## Renormalization group, Kondo effect and hierarchical models G.Benfatto, I.Jauslin & GG

1-d lattice, fermions+impurity, "Kondo problem"

$$H_{h} = \sum_{\alpha=\pm} \left( \sum_{\substack{x=-L/2\\x=-L/2}}^{L/2-1} \psi_{\alpha}^{+}(x) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta - 1\right) \psi_{\alpha}^{-}(x) + h \varphi^{+} \sigma^{z} \varphi^{-} \right)$$
$$H_{K} = H_{0} + \lambda \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\alpha'=\pm\\\gamma,\gamma'=\pm}}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \psi_{\alpha}^{+}(0) \sigma_{\alpha,\alpha'}^{j} \psi_{\alpha'}^{-}(0) \varphi_{\gamma}^{+} \sigma_{\gamma,\gamma'}^{j} \varphi_{\gamma'}^{-} = H_{h} + V$$

ψ<sup>±</sup><sub>α</sub>(x), φ<sup>±</sup><sub>γ</sub> C&A operators, σ<sup>j</sup>, j = 1, 2, 3, Pauli matrices
x ∈ unit lattice, -L/2, L/2 identified (periodic b.c.)
Δf(x) = f(x+1) - 2f(x) + f(x-1) discrete Laplacian.

If  $\lambda = 0$  impurity-electrons independent: classic or quantum

$$\chi(\beta, h) \propto \beta \xrightarrow[\beta \to \infty]{} \infty, \qquad \forall \ L \ge 1, \ \beta h < 1$$

Interaction (classic) elec.+imp.: field on both &  $\lambda \neq 0$ 

$$\chi(\beta,h) = 4\beta \frac{(1 + e^{-2\lambda\beta}\cosh\beta h)}{(\cosh 2\beta h + e^{-2\lambda\beta})^2} \xrightarrow[\beta \to \pm\infty]{0 \text{ repulsive}} +\infty \text{ attractive}$$

field on impurity only:  $\chi(\beta, 0) = \beta \to \infty$ Reason:  $\lambda < 0 \to$  rigidly antiparallel spins ????

Still true if  $L < \infty$  classic&quantum or  $L = \infty$  classic

XY model confirms ( $\infty$  both cases, exact)

Then Trivial? (0 repulsive,  $\infty$  attractive ?) BUT

If  $L = \infty$  quantum chain: new phenomena

1) at  $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow$  Pauli paramagnetism (1926) local or specific suscpt.  $< \infty$  at  $T \ge 0$ :

$$\chi(\infty,0) = \rho \frac{1}{k_B T_F} \frac{d}{2}, \qquad (Pauli)$$

2) at fixed  $\lambda < 0 \Rightarrow$  Kondo effect: susceptibility  $\chi(\beta, h)$ smooth at T = 0 and  $h \ge 0$ 

Kondo realized the problem (3<sup>*d*</sup>-order P.T.) and gave arguments (1964) for  $\chi < \infty$  (actually conductivity  $< \infty$ )

Anderson-Yuval-Hamann (1969,70)  $\Rightarrow$  multiscale nature of the problem, relation with the 1D Coulomb gas & solved the  $\lambda > 0$  case (no Kondo eff.), & stressed that lack of asymptotic freedom = obstacle for  $\lambda < 0$ 

Wilson (1974-75) overcame asymptotic freedom by discussing a somewhat modified model and finding a recursion scheme, numerically implementable in an appropriately simplified model.

The method built a sequence of approximate Hamiltonians (with finitely many coefficients) more and more accurately representing the system on larger and larger scales, leading to the Kondo effect via a nontrivial fixed point.

Evaluate  $Z = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H_K}$  as a functional integral, (BG990). The free fields  $\psi^{\pm}(x), \varphi^{\pm}$ 

$$\psi^{\pm}(x) = \sum_{m} e^{\pm ikx} \psi^{\pm[m]}(x), \ \varphi^{\pm} = \sum_{m} \varphi^{\pm[m]}(x)$$

can be decomposed into components of scale  $2^{-m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$\psi^{\pm}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{-\infty} \sum_{\omega=\pm} e^{\pm i\omega p_f x} 2^{\frac{1}{2}m} \psi^{\pm[m]}_{\omega}(2^m x), \quad \varphi^{\pm} = \sum_{m=0}^{-\infty} \varphi^{\pm[m]}$$

quasi particles, neglecting the UV (*i.e.*  $m \leq 0$ ). Then represent Z as a Grassmann integral. Fields become Grassman variables.

But since the impurity is localized observ. localized at 0 depend on fields at 0,  $\psi^{\pm}(0), \varphi^{\pm} \Rightarrow 1D$  problem (AYH).

Key: response to field h acting on impurity site only depends on the propagators with x = 0.

By Wick  $\Rightarrow$  only average values, over "time" of propagators at x = 0 needed. Propagators on scale m are  $g^{[m]}(t - t')$ 

$$\delta_{m,m'} \sum_{\omega} \int \frac{dk_0 dk}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{ik_0(t-t')}}{-ik_0 + \omega e(k)} \chi(2^{-2m}(k_0^2 + k^2)),$$
  
$$\delta_{m,m'} \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\sigma k_0(t-t')}}{-i\sigma k_0} \chi(2^{-m}\frac{k_0}{2\pi})$$

singularity at t - t' = 0 (UV sing.) and at  $t - t' = \infty$  (IR sing.) regularized via  $\chi$  on scale  $2^{-m}$ ;  $e(k) = -\cos k$ .

Illustration of (AYH970) remark: 1D problem, (long range)

Main operators : 
$$\vec{A}_x \stackrel{def}{=} \psi_x^+ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \psi_x^-, \vec{B}_x \stackrel{def}{=} \varphi^+ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varphi^-$$

Interaction Ham. is constructed via the operators

$$O_0 = -\lambda^0 \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}, \ O_1 = \lambda^1 \vec{A}^2, \ O_2 = \lambda^2 \vec{B}^2, \ O_3 = \lambda^3 \vec{A}^2 \vec{B}^2$$

 $H_K$  on scale m = 0 is (with  $\lambda^0 < 0$  and  $\lambda^1 = \lambda^2 = \lambda^3 = 0$ )

$$H_K = H_0 - \sum_{x} (\lambda^0 O_{x,0} + \lambda^1 O_{x,1} + \lambda^2 O_2 + \lambda^3 O_{x,3}) + \dots$$

Set RG analysis via (Grassmannian) as BG990 for  $\text{Tr}e^{-\beta H_K}$ Scaling  $O_0 = \text{marginal}, O_2 = \text{relevant}$ 

Difficulty is immediate: multiscale PT at h = 0 generates a power series with at least the above 4 running costants  $(\lambda_n)$   $n \leq 0$ . Should be related by recurrence

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_n = \Lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n+1} + \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n+1}), \quad \lambda_0 = (-\lambda, 0, 0, 0)$$

with  $\Lambda = (1, \frac{1}{2}, 2, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a formal series.

Even forgetting convergence, PT of no use: marginal term grows (if  $\lambda_0 < 0$ ) and generates relevant term!

To understand a simpler problem turn to hierarchical model

The propagators  $g^{[m]}(t-t')$  are constant for t > t' on scale  $m, i.e. t, t' \in I_m = [n2^{-m}, (n+1)2^{-m}]$ , antisymmetric in t, t' and fast decay on scale  $2^{-m}$ 

Hierarchical fields will be defined by assigning to each  $I_m$ two Grassmannians  $2^{\frac{1}{2}m} z^{[m]}(t), \zeta^{[m]}(t)$ 

- 1) exactly constant in each half of  $I_m$
- 2) propagator 1 for  $t \in I_m^-, t' \in I_m^+, -1$  for  $t \in I_m^+, t' \in I_m^-$
- 3) independent for  $t \in I_m, t' \in I_{m'} \neq I_m$

$$\psi_{\alpha}^{[\leq m]\pm}(t) = 2^{\frac{m}{2}} \Big( z_{\alpha}^{[m]\pm}(t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{\alpha}^{[m-1]\pm} \Big),$$
$$\varphi_{\beta}^{[\leq m]\pm}(t) = \zeta_{\beta}^{[m]\pm}(t) + \Xi_{\beta}^{[m-1]\pm}$$



Hierarchy of lattice sites  $[1, \ldots, 2^N]$ : *i* intervals on scale 0

$$\psi_{\alpha}^{[\leq m]\pm}(t) = 2^{\frac{m}{2}} \left( z_{\alpha}^{[m]\pm}(t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{\alpha}^{[m-1]\pm} \right),$$
  
$$\varphi_{\beta}^{[\leq m]\pm}(t) = \zeta_{\beta}^{[m]\pm}(t) + \Xi_{\beta}^{[m-1]\pm}$$

where  $z, \zeta$  are fields of scale m while  $Z \in \Xi$  are constant on scale m (not m-1).

Falco Memorial 9/6/2015

9/19