Divergent series resummations: examples in ODE's & PDE's

Survey of works by G. Gentile (Roma3), V. Matropietro (Roma2), G.G. (Roma1)

http://ipparco.roma1.infn.it

Hamiltonian rotators system

 $\underline{\ddot{\alpha}} = -\varepsilon \partial_{\underline{\alpha}} f(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}), \quad \underline{\ddot{\beta}} = -\varepsilon \partial_{\underline{\beta}} f(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})$

 $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r), \ \underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-r}), f:$ even trigonometric polynomial of deg. N, $f = \sum_{\underline{\nu}} f_{\underline{\nu}}(\underline{\beta}) e^{i\underline{\nu}\cdot\underline{\alpha}}, \ \underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{Z}^r. \text{ Let}$ $f_{\underline{0}}(\underline{\beta}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^r} \int f(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) d\underline{\alpha}$ Special unperturbed motions

$$\underline{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r), \ |\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu}| > \frac{1}{C|\underline{\nu}|^{\tau}}$$
$$\underline{\alpha} = \underline{\alpha}_0 + \underline{\omega}t, \qquad \underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}_0$$

 $r = n \iff maximal \ tori \ or \ KAM \ tori.$

Are there motions of the "same type" in presence of interaction ?? *i.e.* Meaning of "same type"

 $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{\psi} + \underline{h}(\underline{\psi}), \qquad \underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}_0 + \underline{k}(\underline{\psi})$ $\underline{\psi} \Rightarrow \underline{\psi} + \underline{\omega}t \qquad \underline{\alpha}(t), \underline{\beta}(t) \text{ is a solution?}$

Equations

 $\left(\underline{\omega}\cdot\underline{\partial}\ \underline{\psi}\ \right)^{2}\underline{h}\left(\underline{\psi}\right) = -\varepsilon\underline{\partial}\ \underline{\alpha}\ f\left(\underline{\psi}+\underline{h}\left(\underline{\psi}\right),\underline{\beta}\ \underline{0}+\underline{k}\left(\underline{\psi}\right)\right)$

- $(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\partial} \ \underline{\psi})^2 \underline{k} (\underline{\psi}) = -\varepsilon \underline{\partial} \ \underline{\beta} f(\underline{\psi} + \underline{h} (\underline{\psi}), \underline{\beta}_0 + \underline{k} (\underline{\psi}))$
- $\underline{h}, \underline{k}$ power series $\varepsilon \Rightarrow \partial_{\underline{\beta}} f_{\underline{0}}(\underline{\beta}_0) = \underline{0}$

$$(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\partial}_{\underline{\psi}})^2 \underline{k}^1(\underline{\psi}) = -\underline{\partial}_{\underline{\beta}} f(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\beta}_0) \Rightarrow$$

 $\Rightarrow \qquad \underline{0} = \underline{\partial}_{\underline{\beta}} f_{\underline{0}}(\underline{\beta}_{0})$

Nonlinear wave equ. ("Klein–Gordon")

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \mu u = f(u), \ f(u) = u^3$$

 $u(0,t) = u(\pi,t) = 0, \ \mu > 0$

if $\omega_m = \sqrt{\mu + m^2}$, do f = 0 periodic solutions like $\varepsilon \cos \omega_1 t \sin x$, extend to $f \neq 0$?

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty} \hat{u}_{n,m} e^{in\Omega t + imx},$$

with $\hat{u}_{n,m} = -\hat{u}_{n,-m} = \hat{u}_{-n,m}$.

$$\begin{split} &\hat{u}_{n,m}\left[-\Omega^2 n^2 \!+\! \omega_m^2\right] \!=\! \hat{f}_{n,m}(u) \\ &\hat{u}_{n,m}\left[-\tilde{\omega}_1^2 n^2 \!+\! \tilde{\omega}_m^2\right] \!=\! -\nu_m(\varepsilon)\hat{u}_{n,m} \!+\! \hat{f}_{n,m}(u), \end{split}$$

(1 ?) analytic (in ε) exponentially decaying (in m, n) solution given $\tilde{\omega}_m$ verifying

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\omega}_1 n \pm \tilde{\omega}_m| &\geq C_0 |n|^{-\tau}, \quad n \neq 0; m, m' \neq 0, \pm 1\\ |\tilde{\omega}_1 n \pm (\tilde{\omega}_{m'} \pm \tilde{\omega}_m)| &\geq C_0 |n|^{-\tau}, \end{split}$$

(2 ?) for a solution of $\tilde{\omega}_m^2 + \nu_m(\varepsilon) = \omega_m^2$ so that $\Omega^2 = \tilde{\omega}_1^2$.

Problem: construct a series representation of the solutions

Tori: $r \leq n$. Lindstedt's series.

Let ϑ be a tree with

- (1) p "nodes" $v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1},$
- (2) one "root" r
- (3) possibly "leaves" with "veinage".

(4) $v' \stackrel{\gamma'}{\longleftarrow} v$ Tree lines $\ell = \ell_v \equiv (v', v)$ joining nearest nodes (v', v) are oriented towards the root. Total number of nodes $k \ge p$



Line ℓ has a "**propagator**" matrix



Value, Reduced value, Leaf value

$$\operatorname{Val}(\vartheta)_{\gamma} = \prod_{v \in nodes(\vartheta)} F_{v} \prod_{v \in leaves(\vartheta)} L_{v} \prod_{\ell \in lines(\vartheta)} G_{\ell},$$
$$\operatorname{Val}'(\vartheta)_{\gamma} = \prod_{v \in nodes(\vartheta)} F_{v} \prod_{v \in leaves(\vartheta)} L_{v} \prod_{\ell \neq \ell_{0}} G_{\ell}$$
$$L_{v,\gamma} = -\partial_{\underline{\beta}}^{2} f_{\underline{0}} \left(\underline{\beta}_{0}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Val}'(\vartheta_{L}), \ \gamma > r$$

Only the root label is not contracted: *i.e.* the value $Val(\vartheta)$ is a *n*-vector

Example: no leaves and r = n

$$\operatorname{Val}(\vartheta)_{\gamma} = \prod_{\ell = (v'v) \in \vartheta} \frac{\underline{\nu}_{v'} \cdot \underline{\nu}_{v}}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu}(\ell))^2} \prod_{v \in \vartheta} \frac{f_{\underline{\nu}_{v}}}{p_{v}!}$$

The contractions of components labels generate the scalar products $\underline{\nu}_{v'} \cdot \underline{\nu}_{v}$ at each line and $\underline{\nu}_r$ has to be interpreted as the unit vector in the direction γ .

Lindstedt series

The tori parametric equ. $\underline{q}(\underline{\psi}) = (\underline{h}(\underline{\psi}), \underline{k}(\underline{\psi})), \underline{\psi} \in \mathcal{T}^r$

$$q_{\underline{\nu},\gamma}^{(k)} = \sum_{\vartheta \in \Theta_{k,\underline{\nu},\gamma}} \varepsilon^k \operatorname{Val}(\vartheta)_{\gamma}$$

 $\Theta_{k,\,\underline{\nu}\,,\gamma} =$

- (1) total number of nodes k
- (2) with root label γ
- (3) root line current $\underline{\nu}$
- (4) no line with $\underline{0}$ current (ext. Poincaré's
- th. on Lindstedt–Newcomb series)

If r = n (maximal tori): no leaves; by KAM theorems series is convergent and indeed one can check that k-th order

 $BC^k e^{-\kappa |\underline{\nu}|}$

Siegel–Bryuno–Pöschel bound $\ell_v = (v'v)$ has scale $n = 0, -1, -2, \dots$ if $2^{n-1} < |\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu}(\ell_v)| \le 2^n \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow |\frac{\underline{\nu}_{v'} \cdot \underline{\nu}_v}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu}(\ell_v))^2}| \le 4N^2 2^{-2n}$

$$|\operatorname{Val}(\vartheta)| \leq \frac{1}{p!} (2N)^{2p} F^p \prod_{n=-\infty}^{0} 2^{-2n\mathcal{N}_n}$$

 $\mathcal{N}_n \stackrel{def}{=}$ number of lines of scale n

Recall

$$\operatorname{Val}(\vartheta)_{\gamma} = \prod_{\ell_{v} = (v'v) \in \vartheta} \frac{\underline{\nu}_{v'} \cdot \underline{\nu}_{v}}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu}(\ell_{v}))^{2}} \prod_{v \in \vartheta} \frac{f_{\underline{\nu}_{v}}}{p_{v}!}$$

$$|\operatorname{Val}(\vartheta)| \leq \frac{1}{p!} (2N)^{2p} F^p \prod_{n=-\infty}^{0} 2^{-2n\mathcal{N}_n}$$

If
$$\underline{\nu}(\ell_v) \neq \underline{\nu}(\ell_w)$$
 for all $v > w \Rightarrow$
 $\mathcal{N}_n \leq aN2^{n/\tau}p \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p!} p! 4^p (2N)^{2p} F^p \left(\prod_{n=-\infty}^{0} 2^{-2naN2^{n/\tau}} p\right) =$$
$$= \frac{1}{p!} p! 4^p (2N)^{2p} F^p \left(2^{-2aN\sum_{-\infty}^{0} n2^{n/\tau}}\right)^p = B^p$$



This is a **self-energy subgraph** if

(1) the entering line and the exiting one have the same current $\underline{\nu}$, of scale n, and (2) all internal lines scale m > n + 1 and their number is $< a2^{-n/\tau}$ and (3) $\sum_{w \in R} \underline{\nu}_w = \underline{0}$

Def: no S.E. sub-subgraph \Rightarrow "simple". **Def:** "SE subgr." *synonymous* "mass subgr."

Resummations of simple s.e. graphs

Contribution to a tree value from a mass subgraph R inserted on line v'v is

$$\frac{\underline{\nu} \, \underline{v}' \cdot \underline{\nu} \, \underline{out}}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2} \left(\prod_w \frac{f \, \underline{\nu} \, w}{p \, w!} \prod_{\ell} \frac{\underline{\nu} \, w' \cdot \underline{\nu} \, w}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu} \, (\ell))^2} \right) \frac{\underline{\nu} \, \underline{in} \cdot \underline{\nu} \, v}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu} \,)^2} \equiv \\ \equiv \frac{1}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu} \,)^2} \, \underline{\nu} \, \underline{v}' \cdot \frac{M_R(\underline{\nu})}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu} \,)^2} \, \underline{\nu} \, v$$

 $\prod_w \equiv \prod_{w \in R} \text{ and } \prod_{\ell} \equiv \prod_{\ell \in R}.$

Let $M^1(\underline{\nu}) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_R \varepsilon^{|R|} M_R(\underline{\nu})$, convergent sum because of the Siegel– Bryuno–Pöschel bound.

Can insert m = 0, 1, 2, ... mass subgr. on every line of a tree without SE

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2} \underline{\nu}_{v'} \cdot \left(\frac{M^1(\underline{\nu})}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2}\right)^m \cdot \underline{\nu}_v =$$
$$= \underline{\nu}_{v'} \cdot \frac{1}{(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2 - M^1(\underline{\nu})} \cdot \underline{\nu}_v$$

Cancellations

BUT
$$(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2 - M^1(\underline{\nu}) = 0$$
?? need $M^1(\underline{\nu}) =$
 $(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2 m_{\varepsilon}^1(\underline{\nu})$. Up to $(1 + O(\varepsilon^2))$
 $\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu}^2 - M^1 = \begin{pmatrix} (r \times r) & (r \times (n-r)) \\ ((n-r) \times r) & ((n-r) \times (n-r)) \end{pmatrix} =$
 $= \begin{pmatrix} (\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2 & i(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})b\varepsilon \\ -i(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})b\varepsilon & (\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2 - \varepsilon \underline{\partial} \frac{2}{\beta} f_{\underline{0}}(\underline{\beta}_{0}) \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$

the $r \times r \rightarrow$ "KAM cancellations"

BUT $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ elements can vanish on or near the set of infinitely many points ε for which $(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2 - \varepsilon \partial_{\underline{\beta}}^2 f_{\underline{0}}(\underline{\beta}_0) = 0.$

eliminated simple SE subgraphs IF $-\varepsilon \partial_{\underline{\beta}}^2 f_{\underline{0}}(\underline{\beta}_0) > 0.$

Elimination of overlapping graphs

Define $M^2(\underline{\nu})$ in the "same way": considering trees with simple SE graphs at most and define value as in the preceding case making use, however, of the new propagators $x^2 - M(\underline{\nu})$. Iterate indefinitely: $G_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}(\underline{\nu}) \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} G_{\varepsilon}^{(\infty)}(\underline{\nu}).$ **Invariant torus equ.**: just consider all graphs without SE and new propagator

$$\left(\left(\underline{\omega}\,\cdot\,\underline{\nu}\,\right)^2 - M^{\infty}(\,\underline{\nu}\,)\right)^{-1} \stackrel{def}{=} G^{(\infty)}(\,\underline{\nu}\,)$$

by Siegel–Bryuno–Pöschel **no converg. prob.**: hence algorithm for LNP series. If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\underline{\beta}_0$ is a *maximum* the popagator matrix has no 0 eigenvalue. Hence "no difference from maximal case". Convergence in complex ε domain D where $(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2 - \varepsilon \partial_{\beta}^2 f_{\underline{0}}(\underline{\beta}_0) \ge \gamma (\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\nu})^2$.



Fig.3: Lower dimensional tori analyt. domain D_0 tori. Cusp at the origin is of ond order .



Fig.4: Can D_0 in Fig.3 be extended? Perhaps be (near the origin) as in the picture? Real axis reached in cusps with apex at a set I; for $\varepsilon \in I$ the parametric eq. correspond to elliptic tori which would be analytic continuations of the hyperbolic tori.

Short Bibliography

Low dimensional tori

S.M. Graff: On the conservation for hyperbolic invariant tori for Hamiltonian systems, J. Differential Equations 15 (1974), 1–69.

V.K. Mel'nikov: On some cases of conservation of conditionally periodic motions under a small change of the Hamiltonian function, Soviet Math. Dokl.
6(1965), 1592–1596; A family of conditionally periodic solutions of a Hamiltonian systems, Soviet Math. Dokl. 9 (1968), 882–886.

J. Moser: Convergent series expansions for almost periodic motions, Math. Ann. **169** (1967), 136–176.

Eliasson, L.H.: Absolutely convergent series expansions for quasi-periodic motions, Math. Phys. Electronic J., <http:// mpej.unige.ch>, 2 (1996), Paper 4

A. Jorba, R. Llave, M. Zou: Lindstedt series for lower dimensional tori, in Hamiltonian systems with more than two degrees of freedom (S'Agary, 1995), 151–167, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. Vol. 533, Ed. C. Simy, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.

The bound of Siegel-Bryuno-Pöschel

A.D. Bryuno: Analytic form of differential equa-

tions. The, II. (Russian), Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **25** (1971), 119–262; ibid. **26** (1972), 199–239.

J. Pöschel: Invariant manifolds of complex analytic mappings near fixed points, in *Critical Phenomena, Random Systems, Gauge Theories*, Les Houches, Session XLIII (1984), Vol. II, 949–964, Ed. K. Osterwalder & R. Stora, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

Graph methods

L. Chierchia, C. Falcolini: Compensations in small divisor problems, Comm. Math. Phys. 175 (1996), 135–160.

G. Gallavotti: Twistless KAM tori, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** nd (1994), no. 1, 145–156. Twistless KAM tori, quasiflat homoclinic intersections, and other cancellations in the perturbation series of certain completely integrable Hamiltonian systems. A review, Rev. Math. Phys. **6** (1994), no. 3, 343–411.

More recent papers

J. Bricmont, A. Kupiainen, A. Schenkel: Renormalization group for the Melnikov problem for PDE's, Comm. Math. Phys. **221** (2001), no. 1, 101– 140.

J. Xu, J. You: Persistence of Lower Dimensional

Tori Under the First Melnikov's Non-resonance Condition, Nanjing University Preprint, 1–21, 2001, J. Math. Pures Appl., in press.

Xiaoping Yuan: Construction of quasi-periodic breathers via KAM techniques Comm. Math. Phys., in press.

G. Gallavotti, G. Gentile, Hyperbolic low-dimensional tori and summations of divergent series, Comm. Math. Phys. 227 (2002), no. 3, 421–460.

G. Gentile, Quasi-periodic solutions for two-level systems, Comm. Math. Phys. **242** (2003), no. 1–2, 221–250.

G. Gentile, V. Mastropietro, Construction of periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions by the Lindstedt series method, in press.