Resonances and synchronization

by Guido Gentile, Alessandro Giuliani, GG, arXiv:1106.1476

1) Quasi integrable systems
2) Chaotic systems

– – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –

$$H(\vec{A},\vec{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}\vec{A}^2 + \varepsilon f(\vec{A},\vec{\alpha})$$



Representation of phase space in terms of ℓ rotators:
$\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \in T^\ell,\ \vec{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_\ell)$

Unperturbed system $\Rightarrow$ motions will have all spectra

$$\vec{A} = \vec{A}_0, \quad \vec{\alpha} = \vec{\alpha}_0 + \vec{\omega} t, \quad \vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_\ell)$$

$\Rightarrow$ in particular ω_j rationally depend.: *e.g.* $(\omega^0_1, \ldots, \omega^0_{\ell'}, 0, 0, \ldots)$

Such motions are called resonant: more generally

$$\begin{cases} \vec{A} = \vec{A}_0 + \vec{X}(\vec{\psi}) \\ \vec{\alpha} = R\vec{\psi} + \vec{Y}(\vec{\psi}) \end{cases}, \qquad \vec{\psi} \in T^{\ell'}, \ \ell' < \ell$$

$\vec{X}, \vec{Y}$ smooth R $\ell \times \ell'$ integer matrix and the

$$\vec{\psi} \to \vec{\psi} + \vec{\omega}^0 t$$

give a solution to the eq. of motion then: resonant.

If $\ell' = 1$ periodic: since Poincaré; $\ell' > 1$

If $1 < \ell' < \ell$ KAM-theory: mild conditions but non trivial

Example $\vec{a} = (\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2) \in T^{\ell'} \times T^{\ell-\ell'}$, $\qquad f(\vec{a}) \equiv f(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2)$

$X_\varepsilon(\vec{\psi}), Y_\varepsilon(\vec{\psi})$ analytic in $\varepsilon, \vec{\psi}$ exist with domain including



$$\overline{f}(\vec{a}_2) = \int \frac{d\vec{a}_1}{(2\pi)^{\ell'}} f(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2)$$

$$\partial \overline{f}(\vec{a}_2^0) = \vec{0}, \; \partial^2 \overline{f}(\vec{a}_2^0) < 0$$

$|\vec{\omega}^0 \cdot \vec{\nu}| > C|\vec{\nu}|^{-\tau}$, Diophantine p.

Resonances exist at real ε points

(Llave-Zhou, Gentile-G)

"Intrinsic res". "Extrinsic" res. or synchronization:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\vec{a}} = \vec{A} \\ \dot{\vec{A}} = -\varepsilon \partial_{\vec{a}} V(\vec{a}) + \varepsilon \vec{F}(\vec{\omega} t) \end{cases}$$

$\exists$ motion with spectrum $\vec{\omega}$? $\ell = 2$ (Corsi-Gentile). Friction?

Chaotic systems: paradigm Anosov flow periodically forced

1) volume preserving
2) dissipative

No quasi periodic motions and few periodic ones: finitely many with period less than any $T < \infty$. So in this case only extrinsic resonances properly can exist: synchronization.



$$Sx = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\dot{x} = \delta(z)(Sx - x)$$

$$\dot{w} = 1 \qquad \dot{z} = 1$$





$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \delta(z)(Sx - x) + \varepsilon f_\varepsilon(x, w, z)\\ \dot{w} &= 1 + \varepsilon g_\varepsilon(x, w, z)\\ \dot{z} &= 1\end{aligned}$$



Look at Poincaré's map $\mathcal{S}$ at $t = 2\pi n$

$$\begin{aligned}x' &= Sx + \varepsilon \bar{f}_\varepsilon(x, w)\\ w' &= w + \varepsilon \bar{g}_\varepsilon(x, w)\end{aligned}$$

a) volume preserving (if $\varepsilon = 0$ the $\mathcal{S}$ is not even ergodic & has one "central" Lyapunov exponent 0)

Th. *as close as wished (in C^2) to* $\overline{f} = \overline{g} = 0$ $\exists$ *open set of perturbations with* $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$



(1) Ergodic

(2) Central Lyap. exp. $\ell_\varepsilon > 0$

(3) $\exists$ $\mathcal{S}$*-invariant foliation* Λ *into* C^1*-smooth lines l*

(4) $\exists k$ *and E of full vol. s.t.* $E \cap \ell$ *is exactly* $k < \infty$ *pts* (!)

[Conjectures: $k > 1$ & $k = 1$]

SW 1999, RW 2001

No synchronization: in (x, w, z) the planes $w = const$ are invariant under the P.-map but volatilize under perturbation

Is synch. possible in dissipation? which attractor structure?

1) Simplest possibility attractor="periodic orbit" on a orbit close to an unperturbed periodic one.

2) An attractor of "pathological nature" like the volume preserving cases but with Hausdorff dimension lower.

3) A periodic strange attractor: dissipation stabilizes a single one among the unperturbed invariant surfaces $w = const$

This can be easily tested in simulations: a variety of phenomena show up: consistent with 2) or 3). "Naivest" case

$$f = 0, \qquad g(x, w, z) = (\sin(z - w) + \sin(x_1 + z + w))$$

(first studied) immediately shows an instance of (3). Special:

$$g_0(x, w) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_0^{2\pi} g(x, w + t, t)dt,$$
$$g_1(x, w) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} g(x, w + t, t)dt$$

Notice that in example $g_0(x,\pi) = 0,\ g_1(x,\pi) = \Gamma < 0,\ \forall x$.

Th. *If* $\exists\, w_0, 0 < \varepsilon_0$ *such that*
$g_0(x,w_0) = 0,\ g_1(x,w_0) = \Gamma < 0,\ \forall x$ *then for* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$



(1) $\exists$ *attractor* $w(x) = w_0 + U_\varepsilon(x)$

(2) $U(x)$ *is h-Hölder continuous*

$$h \geq \frac{|\Gamma|}{\log \lambda_+}\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

(3) U_ε *is analytic in* ε *in* $\mathcal{D}$

Assumptions can be relaxed into

(a) $\int_0^{2\pi} dt\, g(x, w_0 + t, t) = \varepsilon \overline{g}(x)$, for some $\overline{g}(x)$,

(b) $\int_0^{2\pi} dt\, \partial_w g(x, w_0 + t, t) \leq \Gamma$, for $\Gamma < 0$,

Conjectures

(a') $\int_0^{2\pi} dt\, g(x, w_0 + t, t) = \widetilde{g}(x)$, with $\widetilde{g}(x)$ with 0 average,

(b') $\int_0^{2\pi} dt\, \partial_w g(x, w_0 + t, t) = \widetilde{g}_1(x)$, with $\widetilde{g}_1(x)$ with < 0 average.

Basics

$$(x(t), w(t), t) = (x, w + t + u(x,t), t), \qquad t \in (0, 2\pi]$$

$$\dot{x} = \delta(z)(Sx - x) + \varepsilon f_\varepsilon(x, w, z)$$
$$\dot{w} = 1 + \varepsilon g_\varepsilon(x, w, z)$$
$$\dot{z} = 1$$

$$u(x, 0) = U(x)$$
$$u(x, 2\pi) = U(Sx)$$

Taylor expansion in u to second order

$$\dot{u}(x,t) = \varepsilon g(x, w + t + u(x,t), t)$$
$$\equiv \varepsilon g(x, w_0 + t, t) + \varepsilon \partial_w g(x, w_0 + t, t) u(x,t) + \varepsilon G(x, t, u(x,t))$$

Solved "as a linear equation" in terms of "Wronskian"

$$\Gamma(x, t, \tau) \overset{def}{=} \int_\tau^t \partial_w g(x, w + y, y) dy:$$

$$u(x,t) = e^{\varepsilon\Gamma(x,t,0)} u(x,0)$$
$$+ \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon\Gamma(x,t,\tau)} \Big(\varepsilon g(x, w_0 + \tau, \tau) + \varepsilon G(x, \tau, u(x,\tau)) \Big) d\tau$$

Ideas: equations and invariance condition $(\Gamma(x,2\pi,0) \equiv \Gamma < 0)$

$$u(x,t) = e^{\varepsilon\Gamma(x,t,0)}u(x,0) + \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon\Gamma(x,t,\tau)}\Big(\varepsilon g(x,w_0+\tau,\tau) + \varepsilon G(x,\tau,u(x,\tau))\Big)$$

$$U(Sx) = e^{\varepsilon\Gamma}U(x) + \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\varepsilon\Gamma(x,2\pi,\tau)}\Big(\varepsilon g(x,w_0+\tau,\tau) + \varepsilon G(x,\tau,u(x,\tau))\Big)d\tau$$

The assumptions $\Gamma < 0$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} g(x,w_0+t,t)dt = 0$ imply

$$|u(\cdot,2\pi)|_\infty < e^{\varepsilon\Gamma}|u(\cdot,0)|_\infty + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon|u|_\infty^2) \le e^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\Gamma}|u|_\infty$$

if $\frac{\delta}{2} < |u(x,0| < \delta$ with δ small and $0 \le \varepsilon \ll \delta$.

Hence there is an attractor in the slab $[w_0-\delta, w_0+\delta]$: but why is it a surface?

Next idea: replace some ε's with μ:

$$u(x,t) = e^{\mu\Gamma(x,t,0)}u(x,0) + \int_0^t e^{\mu\Gamma(x,t,\tau)}\Big(\varepsilon g(x, w_0+\tau,\tau) + \varepsilon G(x,\tau,u(x,\tau))\Big)$$

$$U(Sx) = e^{\mu\Gamma}u(x) + \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\mu\Gamma(x,2\pi,\tau)}\Big(\varepsilon g(x, w_0+\tau,\tau) + \varepsilon G(x,\tau,u(x,\tau))\Big)d\tau$$

1) Fix μ small and prove existence of $U(x)$ analytic in $|\varepsilon| < C(\mu)$ complex.
2) Study $C(\mu)$ and show $C(\mu) > c\sqrt{\mu}$.
3) Conclude by $\varepsilon = \mu$

Why Hölder continuity? convergence in ε reduces the question to first order. It is explicitly evaluated and shows the property of tiny Hölder continuity, $O(\varepsilon)$.