1: Z Resonance

- The LEP machine, beam energy, detectors
- Z lineshape: cross-sections, luminosity
- Lepton Forward-Backward asymmetry, polarised asymmetries
- Number of light neutrinos, lepton couplings

2: LEP2 Results

- WW and ZZ physics at LEP2
- b-tagging, electroweak physics with heavy flavours (b and c)
- Global electroweak fits
- Standard Model Higgs boson - a hint?
LEP Time Line

1960’s  Glashow-Weinberg-Salam $SU(2) \times U(1)$ theory of electroweak interactions, prediction of W and Z gauge bosons.

1972  $SU(3)_{\text{colour}}$ QCD theory of strong interactions

1976  CERN study group considers Large Electron-Positron storage ring, $\sqrt{s} = 2 \times 100$ GeV, $\mathcal{L} \approx 10^{32}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$

1979  Observation of gluon at PETRA.  
December: 27 km design approved by CERN council

1983  Chose LEP experiments.  
W and Z observed at CERN SPS

1989  Scooped! $e^+e^-$ collisions at Z in MARK II at SLC.  
First collisions in LEP with $\sqrt{s} \approx M_Z$

1995  Gradual installation of LEP2 SC RF system starts  
Energy raised to $\sqrt{s} = 140$ GeV at end of year.  
Top quark observation at Fermilab confirmed

1996  W pair threshold crossed at LEP...

1999  Nobel Prize for ’t Hooft and Veltman for “for elucidating the quantum structure of electroweak interactions in physics”

2000  Last year of LEP running with $\sqrt{s}$ up to 209 GeV.
Electron-positron annihilation

$e^+e^-$ → hadrons

LEP collected 4.5 million Z, 12 thousand WW per experiment
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Z resonance lineshape

To measure the Z mass, total width and cross-section, partial widths (branching ratios) and couplings:

- LEP machine gives $e^+e^-$ collisions at a few energies on and near the Z peak and precise measurement of $E_{\text{beam}}$

- Detectors ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL distinguish Z final states and measure the luminosity from QED t-channel process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ (Bhabha scattering)

$$\sigma(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{(N_{\text{observed}} - N_{\text{background}})}{\epsilon L}$$

- Monte Carlo simulation of the signal efficiency and background.

- Theoretical prediction of the lineshape

- Match precision from 4.5 million Z events per experiment - relative statistical error about $5 \times 10^{-4}$.

- Several thousand people involved

- $\sigma(M_Z) \approx 340$ MeV from UA2+CDF in 1989. Hoped to reduce to $\approx 10$ MeV (limited by beam energy precision)

- Count the number of generations. 2.5 generations were known in 1989, top quark and $\nu_\tau$ not yet established. Number of light neutrinos limited by big bang nucleosynthesis to $\lesssim 4$. Expected precision of about $\pm 0.2$ on the number.
A good fill lasts around 10 h (LEP1 at Z) or 3 h (LEP2)
Beam energy - resonant depolarisation

\[ E_{\text{beam}} = \frac{e}{2\pi} \int B \cdot dl \]

Spin of electrons aligns with vertical B field due to synchrotron radiation. Slow (hours) build up of transverse polarisation IF beam orbit sufficiently smooth.

Spins precess in B field. Number of precessions per turn of LEP:

\[ \nu_s = \frac{g_e}{2} \frac{e}{2\pi m_e} \int B \cdot dl = \frac{g_e}{2} \frac{E_{\text{beam}}}{m_e} \]

\[ \nu_s \approx 101.5, 103.5, 105.5 \text{ at } \sqrt{s} = \text{peak-2, peak, peak+2} \]

Apply oscillating horizontal B field, \( \nu \), at one place. Scan \( \nu \).

If \( \nu = \nu_s \), polarisation is destroyed.

\[ \nu_{\text{scan}} \rightarrow \]

Instantaneous precision \( \approx 100 \text{ keV} \). In 1986 expected limit from magnetic stability \( \delta M_Z \approx 10 \text{ MeV} \)
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1991 - first calibrations saw fluctuations of order 10 MeV. Earth tides driven by moon and sun.

Length of orbit fixed by RF system, but magnets move with ground. Beam no longer goes through centre of quadrupoles. Sensitive to 1mm change in 27 km, typical 10 MeV peak-to-peak.

Also see ground distortion due to lake level, heavy rain...
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Stability? Dipole fields...

1993: Measured energy at the end of many fills
1995: Measurements of B field in tunnel dipoles

Human activity increasing dipole fields during fill: $\text{BIAS} \approx 5 \text{ MeV}$

Long investigation revealed cause - Vagabond electric currents from nearby trains. Correct earlier years using model of average train behaviour. Final $M_Z$ systematic of 1.7 MeV
LEP1 data samples

Approximate luminosity delivered per year.
(Experiments collect 10–15% less)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>centre-of-mass energies [GeV]</th>
<th>total luminosity [pb$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>off-peak luminosity [pb$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>88.2 – 94.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>88.2 – 94.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>88.5 – 93.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>89.4, 91.2, 93.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>89.4, 91.3, 93.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1989-1991, 6 off-peak points were measured.

In 1993 and 1995 only 2 off-peak points were selected, to maximise the statistical precision. The exact values of the energies are chosen to allow resonant depolarisation at the end of each fill.
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Cut-away view of OPAL

Hadron calorimeters and return yoke

Electromagnetic calorimeters

Muon detectors

Jet chamber

Vertex chamber

Microvertex detector

Solenoid and pressure vessel

Time of flight detector

Presampler

Silicon tungsten luminometer

Forward detector

Overall size $12 \times 12 \times 12$ m
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- This example has 3 jets \( e^+e^- \rightarrow qqg \)

- Curved tracks in B field (ALEPH and DELPHI have superconducting solenoids - B field about 1.5 T compared to about 0.5 T in OPAL and L3)

- Many tracks and clusters in calorimeters
Lepton pair events have low multiplicity

Electrons are identified by a track in the central detector, and a large energy deposit in the electromagnetic calorimeter, $E/p = 1$. 
Muons penetrate the entire detector, and leave little energy in the calorimeters.

L3 detector emphasizes lepton and photon id with a precise BGO crystal ECAL, and large muon spectrometer.

The tracking volume is relatively small (radius 1m)

ALL detectors inside 6m radius solenoid, field 0.5T.
**e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-** event in DELPHI

- Tau lepton decays dominated by 1 and 3 charged tracks, with or without neutrals, missing neutrino(s), back-to-back very narrow “jets”.

- DELPHI has extra particle ID detectors, RICH.
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A few very simple cuts can distinguish hadronic, $e^+e^−$, $\mu^+\mu^−$ and $\tau^+\tau^−$ events, and also background from $\gamma\gamma$, cosmic rays...

The difficult task is to control systematic errors - how good is Monte Carlo description of data?

Example 1: Hadronic event selection from L3
Event selection

Example 2: $\sum |p_{\text{tracks}}|$ vs $\sum E_{\text{clusters}}$ for leptons

Representative values (vary from experiment to experiment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>hadron</th>
<th>$e^+e^-$</th>
<th>$\mu^+\mu^-$</th>
<th>$\tau^+\tau^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background %</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syst error %</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The t-channel contribution to $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ dominates at small angles. Detectors typically 25 to 60 mrad from beam.

Very clear electron signal in forward detectors (calorimeters).

Accepted cross section at least $2 \times \sigma_{\text{had}}$. $1/\theta^3$ variation.

Experimental difficulty: define geometric edge of acceptance to give cross-section precision $\lesssim 0.05\%$.

Common theory error of $\sim 0.05\%$ (cf $\sim 1\%$ in 1989).

(BHLUMI program: S. Jadach, B.F.L. Ward et al.)
Masses of heavy gauge bosons and their couplings to fermions depend on SAME mixing angle

\[ \cos \theta_W = \frac{M_W}{M_Z} \]

\( SU(2) \times U(1) \) coupling constants, \( g, g' \), proportional to electric charge \( e \): \( g = e \sin \theta_W, g' = e \cos \theta_W \)

\[ \begin{align*}
\gamma & \rightarrow f, \bar{f}, \quad -ieQ\gamma_\mu \\
Z & \rightarrow f, \bar{f}, \quad ie\gamma_\mu (g_v - g_a\gamma_5) \frac{1}{2\sin\theta_W \cos\theta_W} \\
W & \rightarrow f, \bar{f}, \quad ie\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sin\theta_W}
\end{align*} \]

where \( Q, g_a \) and \( g_v \) depend on fermion type, with

\[ g_a = T^3 = \pm \frac{1}{2} \]

\[ g_v = (T^3 - 2Q \sin^2 \theta_W) = \pm \frac{1}{2} (1 - 4|Q| \sin^2 \theta_W) \]

\( g_v / g_a \) gives \( \sin^2 \theta_W \) if you know \( |Q| \).
Standard Model relationships

Relate $e$, $\sin \theta_W$ and $M_W$ to the best measured parameters:

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{e^2}{4\pi} = 1/137.035 \, 999 \, 76(50)$$

$$G_F \equiv \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2} M_W^2 \sin^2 \theta_W} = 1.166 \, 39(1) \times 10^{-5} \, \text{GeV}^{-2}$$

$$M_Z = 91.1875(21) \, \text{GeV}$$

$G_F$ measured from muon decay; $M_Z$ from LEP.

These relations are true at tree level, but to check that they are valid, must take into account radiative corrections, which give sensitivity to virtual heavy particles, and possibly new physics!

Aside: Other SM inputs needed are fermion masses, Higgs mass, CKM matrix (quark mass eigenstates are not weak eigenstates), strong coupling constant, $\alpha_s$
Radiative corrections

Propagator corrections are the same for each fermion type.

QED, QCD and vertex corrections give fermion dependent terms.

Electroweak corrections absorbed into effective couplings:

\[ g_V \equiv g_V^{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{(1 + \Delta \rho)} (T^3 - 2Q \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}) \]
\[ g_A \equiv g_A^{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{(1 + \Delta \rho)} T^3 \]
\[ \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}} = (1 + \Delta \kappa) \sin^2 \theta_W \]

\[ \Delta \rho = \frac{3G_F M_W^2}{8 \sqrt{2\pi^2}} \left( \frac{M_t^2}{M_W^2} - \tan^2 \theta_W \left[ \ln \frac{M_H^2}{M_W^2} - \frac{5}{6} \right] \right) + \ldots \]
\[ \Delta \kappa = \frac{3G_F M_W^2}{8 \sqrt{2\pi^2}} \left( \cot^2 \theta_W \frac{M_t^2}{M_W^2} - \frac{11}{9} \left[ \ln \frac{M_H^2}{M_W^2} - \frac{5}{6} \right] \right) + \ldots \]

Extra \( M_t^2 / M_W^2 \) contributions for b quark
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Radiative corrections

The value of $G_F$ is also modified:

$$G_F = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2} M_W^2 \sin^2 \theta_W} \frac{1}{1 - \Delta r}$$

where

$$\Delta r = \Delta \alpha + \Delta r_w = \Delta \alpha - \Delta \kappa + \cdots$$

$\Delta \alpha$ term incorporates the running of the electromagnetic coupling due to fermion loops in the photon propagator. The difficult part of the calculation is to account for all the hadronic states. Use experimental measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ at low $\sqrt{s}$.

$$\alpha(s) = \frac{\alpha(0)}{1 - \Delta \alpha}$$

$\alpha(0) = 1/137.035 \ 999 \ 76(50) \ ; \ \alpha(M_Z) = 1/128.936(46)$

Quadratic dependence on $M_t$

Logarithmic dependence on $M_H$

Can fit both $M_t$ and $M_H$

Use programs such as ZFITTER (D Bardin et al.) and TOPAZ0 (G Montagna et al.) for calculations to higher order.

Leading order expressions above are for large $M_H$. 
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Dominant QED correction from initial state radiation.

Accounted for by radiator function $H$. We want $\sigma_{\text{ew}}(s)$

$$\sigma(s) = \int_{4m_f^2/s}^{1} dz H_{\text{QED}}^{\text{tot}}(z, s) \sigma_{\text{ew}}(zs).$$

![Graph showing measurements, error bars increased by factor 10.

$\sigma_{\text{from fit}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{QED unfolded}}$.](image)

ALEPH
DELPHI
L3
OPAL

$\sqrt{s}$ from fit, QED unfolded measurements, error bars increased by factor 10

$M_Z, \Gamma_Z$

$E_{\text{cm}} [\text{GeV}]$

$\sigma^0$
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Improved Born Approximation for $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$

(Ignoring fermion masses, QED/QCD ISR/FSR ...)

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{ew}}}{d\cos \theta} = \frac{\pi N_c^f}{2s} 16|\chi(s)|^2 \times$$

$$\left[ (g_{Ve}^2 + g_{Ae}^2)(g_{Vf}^2 + g_{Af}^2)(1 + \cos^2 \theta) + 8g_{Ve}g_{Ae}g_{Vf}g_{Af} \cos \theta \right]$$

$$+ [\gamma \text{ exchange}] + [\gamma Z \text{ interference}]$$

Where

$$\chi(s) = \frac{G_F M_Z^2}{8\pi \sqrt{2}} \frac{s}{s - M_Z^2 + is\Gamma_Z/M_Z}$$

$|\chi(s)|^2$ gives lineshape as a function of $s$.

Even term in $\cos \theta$ gives total cross-section

$$\sigma_{ff} \propto (g_{Ve}^2 + g_{Ae}^2)(g_{Vf}^2 + g_{Af}^2)$$

Odd term in $\cos \theta$ leads to forward-backward asymmetry:

$$A_{FB} = \frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_B}{\sigma_F + \sigma_B}$$

where $\sigma_F = \int_0^1 (d\sigma/d\cos \theta) d\cos \theta$. At the $Z$ peak:

$$A_{FB}^0 = \frac{3}{4} \frac{2g_{Ve}g_{Ae}}{g_{Ve}^2 + g_{Ae}^2} \frac{2g_{Vf}g_{Af}}{g_{Vf}^2 + g_{Af}^2} \equiv \frac{3}{4} A_e A_f$$

$A_{FB}$ depends on $g_{Vf}/g_{Af}$, i.e. on $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}$

Cross-section plus $A_{FB}$ allow $g_{Vf}$ and $g_{Af}$ to be derived.
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Polarised asymmetries

Final state fermions in \( e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f} \) are polarised. Polarisation can be measured for \( \tau \) lepton final states at LEP.

\[
P_{\tau} \equiv \frac{\sigma_+ - \sigma_-}{\sigma_+ + \sigma_-}
\]

where \( \sigma_+(-) \) cross section for producing + (-) helicity \( \tau^- \) leptons.

Eg. \( \tau \rightarrow \pi \nu \), momentum of the \( \pi \) depends on the \( \tau \) helicity

Initial state: LEP beams are unpolarised (except for special energy calibration conditions)

Stanford Linear Collider - longitudinally polarised electron beam to detector SLD. Electron beam \( \approx 75\% \) polarised from 1994–1998.

Knowing polarisation of final (\( \tau \)) or initial (SLD) state, can construct left-right, left-right-forward-backward... asymmetries, and measure \( A_e \) or \( A_f \), eg.

\[
A_{LR}(s) = \frac{N_L - N_R}{N_L + N_R} \frac{1}{\langle P_e \rangle}, \quad A_{LR}^0 \equiv A_e
\]
Cross-section and partial widths

Cross-section as a function of $s$ (from $|\chi(s)|^2$): “Z lineshape”

$$\sigma_{\text{ff}}(s) = \sigma_{\text{ff}}^0 \frac{s\Gamma_{Z}^2}{(s - M_Z)^2 + s^2\Gamma_{Z}^2/M_Z^2}$$

where pole cross-section is

$$\sigma_{\text{ff}}^0 = \frac{12\pi}{M_Z^2} \frac{\Gamma_{\text{ee}}\Gamma_{\text{ff}}}{\Gamma_{Z}^2}.$$ 

with $\Gamma_{\text{ff}}/\Gamma_Z = \text{BR}(Z \to \text{ff})$ and partial width is

$$\Gamma_{\text{ff}} = N_c^f \frac{G_F M_Z^3}{6\sqrt{2}\pi} \left( g_{A_f}^2 + g_{V_f}^2 \right)$$

+ QED/QCD corrections eg. QCD: $\Gamma_{q\bar{q}} \to \Gamma_{q\bar{q}}(1 + \alpha_s/\pi + \cdots)$

Total width of Z

$$\Gamma_Z = \Gamma_{\text{had}} + 3\Gamma_{\ell\ell} + \Gamma_{\text{inv}} = \Sigma\Gamma_{q\bar{q}} + 3\Gamma_{\ell\ell} + N_\nu\Gamma_{\nu\nu}$$

Comparing total width to partial width gives $N_\nu$

Cross-sections and widths correlated. Choose to fit:

- $M_Z, \Gamma_Z, \sigma_{\text{ff}}^0$
- Ratios: $R^0_e \equiv \Gamma_{\text{had}}/\Gamma_{\text{ee}}, R^0_\mu \equiv \Gamma_{\text{had}}/\Gamma_{\mu\mu}, R^0_\tau \equiv \Gamma_{\text{had}}/\Gamma_{\tau\tau}$
  or $R^0_\ell \equiv \Gamma_{\text{had}}/\Gamma_{\ell\ell}$
- Asymmetries: $A_{\text{FB}}^{0,e}, A_{\text{FB}}^{0,\mu}$ and $A_{\text{FB}}^{0,\tau}$ or $A_{\text{FB}}^{0,\ell}$

Extra information from tagging some quark flavours (lecture 2).
Cross-sections vs $\sqrt{s}$

**ALEPH**

**hadrons**

- 30
- 20

$\sigma$ (nb)

**ee**

- 1.4
- 1.0

$\sigma$ (nb)

**$\mu\mu$**

- 1.4
- 1.0

$\sigma$ (nb)

**$\tau\tau$**

- 1.4
- 1.0

$\sigma$ (nb)
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Forward-backward asymmetry for lepton pairs is straightforward to measure. Charge of lepton from tracking.

Asymmetry varies with centre-of-mass energy.
Lepton Universality

Plot $A_{FB}^{0,\ell}$ vs. $R_\ell^0 = \Gamma_{\text{had}}/\Gamma_{\ell\ell}$. Contours contain 68% probability.

Lepton universality OK. Results agree with SM (arrows)

$M_t = 174.3 \pm 5.1$ GeV
$M_H = 300^{+700}_{-186}$ GeV (low $M_H$ preferred)
$\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.118 \pm 0.002$

Next lecture: interpretation of asymmetries in terms of $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}$
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Z resonance parameters - recall pre-LEP hopes:

- $\sigma(M_Z) \approx 10$ MeV (limited by beam energy precision)
- Number of generations $\sigma(N_\nu) \approx 0.2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fitted</th>
<th>$M_Z$ [GeV]</th>
<th>91.1875 ± 0.0021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Gamma_Z$ [GeV]</td>
<td>2.4952 ± 0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_\text{h}^0$ [nb]</td>
<td>41.540 ± 0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_{\ell}^0$</td>
<td>20.767 ± 0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_{\text{FB}}^{0,\ell}$</td>
<td>0.0171 ± 0.0010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derived</th>
<th>$\Gamma_{\text{inv}}$ [MeV]</th>
<th>499.0 ± 1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Gamma_{\text{had}}$ [MeV]</td>
<td>1744.4 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Gamma_{\ell\ell}$ [MeV]</td>
<td>83.984 ± 0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_\nu$</td>
<td>2.984 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary - Very precise measurements of Z mass, width, cross-sections, partial widths and lepton forward-backward asymmetries.

High statistics data samples. Careful control of systematic errors.
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