location of the thermodynamic and kinetic arrest lines. We also report static and dynamic correlation functions. In Sec. IV we discuss results and in Sec. V conclusions are drawn.

II. SIMULATION DETAILS

We perform event-driven molecular dynamics simulations of N=10000 particles of mass m=1 with diameter σ =1 (setting the unit of length) interacting via a limitedvalency square well potential. The depth of the well u_0 is fixed to 1, and the width Δ of the square well attraction is such that $\Delta/(\sigma + \Delta) = 0.03$. T is measured in units of u_0 , and the unit of time t is $\sigma(m/u_0)^{1/2}$. This system is a onecomponent version of the binary mixture that has been ex-tensively studied previously.^{23,50,81,82} In the following we will use the acronym SW to indicate the $\Delta/(\sigma+\Delta)=0.03$ standard square well potential. The limited-valency condition is imposed by adding a bonding constraint. The square well form can be used to unambiguously define bonded particles, i.e., particles with centers lying within σ and $\sigma+\Delta$ of each other are bonded. The interaction between two particles, i and j, each having less than n_{max} bonds to other particles, or between two particles already bonded to each other, is thus given by a square well potential,

$$V_{ij}(r) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r < \sigma, \\ -u_0, & \sigma < r < \sigma + \Delta \\ 0, & r > \sigma + \Delta. \end{cases}$$
(1)

When *i* and/or *j* are already bonded to n_{max} neighbors, then $V_{ij}(r)$ is simply a hard-sphere (HS) interaction,

$$V_{ij}(r) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r < \sigma \\ 0, & r > \sigma. \end{cases}$$
(2)

The resulting Hamiltonian of the system has a many-body term containing information on the existing bonds. Due to the fact that the list of existing bonds is necessary at any instant of the simulation, configurations are saved, storing also the bond list. Moreover, all simulations are started from high temperature configurations where all particle overlaps are excluded within the attractive well distance $\sigma + \Delta$. In cases of multiplicity of possible bondings, such as, for example, when a bond is broken for a particle that was fulfilling the n_{max} allowed bonds and more than one neighbor particle lie within its attractive well, a random neighbor, with less than n_{max} bonds, is chosen to form the new bond.

The idea of constraining the number of square well bonds a particle can form was introduced by Speedy and Debenedetti.^{65,66} In contrast to their original version of the model, where triangular closed loops were not allowed, in the present model no constraints on minimal bonded loops are introduced. Our model can be considered as a realization of particles with n_{max} randomly located sticky spots.⁶⁷ The limited valency properly defines the ground state of the systems, corresponding to a potential energy per particle $-u_0 n_{\rm max}/2$. This is achieved when every particle has $n_{\rm max}$ filled bonds. We note that fully bonded clusters of finite size may occur. The smallest fully connected cluster sizes are 4 (tetrahedra) for $n_{\text{max}}=3,6$ (octahedra) for $n_{\text{max}}=4$, and 12 (icosohedra) for $n_{\text{max}}=5$. This introduces the intriguing possibility of forming a hard-sphere gas of such clusters at low ϕ and T, as seen from the simulations. We study in depth the cases $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and 4, for which we already know that there exist significant differences in the location of the spinodal lines as compared to the $n_{\text{max}}=12$ (or standard SW) case.

For all state points simulated, we first equilibrate the system at constant T until the potential energy and pressure of the system reach a steady state and the MSD reaches diffusive behavior, i.e., during the equilibration time particles move on average at least one particle diameter. A subsequent constant energy simulation is used to gather statistics for all reported quantities.

To estimate the equilibrium phase diagram, we calculate the gas-liquid spinodal and the static percolation line. The latter is defined as the locus in (ϕ, T) such that 50% of the configurations possess a spanning, or percolating, cluster of bonded particles. To characterize the structure and dynamics of the system, we evaluate the static structure factor,

$$S(q) \equiv \langle |\rho_q(0)|^2 \rangle, \tag{3}$$

the mean squared displacement (MSD),

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle \equiv \langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)|^2 / N \rangle, \qquad (4)$$

the diffusion coefficient,

$$D \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} | \mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0) |^2 \rangle / 6Nt, \qquad (5)$$

the dynamic structure factor, or density autocorrelation function,

$$F_q(t) \equiv \langle \rho_q(t)\rho_{-q}(0)\rangle / \langle \rho_q(0)\rho_{-q}(0)\rangle, \tag{6}$$

and its long time limit or plateau value f_q , i.e., the nonergodicity parameter. In all cases, $\langle \rangle$ denotes an ensemble average, \mathbf{r}_i is the position vector of a particle, \mathbf{q} is a wave vector, *i* labels the *N* particles of the system, while $\rho_q(t) = 1/\sqrt{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp(-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i)$.

Also, we monitor the bond lifetime correlation function, averaged over different starting times and defined as

$$\phi_B(t) = \langle \sum_{i < j} n_{ij}(t) n_{ij}(0) \rangle / [N_B(0)], \tag{7}$$

where $n_{ij}(t)$ is 1 if two particles are bonded up to time t and 0 otherwise, while $N_B(0) \equiv \langle \sum_{i < j} n_{ij}(0) \rangle$ is the number of bonds at t=0. We note that ϕ_B counts which fraction of bonds found at time t=0 persists at time t, without ever breaking within the store rate of configurations. Associated with $\phi_B(t)$, we extract an estimate of the bond lifetime τ_B via stretched exponential fits.

Although colloidal systems are more properly modeled using Brownian dynamics, we use event-driven molecular dynamics due to its efficiency in the case of stepwise potentials. While the short-time dynamics is strongly affected by the choice of the microscopic dynamics, the long term structural phenomena, in particular, close to dynamical arrest, are rather insensitive to the microscopic dynamics.^{76,83} To have a confirmation of this, we also performed additional simulations where the effect of the solvent was mimicked by socalled ghost particles.⁸⁴ In particular, we studied a system of 1000 colloidal particles and 10 000 ghost particles and we found that the long-time behavior of the dynamical quantities, such as the *T* dependence of τ_B and the *q* dependence of f_q , are independent from the microscopic dynamics. We also note that equivalence between Newtonian and Brownian dynamics is not guaranteed when studying small length scales (as, for example, the decay of density fluctuations at large *q*), i.e., for intracage motion, since there the microscopic dynamics may affect the shape of the decay of the correlation function. This is most relevant in gel systems for which the cage length can be significantly larger than the particle size.

III. RESULTS

In this section we examine the results of our simulation in terms of the thermodynamic and dynamic quantities mentioned above. We focus our attention on the cases $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and $n_{\text{max}}=4$, where a significant suppression of the liquid-gas spinodal as compared to the SW case is observed.³⁴ The suppression of the critical temperature and the shrinking of the unstable region in the $\phi-T$ plane makes it possible to study in one-phase conditions state points characterized by an extremely slow dynamics. More precisely, it is possible to study without encountering phase separation all $\phi \ge 0.2$ for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and $\phi \ge 0.30$ for $n_{\text{max}}=4$. In the SW case, phase separation was encountered already at $T \approx 0.32$, when particle mobility is always large.⁵⁰

A. Phase diagram

References 34 and 62 show that constraining the number of bonded neighbors reduces the energetic driving force for particle clustering. Therefore, as n_{max} decreases, the phase separation transition (that we monitor by studying its spinodal) and the percolation line both shift to lower *T* (along isochores) and lower ϕ (along isotherms).

Figure 1 reports the percolation and spinodal loci for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ (a) and $n_{\text{max}}=4$ (b). To evaluate the location of the spinodal line we interpolate the pressure $P(\phi)$ and search for the condition $dP/d\phi=0$. To better track down the spinodal and estimate the effect of the spinodal in its vicinity we also determine the loci of constant $S(q \rightarrow 0)$, which we name "iso-S(0) lines," that can be considered as precursors of the spinodal line. Indeed, S(0) is connected to the isothermal compressibility $\kappa_T = (d\phi/dP)/\phi$ by $S(0) = \rho k_B T \kappa_T$. The iso-S(0) lines are calculated as loci of constant $(dP/d\phi)/k_B T$. We cross-check these estimates with the less precise value obtained calculating directly $S(q \rightarrow 0)$. The iso-S(0) lines are shown to emphasize that, in bonded systems, an increase at small q in the scattering intensity can arise in the one-phase region due to the vicinity of the spinodal curve.

Figure 1 also shows isodiffusivity lines, i.e., lines where D/\sqrt{T} is constant. The scaling factor \sqrt{T} is used to take into account the trivial contribution of the thermal velocity with *T*. The investigated values of D/\sqrt{T} cover four orders of magnitude. Such isodiffusivity lines are precursors of the dynamical arrest transition, corresponding to $D \rightarrow 0$. Previous works^{22,23,85} have shown that these lines provide estimates of the shape and location of the arrest line. The isodiffusivity lines show an interesting behavior. They start from the high- ϕ side of the spinodal curve and then end up tracking the high-*T* hard-sphere limit. They are rather horizontal



FIG. 1. Phase diagram for (a) $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and (b) $n_{\text{max}}=4$. (b) showing the spinodal (dashed lines with squares), percolation (solid lines with open circles), isodiffusivity loci where $D/\sqrt{T}=$ constant (lines with triangles), and "iso-S(0) lines" (dashed lines). Also shown is the extrapolated glass line, labeled as ϕ_c from power-law fits.

(parallel to the ϕ axis) at low ϕ and rapidly cross to a vertical shape (parallel to the *T* axis) at high ϕ . The crossing from horizontal to vertical becomes sharper and sharper on decreasing D/\sqrt{T} . In the SW case, the isodiffusivity lines exhibit a reentrance in ϕ , in agreement with the predictions of MCT. The reentrance becomes more and more pronounced at lower and lower D/\sqrt{T} values. In the n_{max} case, a reentrant shape is hardly observed. Indeed, in the SW the reentrance arises from the competition between cages created by the nearest-neighbors excluded volume, with a typical hard-sphere localization length $\sim 0.1\sigma$, and cages created by bonding with a localization length $\sim \Delta$. In the n_{max} case, such a competition becomes less effective due to the smaller number of bonds.

B. Estimation of dynamical arrest lines

To provide an estimate of the dynamical arrest lines, we can identify a range of parameters where the characteristic time follow a power-law dependence. In the case D is se-

FIG. 2. Power-law fit of inverse diffusivity $D^{-1}=A(\phi_c - \phi)^{-\gamma(T)}$ for (a) $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and (b) $n_{\text{max}}=4$. The lines are guides to the eye. Insets (a-i) and (a-ii) show the behavior of ϕ_c in the $\phi - T$ plane. Insets (b-ii) and (b-ii) show the exponent γ as a function of T.

lected, data can be fitted according to $D^{-1}(\phi) = A(\phi_c)$ $(-\phi)^{-\gamma(T)}$, where ϕ_c is the best estimate for the glass line. Figure 2 shows in log-log scale $D^{-1}(\phi)/\sqrt{T}$ vs $(\phi_c - \phi)$ for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and $n_{\text{max}}=4$, where the ϕ_c values are chosen by a best-fit procedure. For $(\phi_c - \phi) \leq 0.3$, data are found to be well represented by power laws for at most two decades in D. The fits are performed over the range $(\phi_c - \phi) < 0.3$. The T dependence of the fit parameters is also reported in Fig. 2. The two fit parameters vary almost in phase with each other for both n_{max} values. At high temperatures ($T \ge 0.3$) attraction does not play a role, the arrest line is almost vertical, and ϕ_c and γ are practically constant. For $T \leq 0.3$ smaller values of ϕ_c and γ are found. The values of γ are rather small at high T, close to the lowest possible value allowed by MCT, and become smaller than the lowest possible value allowed by MCT at low T. We note on passing that crystallization limits the ϕ range over which dynamic measurements in (metastable) "equilibrium" can be performed. The region where we detect crystallization varies with T. At high T crystallization happens already for $\phi > 0.54$, while at low T, crystallization does not intervene up to $\phi = 0.56$. Thus, we cannot fully rely on the high-T fits as $\phi - \phi_c$ is always large.

Notwithstanding this, the estimate $\phi_c \approx 0.58$ is reasonable. On the other hand, at low *T*, the vicinity to ϕ_c increases, but the range of D values over which a power law can be fitted decreases to about one decade, making the fit questionable. The fact that γ decreases well below the lowest meaningful MCT value could tentatively be associated with difficulty of the theory to handle the crossing to an energetic caging (see also below). The resulting $\phi_c(T)$ line is also reported in Fig. 1. Independently of the fitting procedure, a clear vertically shaped arrest line, driven mostly by packing, is observed on isothermal compression.

To provide a better estimate of the arrest line at low ϕ we have studied the behavior of D with T along isochores. We have tried two routes. The first one consists in performing again power-law fits but in temperature along an isochore, i.e., $D(T) \sim A(T - T_c)^{\gamma T}$, selecting an appropriate T interval. For both $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and 4, such fits appear to hold for a rather small interval in D and are strongly dependent on the T interval selected in the fit procedure. In this way, we cannot extract a reliable estimate for T_c . Indeed, for the same state point T_c could vary from 0.2 to 0.08 depending on the fitting interval. However, fixing a T interval of fitting for all isochores, the resulting $T_c(\phi)$ is again rather flat for both $n_{\rm max}$ values. The second route is more robust and is based on the observed low-T behavior, where data are found to follow very closely an Arrhenius law. Figure 3 shows D as a function of 1/T, for both $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and 4. Arrhenius behavior of D is observed at all ϕ at low T. The activation energy is around 0.45 for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and 0.55 for $n_{\text{max}}=4$. Since at the lowest studied temperatures the structure of the system is already essentially T independent (see later on the discussion concerning Fig. 5), there is no reason to expect a change in the functional law describing the $T \rightarrow 0$ dynamics. In this respect, the true arrest of the dynamics is located along the T=0 line, limited at low ϕ by the spinodal and at high ϕ by crossing of the repulsive glass transition line. This peculiar behavior is possible only in the presence of limited valency, since when such a constraint is not present, phase separation preempts the possibility of accessing the $T \rightarrow 0$ Arrhenius window.

We can summarize the dynamical arrest behavior in Fig. 4. One locus of arrest is found at high ϕ , rather vertical and corresponding to the hard-sphere glass transition. The isod-iffusivity lines suggest a rather flat arrest line. Two different loci could be associated with arrest at low *T*. One defined by the T_c of the power-law fits and one at T=0 associated with the vanishing of *D* according to the Arrhenius law. Notwith-standing the problem with the power-law fits and the big undeterminacy on T_c , it would be tempting to associate the T_c line to the attractive glass line, at least as a continuation of it at low ϕ , and interpret the wide region between the two lines as a region of activated bond -breaking processes.⁸¹

Reference 34 showed that arrested states at low ϕ and *T* are profoundly different from both attractive and hard-sphere glasses. Data reported in the next sections will show that, in the present model, the identification of such line with an attractive glass line (or its extension to low density) is not valid, independently of the fit results. Indeed, we will show that, in this system, particles are never localized within the





FIG. 3. Arrhenius plot of *D* for (a) $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and (b) $n_{\text{max}}=4$ along all studied isochores without intervening phase separation.



FIG. 4. Summary of the thermodynamic and kinetic phase diagram for $n_{\text{max}}=3$, including spinodal (dashed lines with filled circles), isodiffusivity loci where $D/\sqrt{T}=0.005$ and 0.0005 (lines with triangles and squares), iso-S(0) locus where S(0)=1.5, extrapolated glass (labeled as ϕ_c), and gel (labeled as D=0), respectively, from power-law and Arrhenius fits ($T_c=0$).



FIG. 5. (a) Evolution of the static structure factor S(q) with T for the ϕ =0.20 isochore for n_{max} =3. Below T=0.125 the system has reached an almost fully connected state and S(q) does not change any longer with T; (b) evolution of the static structure factor S(q) with ϕ for the T=0.125 isotherm for n_{max} =4.

attractive well width Δ , at any ϕ . On the other hand, the establishment of a percolating network of long-lived bonds, that we will refer to as a *gel* is identified. We refer the reader to a future work to compare these results with corresponding MCT predictions for the same model.⁸⁶

C. Static structure factor

This section reports results for the static structure factors for various studied T and ϕ and both $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and $n_{\text{max}}=4$. Results along an isochore and an isotherm are general for both studied n_{max} values.

Figure 5(a) shows the evolution with temperature of S(q) at the lowest accessible ϕ (i.e., the lowest ϕ where phase separation is not present). On lowering *T*, S(q) shows an increase of the intensity at small wave vectors, which saturates to a constant value when most of the bonds have been formed. This indicates that the system becomes more and more compressible, with large inhomogeneities, characterizing the equilibrium structure of the system. The inhomogeneities can be seen as an echo of the nearby phase separation or, equivalently, as a consequence of building up a fully con-

Downloaded 28 Mar 2006 to 141.108.6.191. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://jcp.aip.org/jcp/copyright.jsp

nected network of particles with low coordination number. The large signal at small q is a feature of S(q) which is often observed in gel samples.^{28,87} However, sometimes it may be difficult to discriminate between a true equilibrium gel and an arrested state generated through spinodal decomposition. In the present model, where phase separation is confined to the low- ϕ region of the phase diagram, it is possible to reach in equilibrium extremely low T, i.e., a condition where the bond lifetime is significantly long, making it possible to study reversible gel formation.

Besides the low-q growth, on cooling S(q) shows a progressive structuring of peaks at $q\sigma \sim 2\pi$ and multiples thereof, signaling the fact that particles progressively become more and more correlated through bond formation. Indeed, the potential energy of the system progressively approaches the ground state value, where all particles have n_{max} bonds.⁸⁸ Figure 5(b) shows the evolution of S(q) on increasing ϕ along a low-T isotherm. Moving further from the spinodal, the $q \rightarrow 0$ peak decreases. A small prepeak, around $q\sigma \approx 3$, is present at low densities, and persists with smaller intensity also at intermediate ϕ . Beyond $\phi \approx 0.45$, a significant growth of the nearest-neighbor peak is found, signaling the increasing role of packing.

D. Mean squared displacement and caging

One of the hallmarks of glassy dynamics is the caging of a particle by its immediate neighbors. Caging is most easily seen in a log-log plot of the mean squared displacement (MSD) versus time as an intermediate time plateau, separating short-time ballistic intracage motion and long-time diffusion out of the cage. The height of the plateau in the MSD provides a typical (squared) value for the localization length l_0 of the particles within the arrested state. For the standard HS glass, l_0 is found to be roughly 0.1σ and corresponds to the average distance a particle can explore rattling within its nearest-neighbor cage. For an attractive glass, on the other hand, l_0 corresponds to the attractive well width, since particles are forced to rattle within the bond distance. For this reason, the MSD plateau is significantly smaller than for the HS glass (of the order $\Delta^2 \approx 10^{-3}$ vs $(0.1\sigma)^2 \approx 10^{-2}$). For the same reason, the q width of f_q is significantly larger for the attractive glass solution than for the repulsive one.

In the low- ϕ study reported in Ref. 34, on isochoric cooling a clear plateau develops in the MSD, but its value indicates a very high localization length, of the order of one or more particle diameters. The localization length does not change appreciably with *T*, even below *T_c*. We attributed this finding to the presence of a long-living percolating network of particles allowing for ample single particle movements (arising from the gel "vibrational" modes) which completely mask the bond localization. In this respect, the connectivity of the network plays an important role in the slowing down and provides an additional mechanism of arrest with respect to both attractive and repulsive glasses.

Here we study the high- ϕ behavior with the aim of locating the crossover from low- ϕ arrest (gel) to the high- ϕ case and to see if a crossover or transition emerges to one of the above cited glasses. Results for the ϕ dependence of the



FIG. 6. Mean squared displacement (MSD) and caging. (a) MSD along T = 0.1 for $n_{\text{max}} = 3$; (b) MSD along T = 0.125 for $n_{\text{max}} = 4$. The insets show the localization length l_0 as a function of ϕ , with the dotted line as guide to the eye. The dashed lines highlight subdiffusive behavior at intermediate ϕ .

MSD along a low-*T* isotherm are presented in Fig. 6 for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and 4. Both graphs show similar features. A very high plateau of order unity, slightly decreasing with ϕ , is found up to $\phi \approx 0.45$. Although the long-time dynamics is monotonically slower with increasing ϕ , the plateau becomes less defined near $\phi=0.50$, slowly crossing over to a quite distinct plateau compatible with the HS one at $\phi=0.55$ for $n_{\text{max}}=4$ and at $\phi=0.56$ for $n_{\text{max}}=3$.

The cage length l_0 can be defined as the square root of the MSD value at the inflection point of the MSD in log-log scale. We plot l_0 in the insets of Fig. 6. The cage length starts from values larger ($n_{max}=3$) or close ($n_{max}=4$) to σ and progressively approach the HS limiting value 0.1 σ .

In a window of ϕ values, the crossover between the two plateaus in the MSD displays a subdiffusive behavior for up to two decades in time, i.e., $\langle r^2 \rangle \propto t^{\alpha}$, with a state-point dependent exponent $\alpha < 1$. A similar behavior was found in the simulations of the SW system,^{23,82} in a limited *T* window, within the liquid reentrant region. In the SW case the subdiffusive behavior is found for MSD values between Δ^2 (the bond cage) and $10^{-2}\sigma^2$ (the HS cage) and it is due to a competition between attractive and HS glasses at low and high *T*. Explicit MCT predictions have confirmed this feature,⁸⁹ connecting it with the presence of a nearby higher order singularity. In the present case it appears that the subdiffusive behavior arises from the competition between the very different localization lengths of the gel-arrested network and the HS glass. The MSD phenomenology is reminiscent of that found in the presence of a higher order MCT singularity.⁹⁰ It is possible that the subdiffusive behavior (and other features such as the logarithmic decay of the density autocorrelation functions discussed later on) arises generically from the competition between two disordered arrested states.

E. Density relaxation, bond relaxation, and nonergodicity

We now focus on the behavior of the density autocorrelation functions $F_q(t)$. Reference 34 called attention on the different time dependences of the low- and high-q windows. At small q, dynamics slow down significantly and become nonergodic, while at larger q (already on the scale of nearest neighbors) dynamics remain ergodic to within numerical accuracy. At low density, the nonergodicity parameter for the gel is different from that of either the repulsive or attractive glass. We now investigate the effect of density on these findings.

To provide a picture of the behavior of the dynamics at low *T* as a function of ϕ we plot in Fig. 7 the density correlators for three different values of *q*, corresponding to distances, respectively, much larger, larger, and comparable to the nearest-neighbor distance σ . In addition, we report the behavior of the bond correlation function $\phi_B(t)$ at the same low *T*, for small and large ϕ .

The ϕ evolution of the shape of the correlation function is particularly complex. At very small $q(q\sigma \sim 1)$, all correlation functions show a clear plateau, followed by the α -relaxation process. Interestingly, the plateau value has a nonmonotonic behavior with ϕ . It starts from a high value at the lowest ϕ and decreases down to less than 0.1 before increasing again on approaching the hard-sphere glass. At the present time, we have no explanation for these trends.

Even more complicated is the $q\sigma \sim 3$ case. The plateau value first increases with ϕ , then a reversal of the trend is observed at $\phi=0.40$ where the plateau height starts to decrease. Such a decrease persists up to $\phi=0.50$, after which a distinguishable plateau almost disappears. Correlators are higher at comparable times and become almost logarithmic for up to three time decades. At $\phi=0.56$, a clear repulsive glassy behavior is recovered.

Much simpler is the interpretation of the last case, $q\sigma \sim 7$ (and larger q). Here, the standard scenario for the repulsive glass is observed, despite the presence of a connected long-living network of bonds. The absence of any detectable (within our numerical precision) plateau at small length scales and low ϕ confirms the ability of the particles to explore distances smaller than σ without any constraint. This is an effect of the loose character of the network, of the small overall ϕ (as compared to the typical HS glass values), and of the small local degree of connectivity.

Focusing on the behavior of $\phi_B(t)$, we find that the



FIG. 7. Density autocorrelation functions for T=0.1 and $n_{\text{max}}=3$ at various ϕ and $q\sigma \sim 1$, 3, and 7. Also, bond correlation functions $\phi_B(t)$ (dashes lines) at small and large ϕ are reported in all three panels for comparison.

curves follow closely, at all studied ϕ , a simple exponential law, i.e., a stretched exponential fit gives an exponent β always close to 1 (tending to 1 with decreasing *T*). From the figures, it is evident that bond relaxation is always much slower as compared to density relaxation even for very small *q*. This suggests that, up to at least a time of order 10, the density relaxation is coupled to the movements of a permanent network which, without breaking most of its bonds, is capable of spanning a large part of the simulation box. At longer times, the breaking of the bonds enters into play, producing a secondary very slow relaxation in $F_q(t)$, accompanied by a very small plateau, as is evident in panels (a) and (b) for small *q*.



FIG. 8. Density autocorrelation functions for T=0.125 and $n_{\text{max}}=4$ at various ϕ and $q\sigma \sim 8$.

On increasing n_{max} , the same features for $F_q(t)$ are observed at a progressively larger q. An example is reported in Fig. 8 for the case $q\sigma \sim 8$. Here, around $\phi=0.52$ a logarithmic decay for about two time decades is observed. At this wave vector, corresponding to lengths smaller than the nearest-neighbor one, the nonmonotonic behavior of the plateau is not present anymore.

To better grasp the logarithmic behavior observed in Figs. 7 and 8, in Fig. 9 we show the q dependence of $F_q(t)$ at $\phi=0.54$, i.e., the ϕ showing the most enhanced $\log(t)$ dependence, for $n_{\max}=3$. We note that the best (long-lasting) $\log(t)$ dependence is seen in a finite window of q values, roughly between $3 \le q\sigma \le 6$, and it covers about three orders of magnitude in time. Again, on increasing n_{\max} this q window shifts to larger q (approximately between $8 \le q\sigma \le 10$).

A possible quantification of the characteristic time of the dynamics and of the nonergodicity parameter is provided by stretched exponential fits $[F_q(t)=f_q \exp(-(t/\tau_q)\beta_q)]$ of the long time decay. This fit allows us to extract information on the behavior of the nonergodicity parameter f_q , as well as on the stretching exponent β_q , and an estimation of the relaxation time τ_q . Still, in a certain region of ϕ and q values, the decay



FIG. 9. Density autocorrelation functions for T=0.1, $\phi=0.54$, and $n_{\text{max}}=3$ at various $q\sigma$. A log(*t*) behavior is observed for $3 \le q\sigma \le 6$ for up to three time decades.



FIG. 10. Nonergodicity factor, obtained from stretched exponential fits, at various ϕ for (a) T=0.1 and n_{max} =3 and (b) T=0.125 and n_{max} =4.

of the correlators is clearly different from a stretched exponential, being $F_q(t)$ essentially linear in $\log(t)$. Under these conditions we cannot fit the density correlators and estimate the nonergodicity parameter.

Figure 10 shows f_q along the low-*T* isotherm for $n_{\text{max}} = 3$ and 4 for several ϕ . In both cases, a different nonergodic behavior at low and high ϕ is evident. At low ϕ , f_q is largest at $q \rightarrow 0$, then decays rapidly to zero within a range of about 5 in units of $q\sigma$. With increasing ϕ , the overall height of f_q decreases, but a small peak starts to form at larger q, which is still of the order of a few $q\sigma$. This behavior roughly follows that of S(q), for which the low q increase turns to a small peak at finite q [see, for example, in Fig. 5(b)]. At large ϕ the shape of f_q closely resembles that of a hard-sphere system, with the first peak around $q\sigma \approx 2\pi$, i.e., the nearest-neighbor length scale.

At intermediate ϕ , we observe a slightly different behavior between the two studied values of n_{max} . As discussed before, for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and $0.45 < \phi < 0.55$, we cannot estimate f_q from the fits. The reason for this is that $F_q(t)$ displays unusual features, such as a logarithmic decay at certain qfollowed by a secondary relaxation reminiscent of the gel type. Figure 10 shows that a sharp transition in the q dependence of f_q takes place between $0.45 < \phi < 0.55$, which we

Downloaded 28 Mar 2006 to 141.108.6.191. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://jcp.aip.org/jcp/copyright.jsp



FIG. 11. ϕ dependence of the density relaxation time τ_q at $q\sigma \sim 1$, 3, and 7 vs bond relaxation time τ_B (triangles) at fixed T=0.1 for $n_{\text{max}=3}$. τ_q are either extracted from stretched exponential fits or via the relation $F_q(\tau_q)=0.01$ ($q\sigma \sim 3$) and $F_q(\tau_q)=0.1$ ($q\sigma \sim 7$). In the latter case, the dashed line is a fit of the data to a power law ($\phi - \phi_c$)^{γ}.

associate with the gel to glass transition. For $n_{\text{max}}=4$ there seems to be a smoother transition although a similar nonmonotonic behavior of f_q with ϕ at low q is observed.

We further note that the relaxation time τ_q , extracted from the fits or otherwise, monotonically increases with ϕ despite the nonmonotonicity in the plateau. The behavior of τ_q with ϕ at low T is shown in Fig. 11 at the q values discussed above for $n_{\text{max}}=3$, together with the corresponding behavior for the bond relaxation time τ_B . Apart from the fits, au_q can be also conventionally defined as the time at which the normalized correlators are equal to an arbitrary (low) value, which is chosen for convenience as 0.1. Doing so, for $q\sigma \sim 7$, we find that τ_a follows a typical, for glass-forming systems, power-law dependence on ϕ (also shown in the figure), with critical ϕ_c of 0.562 and exponent $\gamma \approx 2.5$. This result for ϕ_c is quite consistent with that extracted from the diffusivity fits discussed earlier. However, on lowering q, the situation becomes more complicated. At $q\sigma \sim 3$, the conventional arbitrary value of 0.1 is too high, since the correlators display smaller plateau values. Thus, in Fig. 11 we report τ_q obtained both from the fits and by choosing a conventional value of 0.01. The results are parallel to each other and do not show a power-law behavior. Rather there seems to be a crossover regime at intermediate ϕ . However, the relaxation time is monotonically increasing at this length scale of observation, despite the nonmonotonic behavior of the plateau. At even lower q, e.g., $q\sigma \sim 1$, we cannot define a satisfying finite value for $F_a(t)$, below the plateaus at all studied ϕ and numerically detectable. Also the fits cannot be relied on at high densities. As shown in the inset, it seems that τ_a does not vary strongly with ϕ , and indeed all correlators [see Fig. 7(a)] seem to meet at $F_q(t)=0$ at around the same value of t, up to $\phi = 0.52$.

A sensible estimate of τ_B is simply obtained by stretched exponential fits of $\phi_B(t)$. τ_B is always longer than the density relaxation time at all q, as remarked above. Moreover, the increase of τ_B upon ϕ is rather small, indicating that bonds are slightly stabilized by crowding. τ_B is completely decoupled from τ_q at large q, while it becomes coupled to τ_q at small q, the data being almost parallel, and, it seems that in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, the two relaxation times would coincide. Once again, we associate the large τ_B with the very slow secondary relaxation observed for density correlators at small q [see, for example, $q\sigma \sim 3$ and $0.50 \le \phi \le 0.55$ in Fig. 7(b)].

A final observation concerns the behavior of β_q extracted from the fits, outside the logarithmic regimes. We find at high q ($q\sigma \ge 7$) and low ϕ that values of β_q are larger than 1, actually close to 1.5, associated more with a compressed than a stretched exponential.^{29,91,92} However, this value decreases below 1 for high ϕ and large q, while it tends to be 1 for low ϕ and low q ($q\sigma \le 7$). We note that care has to be taken when exponents greater than 1 are found in Newtonian dynamics, since they could arise from undamped motion of clusters (possibly of rotational origin), or elastic motion within the percolating structure. A comparison with a Brownian dynamics simulation may help to clarify this issue.

Additionally, we focus on the T dependence of the density correlators. In Ref. 34, we discussed the T dependence along the lowest isochore $\phi = 0.20$. There, we found that only at low enough q was there an emergence of a gel plateau at low T and that, in this region of wave vectors, the density relaxation time au_q and the bond relaxation time au_B both followed an Arrhenius law. On increasing density, there is the gel to glass crossover, which is clearly visible by looking at the T behavior of $F_q(t)$, as shown in Fig. 12. Up to ϕ =0.45 a clear gel plateau is approached. Beyond this value, the anomalously slow logarithmic decay is observed, at its best for $\phi = 0.54$, reported in Fig. 12(a). Above this ϕ , e.g., ϕ =0.55 in Fig. 12(b), a crossover from logarithmic to standard glass regime (i.e., typical two-step relaxation) is observed. A clear difference between these two graphs is the fact that at $\phi = 0.54$ pure logarithmic decay is observed up to the lowest T, while at $\phi = 0.55$ a kink, evidence of the nearby glass transition, is present. We also note that, interestingly, the shape of the correlators in Fig. 12(b) is very reminiscent of the MCT predictions and simulation results for the SW model of Fig. 11 in Ref. 13 and Fig. 6 in Ref. 23, respectively, for fixed temperature in the reentrant region and varying density. Here, the analogous plot is reported, at a density within the gel to glass crossover and varying T. Again, this suggests a close similarity of the features at the gel to glass crossover with respect to the SW crossover from repulsive to attractive glass.

Finally, both the relaxation time τ_q and the bond relaxation time τ_B are found to obey Arrhenius dynamics in *T* at all ϕ .

IV. DISCUSSION

The interpretation of the results reported so far is not completely straightforward. However, they strongly suggest that the system gels at low ϕ and forms a glass at high ones. It is important to understand what happens in between these two regimes, where a new type of relaxation takes place, as a result of the competition of the two effects. Similarly to the simple short-range SW case, where anomalous dynamics



FIG. 12. Density autocorrelation functions for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ at various studied T for (a) $\phi=0.54$ and $q\sigma\sim4.4$ [maximally enhanced log(t) behavior] and (b) $\phi=0.55$ and $q\sigma\sim3$ [interference between log(t) behavior and standard glasslike α relaxation].

arises in the reentrant liquid region from the competition between attractive and repulsive glass, here again anomalous dynamics is generated from the presence of two distinct arrested states. However, in the attractive versus repulsive glass scenario, temperature is the control parameter generating a liquid pocket in between. Here ϕ is the control parameter. We have to bear in mind that at T=0.1 the energy per particle of the system for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ varies from about -1.48 at $\phi=0.20$ to about -1.495 at $\phi=0.56$, indicating that most of the particles ($\approx 99\%$) are fully bonded (i.e., they have reached the n_{max} limit already). With increasing ϕ , more neighbors surround each particle but only n_{max} of them interact via an attractive well, the others probing only the hardcore interaction. Thus we cannot expect a nonmonotonic ϕ dependence of the characteristic time (i.e., no reentrance).

Where does the logarithm/subdiffusivity come from? A more intuitive understanding of the anomalous dynamics results from interpreting the MSD behavior. If one thinks simply of a filling up of space, the MSD plateau should monotonically decrease and no subdiffusive behavior should be observed. However, at $\phi \approx 0.54$ a clear t^{α} law is observed. After the ballistic regime, particles start to feel the presence of the nearest neighbors and the MSD slows down. At long

times, particles are able to break and reform the bonds, the network fully restructures itself, and proper diffusion is observed. In the intermediate time window one observes the competition between excluded-volume confinement and exploration of space associated with the motion of the unbroken network. We believe that this is at the origin of the anomalously slow diffusion and logarithmic decay.

To support this hypothesis we note that the logarithmic decay shows up only in a window of small q significantly less than the nearest-neighbor inverse length (i.e., over distances where connectivity is probed). On increasing n_{max} , this length becomes smaller due to the higher degree of constraint for the network, in the same way as the localization length, estimated from the MSD, decreases. However, we recall that in the SW system such anomalous log(t) decay was observed for very large q, associated with the typical distance of the short-range attraction. This provides further evidence that in the n_{max} case the connectivity of the network is associated with generating unusual log(t) features and confirming that the width of the attractive well does not play any significant role.

A final comment is needed regarding the existence of the so-called higher order MCT singularity. In the SW case, the width of the attraction Δ is the crucial control parameter driving the system close to the singularity. In the $n_{\rm max}$ case, Δ does not play a relevant role. It is intriguing to ask ourselves which parameter plays the role of Δ if the gel to glass crossover belongs to the same class of models possessing a higher order MCT singularity. One possible answer is n_{max} itself. Indeed, we noted that the characteristic length scale to observe logarithmic behavior in $F_a(t)$ shifts with increasing $n_{\rm max}$. Within our present knowledge, this is found at $q\sigma \approx 4$ for $n_{\text{max}}=3$ and $q\sigma \approx 8$ for $n_{\text{max}}=4$. We also know that for the SW, where the competition between repulsive and attractive glasses is present, such length scale moves up to $q\sigma \sim 20.^{82}$ The possible existence of a smooth crossover between the two phenomena could be theoretically investigated with simulations. However, the existence of the higher order singularity in the present model is destined to be uncertain, unless some theory is devised for the gel transition and its predictions tested against the simulations.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Understanding the slowing down of the dynamics in colloidal systems and the loci of dynamic arrest in the full ϕ -T plane, encompassing gel and glass transitions, is one of the open issues in soft condensed matter research. Two classes of potentials have been explored in some details in the recent years: (i) short-range attractive spherical potentials and (ii) short-range attractive spherical potentials complemented with a repulsive shoulder. In the first case, it has been shown that low- ϕ arrested states arise only as a result of an interrupted phase separation. The second case appears to be much more complicated and partially unresolved. For certain values of the parameters of the repulsive potential the system separates into clusters (which can be interpreted as a mesoscopic interrupted phase separation) and dynamic arrest at low ϕ can follow from a cluster glass transition or from cluster percolation.

In the attempt to provide an accurate picture of dynamics and a model for dynamic arrest at low ϕ in the absence of phase separation (both at the macroscopic and mesoscopic level) we present here a study of a minimal model of gelforming systems. The model builds up on the intuition that phase separation is suppressed when the number of interacting neighbors becomes less than 6, since the energetic driving force for phase separation becomes less effective.⁹³ To retain the spherical aspect of the potential, a standard SW interaction potential is complemented by a constraint on the maximum number n_{max} of bonded neighbors (a model similar to the one first introduced by Speedy and Debenedetti).^{65,66}

In this manuscript we have presented a detailed study in the ϕ -*T* plane of the dynamics for n_{max} =3 and n_{max} =4. For these two values of n_{max} the region of phase diagram where unstable states (with respect to phase separation) are present shrinks to $T \leq 0.1$ and $\phi \leq 0.3$, making it possible to approach on cooling low- ϕ arrested states, technically in metastable (with respect to crystallization) equilibrium. The simplicity of the model makes it possible to study it numerically even at very low *T* and estimate, with accuracy, the low-*T* fate of the supercooled liquid. It becomes possible to predict the regions in the phase diagram where disordered arrested states are kinetically stabilized as compared to the lowest free energy crystalline states.

Dynamics in the n_{max} model is also important because it provides a zeroth order reference system for the dynamics of particles interacting via directional potentials. These systems include globular protein solutions (hydrophilic/hydrophobic patches on the surfaces of proteins), the new generation of patchy colloids, and, at a smaller scale, network-forming liquids. In this respect, the n_{max} model allows us to study the generic features (since it neglects the geometric correlations induced by directional forces) of particle association. It has the potential to provide us with an important reference frame to understand dynamical arrest in network-forming liquids and the dependence of the general dynamic and thermodynamic features on the number of patchy interactions.

One of the important results of the present model is contained in Fig. 1, which shows the locus of isodiffusivity in the $\phi-T$ plane. These lines, which provide an accurate estimate of the glass transition line $(D \rightarrow 0)$ are found to be essentially vertical at high ϕ , in correspondence to the HS glass transition, and essentially horizontal at low T. Only a very weak, almost negligible, reentrance in ϕ is observed. Extrapolating the D dependence by power laws in ϕ and by Arrhenius laws in T, we estimate the glass lines. The Arrhenius law is found to be valid for many decades, suggesting that, at intermediate ϕ , D vanishes only at T=0. Data strongly support the possibility of two distinct arrest transitions: a glass of the HS type driven only by packing at high ϕ and a gel at low T.⁹⁴

We have chosen the word *gel* to label arrest at small and intermediate ϕ since the analysis of the simulation data confirms that the establishment of a network of bonded particles

and the network connectivity plays a significant role in the arrest process. While particles are locally caged by SW bonds with $n_{\rm max}$ neighbors (and in this respect one would be tempted to name it an attractive glass), particle localization is not only controlled by bonding. Bonded particles are free to explore space (retaining their connectivity) until they are limited by the network constraints. Indeed, the plateau of the MSD is, especially at the lowest ϕ , larger than the particle size. We remark that the bond localization, typical of the attractive glass case, is not observed throughout the phase diagram, neither in the MSD nor in the width of f_q . We believe this is due to the fact that, although bonding is present, particles are confined by the potential well only relative to each other. The network connectivity length is the quantity that enters into the determination of the localization length in the arrested state. On increasing ϕ , the localization length progressively approaches the one characteristic of the hard-sphere glass, signaling that a crossover to the excludedvolume case takes place.

The intersection between the repulsive glass and gel loci appears to be associated with anomalous dynamics. No intermediate liquid state, i.e., no reentrant regime in ϕ , is found. Interestingly enough, these anomalies are strongly reminiscent of the anomalies observed close to the intersection of the attractive and repulsive glasses in the case of short-range interacting particles. Correlation functions show a clear log(t) dependence in a window of q vectors and the MSD shows a clear subdiffusive behavior $\sim t^{\alpha}$. Here, the gel localization length is larger than that of the HS glass, a different scenario from the attractive-repulsive case. These results support the hypothesis that a possible MCT-type higher order singularity in the n_{max} model is present and, at the same time, provides further support to the intrinsic difference in the localization mechanisms that are active for the two arrested states. In contrast to the SW case, the well width is not a crucial length scale in the problem, while an important parameter is n_{max} , that could be the control parameter of a putative higher order singularity of the MCT type.

One further consideration refers to the role of Δ in the n_{max} model. We have chosen to use $\epsilon \equiv \Delta/(\sigma + \Delta) = 0.03$ to connect with the well studied corresponding SW case. We do not expect significant differences for the two arrested states for Δ values up to $\epsilon \approx 0.1-0.2$, since the connectivity properties would be essentially identical. Thus, we still expect the existence of distinct gel and glass lines. Only the interplay between the two could be affected, as, for example, the log(*t*) behavior should be shifted in its *q* dependence. Also, in that case, the behavior with n_{max} is not *a priori* clear, since for larger Δ values no MCT singularity is present for the SW model and, from a theoretical point of view, the attractive glass line is not physically distinct from the repulsive one.

In summary, the present model provides a clear indication that even if liquid-gas phase separation can be avoided and arrest at low ϕ can be explored in equilibrium conditions, the observed arrested state is not the low- ϕ extension of the attractive glass. The present results strongly suggest that the attractive glass is an arrested state of matter which can be observed in short-range attractive potentials only at relatively high ϕ , being limited by the spinodal curve. When the interparticle potential favors a limited valency, arrest at low ϕ becomes possible but with a mechanism based on the connectivity properties of a stable particle network, clearly different from what would be the extension of the (attractive) glass line.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge support from MIUR-Cofin, MIUR-Firb, and MRTN-CT-2003-504712. One of the authors (I.S.-V.) acknowledges NSERC (Canada) for funding. We thank T. Voigtmann and W. Kob for useful discussions.

- ¹A. Yethiraj and A. V. Blaaderen, Nature (London) **421**, 513 (2003).
- ²W. B. Russel, D. A. Saville, and W. R. Schowalte, *Colloidal Dispersions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991).
- ³J.-L. Barrat and J.-P. Hansen, *Basic Concepts for Simple and Complex Liquids* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- ⁴D. Frenkel, Science **296**, 65 (2002).
- ⁵F. Sciortino and P. Tartaglia, Adv. Phys. **54**, 471 (2005).
- ⁶W. van Megen and S. M. Underwood, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2766 (1993).
- ⁷G. Bryant, S. R. Williams, L. Qian, I. K. Snook, E. Perez, and F. Pincet, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 060501 (2002).
- ⁸P. N. Pusey and W. van Megen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2083 (1987).
- ⁹S. Asakura and F. Oosawa, J. Polym. Sci. **33**, 183 (1958).
- ¹⁰C. N. Likos, Phys. Rep. **348**, 267 (2001).
- ¹¹L. Fabbian, W. Götze, F. Sciortino, P. Tartaglia, and F. Thiery, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 1347 (1999).
- 12 J. Bergenholtz and M. Fuchs, Phys. Rev. E $\,$ 59, 5706 (1999).
- ¹³K. A. Dawson, G. Foffi, M. Fuchs, W. Gotze, F. Sciortino, M. Sperl, T. Tartaglia, Th. Voigtmann, and E. Zaccarelli, Phys. Rev. E 63, 011401 (2001).
- ¹⁴ E. Zaccarelli, G. Foffi, K. A. Dawson, F. Sciortino, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. E 63, 031501 (2001).
- ¹⁵ F. Mallamace, P. Gambadauro, N. Micali, P. Tartaglia, C. Liao, and S. -H. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5431 (2000).
- ¹⁶ K. N. Pham, A. M. Puertas, J. Bergenholtz, S. U. Egelhaaf, A. Moussaïd, P. N. Pusey, A. B. Schofield, M. E. Cates, M. Fuchs, and W. C. K. Poon, Science **296**, 104 (2002).
- ¹⁷T. Eckert and E. Bartsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 125701 (2002).
- ¹⁸ S. H. Chen, W.-R. Chen, and F. Mallamace, Science **300**, 619 (2003).
 ¹⁹ D. Pontoni, T. Narayanan, J.-M. Petit, G. Grübel, and D. Beysens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 188301 (2003).
- ²⁰J. Grandjean and A. Mourchid, Europhys. Lett. **65**, 712 (2004).
- ²¹ A. M. Puertas, M. Fuchs, and M. E. Cates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 098301 (2002).
- ²²G. Foffi, K. A. Dawson, S. Buldyrev, F. Sciortino, E. Zaccarelli, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. E 65, 050802 (2002).
- ²³ E. Zaccarelli, G. Foffi, K. A. Dawson, S. V. Buldyrev, F. Sciortino, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. E 66, 041402 (2002).
- ²⁴ E. Zaccarelli, H. Lowen, P. P. Wessels, F. Sciortino, P. Tartaglia, and C. N. Likos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 225703 (2004).
- ²⁵ A. M. Puertas, E. Zaccarelli, and F. Sciortino, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17, L271 (2005).
- ²⁶N. A. M. Verhaegh, D. Asnaghi, H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, M. Giglio, and L. Cipelletti, Physica A **242**, 104 (1997).
- ²⁷ P. N. Segrè, V. Prasad, A. B. Schofield, and D. A. Weitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 6042 (2001).
- ²⁸ S. A. Shah, Y. L. Chen, S. Ramakrishnan, K. S. Schweizer, and C. F. Zukoski, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15, 4751 (2003).
- ²⁹L. Cipelletti and L. Ramos, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17, 253 (2005).
- ³⁰S. K. Kumar and J. F. Douglas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 188301 (2001).
 ³¹F. Sciortino, S. Mossa, E. Zaccarelli, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. Lett.
- **93**, 055701 (2004).
- ³² A. Coniglio, L. De Arcangelis, E. Del Gado, A. Fierro, and N. Sator, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, S4831 (2004).
- ³³ F. Sciortino, P. Tartaglia, and E. Zaccarelli, J. Phys. Chem. B 109, 21942 (2005).
- ³⁴E. Zaccarelli, S. V. Buldyrev, E. La Nave, A. J. Moreno, I. Saika-Voivod,
- F. Sciortino, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 218301 (2005).
- ³⁵E. Del Gado and W. Kob, Europhys. Lett. **72**, 1032 (2005).
- ³⁶ J. Bergenholtz, W. C. K. Poon, and M. Fuchs, Langmuir **19**, 4493 (2003).

- ³⁷ K. Kroy, M. E. Cates, and W. C. K. Poon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 148302 (2004).
- ³⁸ A. Puertas, M. Fuchs, and M. E. Cates, Phys. Rev. E 67, 031406 (2003).
 ³⁹ P. J. Flory, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63, 3083 (1941).
- ⁴⁰M. Rubinstein and A. V. Dobrynin, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. **4**,
- 83 (1999).
- ⁴¹K. Broderix, H. Löwe, P. Müller, and A. Zippelius, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 011510 (2001).
- ⁴² Y. Liu and R. B. Pandey, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 8257 (1997).
- ⁴³D. Vernon, M. Plischke, and B. Joós, Phys. Rev. E 64, 031505 (2001).
- ⁴⁴ M. Plischke, D. C. Vernon, and B. Joós, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 011401 (2003).
- ⁴⁵ M. Wen, L. E. Scriven, and A. V. McCormick, Macromolecules **36**, 4151 (2003).
- ⁴⁶E. Del Gado, A. Fierro, L. de Arcangelis, and A. Coniglio, Europhys. Lett. **63**, 1 (2003).
- ⁴⁷I. Saika-Voivod, E. Zaccarelli, F. Sciortino, S. V. Buldyrev, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 041401 (2004).
- ⁴⁸J. C. Gimel, T. Nicolai, and D. Durand, Eur. Phys. J. E **5**, 415 (2001).
- ⁴⁹ V. J. Anderson and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, Nature (London) **416**, 811 (2002).
- ⁵⁰ E. Zaccarelli, F. Sciortino, S. V. Buldyrev, and P. Tartaglia, *Short-Ranged Attractive Colloids: What is the Gel State?* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004), pp. 181–194.
- ⁵¹G. Foffi, C. De Michele, F. Sciortino, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 078301 (2005).
- ⁵²E. Bianchi, E. Zaccarelli, F. Sciortino, and P. Tartaglia (unpublished).
- ⁵³S. Sastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 590 (2000).
- ⁵⁴S. Manley, H. M. Wyss, K. Miyazaki, J. C. Conrad, V. Trappe, L. J. Kaufman, and D. R. Reichman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 238302 (2005).
- ⁵⁵K. G. Soga, J. R. Melrose, and R. C. Ball, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 2280 (1999).
- ⁵⁶J. F. M. Lodge and D. M. Heyes, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 7567 (1998).
- ⁵⁷T. Vicsek, *Fractal Growth Phenomena* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- ⁵⁸ M. Carpineti and M. Giglio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3327 (1992).
- ⁵⁹F. Sciortino and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 282 (1995).
- ⁶⁰ P. Poulin, J. Bibette, and D. A. Weitz, Eur. Phys. J. B 7, 277 (1999).
- ⁶¹J. C. Gimel, T. Nicolai, and D. Durand, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol. **15**, 129 (1999).
- ⁶²F. Sciortino *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **169**, 166 (2005).
- ⁶³ A. Stradner, H. Sedgwick, F. Cardinaux, W. C. K. Poon, S. U. Egelhaaf, and P. Schurtenberger, Nature (London) **432**, 492 (2004).
- ⁶⁴ A. I. Campbell, V. J. Anderson, J. van Duijneveldt, and P. Bartlett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 208301 (2005).
- ⁶⁵R. J. Speedy and P. G. Debenedetti, Mol. Phys. **81**, 237 (1994).
- ⁶⁶R. J. Speedy and P. G. Debenedetti, Mol. Phys. **88**, 1293 (1996).
- ⁶⁷Y. V. Kalyuzhnyi and P. T. Cummings, J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 6437 (2003).
- ⁶⁸M. S. Wertheim, J. Stat. Phys. **35**, 19 (1984).
- ⁶⁹ A. Huerta and G. G. Naumis, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 1 (2002).
- ⁷⁰ A. Huerta and G. G. Naumis, Phys. Lett. A **299**, 660 (2002).
- ⁷¹M. H. Lamm, T. Chen, and S. C. Glotzer, Nano Lett. **3**, 989 (2003).
- ⁷²K. van Workum and J. F. Douglas, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 031502 (2005).
- ⁷³J. Kolafa and I. Nezbeda, Mol. Phys. **61**, 161 (1987).
- ⁷⁴R. P. Sear, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 4800 (1999).
- ⁷⁵N. Kern and D. Frenkel, J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 9882 (2003).
- ⁷⁶C. De Michele, S. Gabrielli, P. Tartaglia, and F. Sciortino, J. Phys. Chem. B (to be published).
- ⁷⁷ V. N. Manoharan, M. T. Elsesser, and D. J. Pine, Science **301**, 483 (2003).
- ⁷⁸A. Lomakin, N. Asherie, and G. B. Benedek, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **96**, 9465 (1999).
- ⁷⁹R. Piazza, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 5, 38 (2000).
- ⁸⁰G. Pellicane, D. Costa, and C. Caccamo, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15, 375 (2003).
- ⁸¹ E. Zaccarelli, G. Foffi, F. Sciortino, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 108301 (2003).
- ⁸² F. Sciortino, P. Tartaglia, and E. Zaccarelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 268301 (2003).
- ⁸³ T. Gleim, W. Kob, and K. Binder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4404 (1998).
- ⁸⁴ Y. Zhou, M. Karplus, J. M. Wichert, and C. K. Hall, J. Chem. Phys. 107, 10691 (1997).
- ⁸⁵G. Foffi, F. Sciortino, P. Tartaglia, E. Zaccarelli, F. Lo Verso, L. Reatto, K. A. Dawson, and C. N. Likos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 238301 (2003).
- ⁸⁶E. Zaccarelli, I. Saika-Voivod, A. J. Moreno, E. La Nave, S. V. Buldyrev,
- Downloaded 28 Mar 2006 to 141.108.6.191. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://jcp.aip.org/jcp/copyright.jsp

- 124908-14 Zaccarelli et al.
- F. Sciortino, and P. Tartaglia, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter (to be published).
- ⁸⁷ H. Tanaka, J. Meunier, and D. Bonn, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 031404 (2004).
- ⁸⁸ A. J. Moreno, S. V. Buldyrev, E. La Nave, I. Saika-Voivod, F. Sciortino,
- P. Tartaglia, and E. Zaccarelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 157802 (2005).
- ⁸⁹M. Sperl, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 031405 (2003).
- ⁹⁰ W. Götze and M. Sperl, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 011405 (2002).
- ⁹¹L. Cipelletti, S. Manley, R. C. Ball, and D. A. Weitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84,

2275 (2000).

- ²²¹³ (2000). ²² E. Del Gado and W. Kob, e-print cond-mat/0510690.
- ⁹³S. Sastry (unpublished).
- ⁹⁴Of course, for convenience, in analogy to the definition of the glass transition, one can define a timescale above which the system is considered to be gelled, and use that as the definition of $T_{\rm gel}$. In any case, independently of this definition, the gel line is rather flat.